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Over fifty specialists on the GDR, a good fifth of them from western GDR research, and as such they of course deserve
It is indicative of their importance that large themes
to be covered was admirably comprehensive. The danger
that stimulating profusion might degenerate into unfocused
diffusion was removed by skillfully structuring the program
into eight key areas, each under the supervision of group
leaders responsible for co-ordination. This arrangement
ensured a useful continuity and connectedness between one
presentation and the next and helped to foster the sense
of a developing, unfragmented debate.
Current trends in GDR society proved a particular focus
of attention. Arthur Stammke investigated the objectives
and strategy behind the current five-year plan and dis-
cussed its feasibility; Volker Gransow treated the contro-
versial question of national identity; Carl Pletsch dis-
cussed its feasibility; Volker Gransow treated the contro-
versial question of national identity; Carl Pletsch dis-
Lowered to a common denominator. This arrangement
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