

# **Journal of Applied Communications**

Volume 64 | Issue 2 Article 2

# A New System: Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Information

Helen B. Scott

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/jac



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 License.

#### **Recommended Citation**

Scott, Helen B. (1981) "A New System: Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Information," *Journal of Applied Communications*: Vol. 64: Iss. 2. https://doi.org/10.4148/1051-0834.1783

This Research and Evaluation is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Applied Communications by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

A New System: Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Information
Abstract Social and natural scientists face a burgening volume of information
This research and evaluation is available in Journal of Applied Communications: https://newprairienress.org/iac/

# A New System Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Information

Helen B. Scott

# Summary

Social and natural scientists face a burgening volume of information. They need help in sorting it out to the essentials in their field of interest. This and the growth of new and diverse user groups with varying information needs challenge communication specialists to come up with dissemination systems that bring essential information to appropriate audiences in an immediately usable form.

This study presents a more efficient and effective system for scientific and technical information (STI) dissemination developed in the Department of Agricultural Communications, Texas A&M University, using the publications of the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station (TAES).

# Objectives for the study follow:

- To develop a system for improved STI dissemination to expedite the publication process and improve information transfer to specific audiences.
- To test the system (a) by comparing alternative notification systems and (b) by comparing alternative formats for scientific and technical publications.

Helen B. Scott is assistant to the deputy chancellor for agriculture, Texas A&M University System; and project leader, Texas Agricultural Experiment State publications, Department of Agricultural Communications. 3. To eloumakof Applied Companyications, Vols64sise 2 (1981), Arte2 s.

The dissemination system has two phases: (a) an abstract to notify the TAES distribution list that a publication is available and (b) a "brief"—more comprehensive than an abstract but less comprehensive than the full text of a publication—to give the main ideas of a full-text report in an immediately usable form, thus reducing requests for additional information (full text).

# Tests of the dissemination system show that

- Use of the system permits wide notification to select groups that the publication is available; it helps editors determine the number of copies to print more efficiently, thus reducing costs of printing and storage; and it encourages distribution to interested persons or groups only.
- 2. In comparison with alternative formats, briefs elicited fewer requests for full-text publications (4.6 percent) compared with abstracts (12.1 percent). This difference suggests that sufficient information is available to the user who receives the brief; he does not need to request a full-text publication but can use the material immediately, whereas the user who receives the abstract feels he needs more information.
- In a controlled study of alternative formats, abstracts elicited the most requests for full-text publications (8.4 percent), the combination brief with mailer elicited 1.2 percent, and the brief without mailer, 0.2 percent.
- 4. In evaluating the impact of the dissemination system on the user, the brief (61.6 percent) is more useful than the abstract (38.4 percent) as a publication format; users prefer the abstract and brief notification system (64.0 percent) compared with the previous system (36.0 percent) of automatic mailings of all publications.
- Users prefer hard copy—printed on paper—(97.5 percent) compared with microfiche (2.5 percent).

# Introduction

The mounting volume and complexity of scientific and technical information cause scientists problems in screening literature for the essentials they need and can manage. To administrators and editors of scientific and technical publications the deluge brings spiraling costs and problems related to processing, storing, retrieval and dissemination of research results.

The problem for those actively engaged in transfer of https://newphinepess.org/gic/sole#1832/2c information then becomes how to DOI: 10.4148/1051-0834.1783

Scott: A New System: Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Informati synthesize science and technology for more effective and efficient information transfer. In short, how to cope? One way to cope is to develop systems for better dissemination of research results through publications than those currently being used.

# Setting for the study

Technical publications of the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station (TAES) were used to develop the proposed dissemination system. Facilities, equipment, and personnel in the TAES publications section of the Department of Agricultural Communications, Texas A&M University, provide an ideal setting for incorporating a study of this nature into an already existing framework. The operational testing and monitoring require minimal modifications of existing facilities and personnel.

#### Results

# Development of Dissemination System

The first objective of the study was to develop a system for improved dissemination of STI to expedite the publication process and to improve information transfer to specific audiences with specific needs. This was accomplished (a) by the development of an abstract-notification system (Figure 1) for notifying the TAES distribution list (Figure 2) that a publication is available and (b) by the development of a brief (Figure 3) publication format, more comprehensive than an abstract but less comprehensive than the full text of a publication.

# Test of Dissemination System

The second objective was to test the dissemination system (a) by comparing alternative systems and (b) by comparing alternative formats for scientific and technical publications. Three studies accomplished that objective.

The first study was on four publications, using an abstract announcement with a self-addressed, postage-paid return for use by those who want copies of the full text. The study results show that use of this system permits wide notification that the publication is available; the system helps editors determine printing numbers more efficiently, thus reducing costs of printing and storage; and it eliminates sending publications to those not interested in them. Published by New Prairie Press, 2017

3



#### **NEW PUBLICATION**

8-1185 October 1977

IDENTIFICATION, DISTRIBUTION, AND BIOLOGY OF FIRE ANTS IN TEXAS

Akey C. F. Hung, Margaret R. Barlin, and S. Bradleigh Vinson

Of the five species of fire ants recognized in the United States, only four presently are found in Texas, with the red imported fire ant (Solenopsis invicta) now infesting most of eastern Texas. Although efforts to control imported fire ants have been a subject of controversy since the U. S. Congress authorized a cooperative federal-state eradication program in late 1957, these insects are acknowledged as serious nuisances to both people and domestic animals in the affected areas. Fire ants are also drawing attention from physicians in infested areas as they become aware of the medical problems associated with stings.

This publication identifies the different species of fire ants by means of a taxonomic key and use of electron microscope photographs. Both the key and photographs are designed for use by those not professionally trained in ant identification. Past and present distribution of the pests are delineated. Other sections are devoted to the introduction of the imported fire ant into the United States, current control methods, and research for future control. Specific county distribution is provided for each specie of fire ant.

The publication includes 25 figures (including 18 electron microscope photographs), a glossary, and an extensive bibliography.

KEYWORDS: Fire ant/identification/distribution/biology/red imported fire
ant (Solenopsis invicta Buren)/Southern fire ant (Solenopsis xyloni McCook)/
desert fire ant (Solenopsis aurea Mheeler)/tropical fire ant (Solenopsis
geminata [Fabricius])/control/research/Texas.

If you would like a copy of the above publication, please fold and return this form to us. No postage is needed.

The publication is being processed and will be distributed when printed.

TAES 77-74

# Figure 1. Abstract notification format

https://newprairiepress.org/jac/vol64/iss2/2 DOI: 10.4148/1051-0834.1783

House & subject : ANDA

The Tente April of the Paper Inspection of Section Florida Consequence (Content Content Con

AN ADDRESS OFFICE WHITE EMPLOYER



THIRD CLASS

Figure 1. (Cont'd)

TARE POPIN 1949 (Assemble 2017) DESTRIBUTION FORM (Behavior 2 Capital)			N+	
The following distribution is recommend	ted for the r			
Spel	ew)		Date	
UNIVERSAL LIST			OPTIONAL LIST ISSNOTHIS PUBLICATION IF DISCRESS	
TAES SUIT, CAMOUR	400		Extension Area Specialists, April.	131
TAES Suit. Field	340		Extension Campus Specialists, April.	90
ABM System and University, Comput	22		Extension Specialists, Home Econ.	25
A&M System Directors	9		Extension Dist. Agrs., Agri.	14
Misorianeous List	302		Extension Dist. Agts., Home Econ.	14
Libraries, Mostly Colleges	153		Co. Apri. Apri.	224
Agri. Depts., Texas Colleges	14		Co. Home Dem. Agra.	187
			Foreign	54
	-		Depository Libraries	-
*******				
Copies for Optional List				-
But Maring				
Record	-			
TOTAL				
		BULK	MAJLING	
If the author wishes extra copies to wanted and for whom. Give the t Room.	for himself of full address	of any per	uties, show on the blank lines following the numb ty so listed which may be unknown to the Maring	
NAME AND ADDRESS	NO.	cones	NAME AND ADDRESS	MO. COP-118
	-			

Figure 2.--Distribution list for TAES publications.



PR-3477 December 1977

#### Soybean Varietal Performance Trials on the Tessa Gulf Coast

J. W. 84\*

Soybean production has become an established entryptic throughout the rice production areas of the Texas Gulf Casat. As a road of both favorable market conditions and rice-oxybean retailors systems, stephanoses between the conditions and environments. Not all varieties perform equally well in all situations. For maximum return on investment, a predicer must where varieties best saided to his land and equipment. Hence, solection of the right variety becomes an important and critical division. A producer must be avaired and critical division in the importance of the varieties available to him, their agreeous features, the adapted varieties in different materity groups, and the effects of planting date on materity claims of these varieties.

Twenty-six rephran varieties were tested at the Team Agrical band Experiment Station at Brannerd design 1976 and 1975. The top performents in their respective materity groups indices. Group V. — Darr. Ferrort. Group VI. — Darr. Lee 6% and 74. Thany and Fishert 71. Group VIII. — Bragge, Banson, Booker, and McNair 460. Group VIII. — Hatton, Hampins 2004 and Color 335. Yields of some narieties exceeded 30 buildeds per same during theodolic years.

The maturity date was determined for Lee 68 (VI) and Ramon (VIII) for any gitters planting date in 1974. The graphic relationship between planting date and maturity appeared to be linear. Although the modes were based on two varieties and only one year's date, the close relationship between planting date and maturity provides a hand for future research which would be self-in septement management operations.

The Gamp V varieties had the invest are departity at maturity. The shedies in used quality for all maturity, gauge use internal during the first 2 weeks following maturity; thereafter, the shedies in quality accelerated. Pericent germination of Brasson and Hotton conference declined rapidly with harvest delay, as evidenced by a Shpirterit loss it weeks following maturity. Thus, for suphease grown in the Gold Goast, prompt harveston;

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks M. M. Gascis and J. Cameron for skillful technical existence and the Texas Rice Improvement Association for partial financial support.

**EETWORDS:** Soybourn/varieties/maturity date/Texas. Gulf Cross/sperments characteristics/wed-quality.

The complete report, Ph. MCTC, "Surphese Verical Perpunguese Prita vor the Tengo Gall Come." who diver unifers and them greaks, it studylis from Associate Editor, try crimera Service Bubblooksett. Designatures of Apriladtion Communications, Traca AdM University, Cologo Service, From Thirts, Admirisher month for Admiry.

Meeting of a Hidestark or a proposition product demonstrate a guaranteer or variative of the product of the Tesus Agricultural Equations of Station and Joseph Burgeroull in the Euclidean of order products that also says be waitable.

All programs and information of The Texas Agricultural Experiment Station are available to everyone without the part to each, other, edigion, are, ago, or restorationistics.

Figure 3.--Brief format developed as an alternative to the abstract notification.

following materity is indicated for retention of the highcut possible quality and germination. Agreement characteristics of carieties tested are shown in Table 1.

Associate professor. The Tous Agricultural Experiment Station, Beautrent.

The second study was on alternative formats, abstracts and briefs, to answer the following question: Will the user of the brief request fewer full-text publications than the user of the abstract?

The study compares the percentage of requests for fulltext publications from May 1975 to May 1977 resulting from (a) returns from abstracts and (b) returns from briefs. Abstracts result in 12.1 percent requests for full-text publications, while briefs yield only 4.6 percent requests (Table 1).

TABLE 1: Comparison of requests for full-text publications from abstracts and briefs, May 1975-1977

		Number		Percent
Printed Version	Publications	Mailed	Requests	Requests
Abstract	34	62,275	7,544	12.1
Brief	135	87,270	3,996	4.6

The third study was a controlled experiment to determine user preference according to notification format. Three full-text publications in the same subject-matter field, each covering only one phase of the subject, were prepared for the same audience by the same author in similar style and length. Each of three formats was prepared in three versions and identified as (a) abstract notification (b) brief without self-mailer; and (c) combination of the brief with self-mailer (self-addressed, postage paid return). Each of the three formats notified the user that the full-text publication was available on request.

The three groups of the distribution list used in this study consisted of selected sublists of the TAES mailing list—the Texas Agricultural Experiment Station (TAES), the Texas Agricultural Extension Service (TAEX), miscellaneous and A&M System—and a special list of 1,140 names provided by the author of the test publications. All groups received all treatments of all publications. The dependent variable was the number of requests made for the full-text publications.

User response was subjected to an analysis in a Latin Square design to determine statistical significance. The Latin Square design used to order the data for analysis on the three publications involved three formats and three https://newprines.org/pact/files/significance/files/s

https://newpraintegress.org/fac/vol64/iss2925tribution list, for a total of nir

Scott: A New System: Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Informati

Distribution List	1	- 0	111	Total Returns
	A <sup>1</sup>	c)	p <sup>1</sup>	A+8+6
Group 1	74 Returns	12 Returns	3 Returns	89 Returns
864 Mailed	(8.6%)	(1.43)	(0.26)	(10.35)
	8	A		E+X+C
Group 2	1 Betam	79 Returns	12 Returns	92 Returns
862 Mailed	(0.15)	(9.25)	(1.41)	(10.71)
	c	9	A	C=E=A.
Бтоир 3	6 Returns	1 Return	63 Returns	70 Returns
859 Mailled	(0.7%)	(0.1x)	(7.35)	(8.11)
TOTAL	al Returns	32 Returns	78 Returns	251 Returns
2,585 Mailed	(3.1%)	(3.61)	(3.0%)	(9.75)

Treatment (Format)

Figure 4.--Analysis of Variance Using Latin Square Design on Audience Response to Dissemination System Involving Variables: Publication, Treatment (Format), and Distribution List (Groups).

A three-way analysis of variance revealed significant differences at the .01 level of confidence (P>.01) on the format items. There were no significant differences in the publication and the group variables (Table 2).

Table 2. Analysis of variance of audience response to dissemination system involving variables: publication, treatment (format), and distribution list (groups)

Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Ratio
Treat-				
ment	88860.21	2	4430.10	303.43**
Publica-				
tions	36.22	2	18.11	1.24
Distribu-				
tion	94.89	2	47.45	3.24
Error	29.26	2	14.63	
Total	9020.88	<u>2</u> 8		

<sup>\*\*</sup>Significant at the .01 level of probability.

A-Abstract 8-Brief without matter

G-Combination of brief with mailor

A freq Journal of Ambied Communications Vol-64-bis 25/1981, ettied that requests for full-text publications were highest from the abstract notification (8.4 percent), next highest from the combination brief with mailer (1.2 percent), and lowest from the brief without mailer (0.2 percent).

TABLE 3: Requests from three formats--abstract, brief without mailer, and combination brief with mailer

		Percent	
Publication	Abstract	Brief	Combination
Publication I	8.6	0.1	0.7
Publication II	9.2	0.1	1.4
Publication III	7.3	0.3	1.4
Total	8.4	0.2	1.2

# User Evaluation of System

The third objective was to evaluate the impact of the dissemination system on the user. A survey questionnaire was administered to selected groups of the TAES distribution list.

Results from the survey sent to the selected distribution list—Experiment Station, Extension Service, and miscellaneous and A&M System—reveal that all groups prefer the brief (61.6 percent) compared with the abstract (38.4 percent) as a notification system and as a publication format (Table 4).

TABLE 4: Audience preference for brief vs. abstract format

	Audience Preference		
Mailing List	Abstract	Brief	
Experiment Station	43.6	56.4	
Extension Service	31.9	68.1	
Miscellaneous and A&M System	35.3	64.7	
Total	38.4	61.6	

Questionnaire returns showed a 64.0 preference for the abstract and brief notification system compared with 36.0 https://nonpreference/jaevol64/xsi2/gs system used for TAES publications DOI: 10.4148/1051-0834.1783

of aut Scotta Addem Systems Disseministion of Scientific and December Information the TAES list (Table 5).

TABLE 5: Audience preference for automatic mailing vs. brief with abstract notification

	Notification, Percent Automatic Brief or Abstract		
List			
Experiment Station	27.4	72.6	
Extension Service	40.3	59.7	
Miscellaneous and A&M			
System	51.8	48.2	
Total	36.0	64.0	

Questionnaire returns showed a 97.5 percent preference for hard copy publications compared with 2.5 percent for microfiche (Table 6).

TABLE 6: Audience preference of hard copy vs. microfiche

	Form, Percent		
List	Hard Copy	Fiche	
Experiment Station	97.7	2.3	
Extension Service	97.2	2.8	
Miscellaneous and A&M			
System	97.6	2.4	
Total	97.5	2.5	

# Recommendations for Future Research

In this study, only some areas were considered for improved dissemination of information for scientific and technical publications. Other areas to be considered follow:

 A cost/benefit study on publications that would analyze and compare costs of different formats-briefs, abstracts. and full-text publications; editor time for preparation of briefs and abstracts compared with costs of preparation of full-text publication; printing costs before and after the notification system and abstract/brief/full-text formats were developed; space requirements for storage resulting from the changed notification systems for preparing publications; Published by New Prairie Press, 2017

and postage costs for the notification system and the different publication formats

- An audience survey of TAES publications on readability (quality of writing), usefulness of information, timeliness, professional knowledge, technical content, and general content
- 3. A study of library needs and user needs for microfiche publications compared with hard copy (printed on paper) publications to determine standardization of microfiche size and reader-printers in libraries, among scientists, and in the Department of Agricultural Communications; readability of microfiche; and reference uses for microfiche.

Although this study was conducted on TAES technical and scientific publications, the same need exists to disseminate relevant information to all researchers more effectively.

# Comments Regarding TAES Publications

The following comments are representative of responses to the questionnaire sent to the distribution list to help evaluate the impact of the system developed and administered as a part of this study. The comments from the returned questionnaire are grouped under the following headings.

#### Abstract and Brief

- Often, the abstract does not provide enough information to evaluate usefulness of publication.
- The abstract is a good idea.
- Abstract/brief notification is great; should save us lots of printing and storage.
- I like the abstract as a means of describing the publication. I have no use for the brief. The abstract and the full text meet my needs.
- In this day of abundant reading materials, abstracts of material not directly related to my research are about all that one has time to read.
- Abstract notification with full-text publication on request is the best way to operate. The brief encourages news release type of data use and scientific data use without the full text. This is incorrect procedure and should be discouraged.
- Abstracts are fine, brief is merely an expanded abstract.
   System is better today than it was 4 or 5 years ago. If I need more than the abstract, I want the entire article.

https://newprainepress.org/jac/volit4/iss2/2 to "briefs."
DOI: 10.4148/1051-0834.77831e abstracts on the fold and return form.

- Scotts A New System: Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Informatiation in as full a form as is available from you.
- I especially like the fold and return feature of the abstract.
- Abstract should be less time consuming to prepare speed is important.

# **Notification System**

- The current method of notification has allowed me to be more selective in the information I collect.
- These publications are excellent but they are not necessarily useful to me. I want to receive those which I can use by asking for them. Otherwise you can save cost by not sending me those which I cannot use.
- I am not sure that this fold and return mailing always works so I am not sure that I get what I want when using the notification system.
- 4. I like the full-text over abstracts and briefs; however, I get a lot of publications I throw away. Could you restrict mailing to preselected subject matter areas?
- Receive too many publications irrelevant to my field of research.
- 6. I like your system. Because of my selected interests I really don't use or benefit from each and every mailing...would it be economical to send the publications to only those interested?
- Automatic mailing is too wasteful; items not of interest are received.
- I like your program of sending prepublication information and a returnable request for items of interest.
- I think publications of TAES are good. With automatic mailing lists, I receive some publications I don't need and miss others I want.
- 10. In the past all the TAES publications were sent out to all staff members. I would say that 80-90 percent of these publications were not even looked over or read. The use of the brief gives a person the opportunity to order the complete publication or not.
- I think notification by abstract or brief is a tremendous cost-saving step and yet provides information to those really interested in material.
- If I need the publication after reading the brief, I can send for it.
- I think it is a good idea and should save money. I have thrown away a lot of publications I'm not interested in.

This Journal of Applied Communications Vol. 64 Iss & [1981], Art. 2

 Need improved notification system for complete publications.

# Microfiche and Hard Copy (Printed on Paper)

 Since we don't presently have microfiche capability, we prefer hard copy.

Microfiche and microfilm are time consuming, inefficient and ineffective means of communication that require specialized machines. It's also painful.

3. Whichever is cheaper (microfiche or hard copy). Infor-

mation depends on field of interest.

4. Have not previously considered microfiche—this might

be acceptable.

We don't have microfiche reader readily accessible. If we did, I think I would (someday in the future) like hard copy of the notifications, then microfiche of the complete test that I might order.

6. Microfiche would be preferable if equipment for reading

would be readily available.

Microfiche will have real advantage when we revise personal filing system to accommodate it.

### References

 Anderla, J. Georges, Information in 1985: A Forecasting Study of Information Needs and Resources, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris, France, 1973.

Bagdikian, Ben H., The Information Machines—Their Impact on Men and the Media, Harper and Row, New York,

1971.

- Bamford, Harold E., Jr., "A Concept for Applying Computer Technology to the Publication of Scientific Journals," Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences, 62:306-314, 1972.
- Buchinal, Lee G., "The Communications Revolution: America's Third Century Challenge," The Future of Organizing Knowledge, Texas A&M University Libraries Miscellaneous Publication 16, 1977.

Compton, B.E., "Communication and the Scientific Conference," Technology and Society, 4:39-42, 1968.

 Compton, Bertita E., "Scientific Communication," in Ithiel de Sola Pool, Frederick W. Frey, Wilbur Schramm, Nathan Maccoby, and Edwin B. Parker (eds.), Handbook

https://newprairiepress.org/jac/voi64/iss2//2 and Edwin B. Parker (eds.), Handbook

DOI: 10.4148/1051-0834.1783

Scott: A New System: Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Information Communication, Rand McNally College Publishing Company, Chicago, Illinois, 1973.

7. Chemical and Engineering News, "NSF Funds ACS Study on Dual Journals," 53:6, 1975.

8. Dugger, Gordon L.; Bryans, Ruth F.; Morris, Jr., William, T., "AIAA Experiments and Results on Add, Synoptics. Miniprints, and Related Topics," IEEE Transactions on Professional Communications, 3:100-178, 1973.

- 9. Educational Administration Abstracts. Minutes of Editorial Commission Meeting, Atlanta, Georgia, February 1978.
- 10. Gannett, Elwood K., "Primary Publication Systems and Services," in Carlos A. Cuadra and Ann W. Luke (eds.). Annual Review of Information Science and Technology, American Society for Information, Washington, D.C., 8:243-275, 1975.
- 11. Garvey, W.D.; Griffith, B.C., "Scientific Communication: Dissemination System in Psychology and A Theoretical Framework for Planning Innovations," American Psychologist, 20:157-164, 1965.
- 12. Garvey, W.D.; Compton, B.E., "A Program of Research in Scientific Information Exchange: Orientation, Objectives, and Results," Social Science Information, 6:213-237, 1967.
- 13. Gordon Irving; Carr, Russell L. K., "Utilization of Terse Conclusions in an Industrial Research Environment," Journal of Chemical Documentation, 12:86-88, 1972.
- 14. Halbert, M. H.; Ackoff, R. L., "An Operation Research Study of the Dissemination of Information," Proceedings of the International Conference on Scientific Information, Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, 1959.
- 15. Harris, Jessica L., "Offset Printing from Typescript as a Substitute for Microfilming of Dissertations," American Documentation, 19:1 60-65, 1969.
- 16. Herner, Saul, "Determination of User Needs for the Design of Information Systems," in Information Systems Workshop: The Designer's Responsibility and His Methodology, Spartan Books, Washington, D.C., 1968.
- 17. Herring, Conyers, "Distill or Drown: The Need for Reviews," Physics Today, 21:27-33, 1968.
- 18. Housman, Edward M.; Wixon, Darvey W., "Impact of a Large-Scale Computerized SDI System on an R&D Installation." Proceedings of American Society of Information Published by New Prairie Press, 2017

  Science Annual Meeting, Greenwood Publishing Corp.,

- Journal of Applied Communications, Vol. 64, Iss. 2 [1981], Art. 2
- Koch, H.W., "A National Information System for Physics," Physics Today, 21:41-49, 1968.
- Kuney, J.H., "The Role of Microforms in Journal Publications," Journal of Chemical Documentation, 12:78-80, 1972.
- Lunin, Lois F., "The Biblio-Profile—A Two-in-One Package of Information: Its Preparation, Production, Marketing Uses," Journal of American Society for Information Science, 5:113-117, 1976.
- Menzel, Herbert, "Five Themes from Social Science Research," American Psychologist, 21:99-104, 1966.
- Miller, George A., "The Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two—Some Limits on Our Capacity for Processing Information," The Psychology of Communication, Basic Books, New York, 1967.
- Moore, James A., "An Inquiry on New Forms of Primary Publications," Journal of Chemical Documentation, 12:75-78, 1972.
- Moses, Tad, Agricultural Research in Texas Since 1888, Texas Agricultural Experiment Station Miscellaneous Publication 177, College Station, Texas, 1956.
- National Academy of Sciences—National Academy of Engineering, Scientific and Technical Communication, Publication 1707, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., 1969.
- National Academy of Sciences—National Academy of Engineering, Scientific and Technical Communication, A Synopsis, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., 1969.
- National Science Foundation, Editorial Processing Centers: A Study to Determine Economic and Technical Feasibility, Aspen Systems Corporation, Rockville, Maryland, 1974.
- National Science Foundation, "National Institute of Education," Federal Scientific and Technical Communications Activities—1976, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., 1977, pp. 35-37.
- National Science Foundation, "Directorate for Scientific, Technological, and International Affairs," Federal Scientific and Technical Communication Activities—1976, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., 1977, pp 67-69.
- https://newprairiepress.org/jac/vol64/iss2/2 of Scientific and Technical Informa-DOI: 10.4148/1051-0834.1783

- Scott: A New System: Dissemination of Scientific and Technical Informational Science Foundation, Washington, D.C., 1975.
- National Science Foundation, "Improved Understanding and More Productive Use of Scientific and Technical Information," Program Solicitation, National Science Foundation, January, 1977.
- '33. O'Dette, Ralph E., "The Future of Organizing Knowledge: A Matter of Access," The Future of Organizing Knowledge, Texas A&M University Libraries Miscellaneous Publication 16, 1977, pp. 13-33.
- Orr, R. H.; Abidian, G.; Bourne, C. P.; Coyl, E. B.; Leeds, A. A.; Pings, V. M., "The Biomedical Information Complex Viewed as a System," Federation Proceedings, 23:1146-1154, 1964.
- Piele, Philip K.; Smith, Stuart C., "Directory of Organizations and Personnel in Educational Management," ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, 1976, 1-81 pp.
- Rhodes, Sarah N.; Bamford, Jr., Harold E., "Editorial Processing Centers: A Progress Report," The American Sociologists, 11:153-159, 1976.
- Roberts, Anita B.; Hartwell, I. O.; Counts, Richard W.; Davila, Roberta A., "Development of a Computerized Current Awareness Service Using Chemical Abstracts Condensates," Journal of Chemical Documentation, 12:221-223, 1972.
- Schipma, Peter R., "Generation and Uses of Machine-Readable Data Bases," in Carlos A. Cuadra, Ann W. Luke, and Jessica L. Harris (eds.), Annual Review of Information Science and Technology (Vol. 10), American Society for Information Science, Washington, D.C., 1975.
- Schneider, John H., "Selective Dissemination and Indexing of Scientific Information," Science, 173:300-307, 1971.
- Schumacher, E. F., "The Nature of Problems: An Argument Against Final Solutions," Quest/77, 1:77-84, 1977.
- Science News, "Future of the Scientific Journal," 109:168, 1976.
- Shubik, Martin, "Information, Rationality and Free Choice, in Daniel Bell (ed.), Toward the Year 2000, Beacon Press, Boston, Massachusetts, 1969.
- Singh, Ajit; Luke, Michael O.; Jenks, Irving H., "SPEE-DI—A Better Information System," Journal of Chemical Documentation, 14:36-38, 1974.

- Sometwink of Applied Communications, Notest and 12 (1984) And 12 Concept Being Examined," Chemical and Engineering News, 50:16-17, 1972.
- Staiger, David L., "Separate Article Distribution as an Alternate of Journal Publication," IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication, 3:107-112, 1973.
- Thompson, Charles W. H., "Technology Utilization," in Carlos A. Cuadra, Ann W. Luke, and Jessica L. Harris (eds.), Annual Review of Information Science and Technology (Vol. 10), American Society for Information, Washington, D. C., 1975.
- Veaner, Allen B., "Reprography and Microform Technology," in Carlos A. Cuadra and Ann W. Luke (eds.), Annual Review of Information Sciences and Technology, American Society for Information, Washington, D.C. 4:176-201, 1969.
- Welt, Isaac D., "Biological Data/Information Centers and the Future," in Ralph I. Cole (ed.), Data/Information Availability, Thompson Book Company, Washington, D. C., 1966.