Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0 Issue 1 Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)

Article 492

1998

Antibiotic susceptibility of Fusobacterium necrophorum isolated from liver abscesses (1998)

K.F. Lechtenberg

Tiruvoor G. Nagaraja

M. M. Chengappa

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr



Part of the Other Animal Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Lechtenberg, K.F.; Nagaraja, Tiruvoor G.; and Chengappa, M. M. (1998) "Antibiotic susceptibility of Fusobacterium necrophorum isolated from liver abscesses (1998)," Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1895

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1998 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY OF FUSOBACTERIUM NECROPHORUM ISOLATED FROM LIVER ABSCESSES ¹

T. G. Nagaraja, K. F. Lechtenberg², and M. M. Chengappa³

Summary

Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of thirty-seven isolates of Fusobacterium necrophorum (21 biotype A and 16 biotype B) from liver abscesses of feedlot cattle were determined. These isolates were generally susceptible to penicillins, tetracyclines (chlortetracycline and oxytetracycline), lincosamides (clindamycin and lincomycin), and macrolides (tylosin and erythromycin) but resistant to aminoglycosides (kanamycin, neomycin, gentamycin and streptomycin), ionophores (except narasin), and peptides (avoparcin, polymixin, and thiopeptin). Differences in antibiotic sensitivity patterns were observed between the two biotypes only for clindamycin and lincomycin. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of FDA-approved antibiotics for liver abscess control did not parallel their efficacy in preventing clinical liver abscesses in feedlot cattle. Continuous tylosin feeding did not appear to induce antibiotic resistance in F. necrophorum.

(Key Words: *Fusobacterium necrophorum*, Liver Abscesses, Antibiotic Susceptibility.)

Introduction

Fusobacterium necrophorum, a gramnegative, anaerobic, rod-shaped bacterium, is the primary causative agent of liver abscesses in feedlot cattle. Two distinct biotypes or subspecies, biotype A (subsp. necrophorum) and biotype B (subsp. funduliforme), have been recognized. Biotype A is encountered most frequently in liver abscesses. Because of the importance of F. necrophorum as an animal pathogen, its antibiotic susceptibility, particularly to clinically relevant antibiotics, has been reported. However, studies on susceptibility to antibiotics used as feed additives have been limited. Also, the difference in susceptibility patterns between the two biotypes have not been reported. Our objectives were to determine the susceptibility of F. necrophorum of liver abscess origin to antibiotics, including FDA-approved and certain experimental feed additives, and to determine whether continuous antibiotic feeding during the finishing period would influence susceptibility of F. necrophorum to those antibiotics.

Experimental Procedures

Abscessed livers from feedlot cattle in Kansas and eastern Missouri were collected

¹A detailed description of this study is published in the American Journal of Veterinary Research (volume 59, pages 44-48, 1998).

²Midwest Veterinary, Inc., Oakland, Nebraska.

³Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathology and Microbiology.

at a slaughter house. Lot numbers and cattle origins were recorded to enable obtaining information on antibiotic feeding. bacterium necrophorum was isolated from the abscesses. Thirty-seven isolates (21 biotype A and 16 biotype B) were used in the study. The antibiotics tested included: ampicillin, avoparcin, bacitracin, carbenicillin, cephalothin, chloramphenicol, chlortetracycline, clindamycin, cloxacillin, erythromycin, gentamicin, ipronidazole, kanamycin, lasalocid, lincomycin, methicillin, monensin, nalidixic acid, narasin, neomycin, novobiocin, oxytetracycline, penicillin-G, polymyxin-B, salinomycin, streptomycin, tetronasin, thiopeptin, tylosin, vancomycin, and virginiamycin. Antibiotic type is shown in Table 1.

Susceptibility or resistance of F. necrophorum isolates to antibiotics was determined by inoculating overnight cultures (16 to 18 hours) into anaerobic media with 100 µg/ml or units/ml (for bacitracin, penicillin-G, polymyxin-B) of antibiotics or no antibiotic. Culture absorbance was measured three times to determine growth. For antibiotics that were inhibitory at 100 µg/ml or units/ml, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by broth microdilution. The MIC was the lowest concentration of the antibiotic that inhibited growth. Differences in MIC between the two biotypes, between tylosin- and nontylosin-fed cattle, and between chlortetracycline- and nonchlortetracycline-fed cattle were compared by a statistical t test.

Results and Discussion

Fusobacterium necrophorum isolates from liver abscesses were resistant (100 μg or units/ml) to avoparcin, gentamycin, kanamycin, lasalocid, monensin, nalidixic acid, neomycin, salinomycin, streptomycin, tetronasin, thiopeptin, and vancomycin. The

resistance of *F. necrophorum* to ionophore antibiotics explains the lack of influence of monensin or lasalocid on the incidence of liver abscesses.

The isolates were susceptible ampicillin, bacitracin, carbenicillin, cephalothin, chloramphenicol, chlortetracycline, clindamycin, cloxacillin, erythromycin, ipro nidazole, lincomycin, methicillin, narasin, novobiocin, oxytetracycline, penicillin-G, tylosin, and virginiamycin. polymyxin-B, Mean MICs of antibiotics to which F. necrophorum was susceptible are shown in Table 1. The MICs of all antibiotic compounds except for clindamycin lincomycin did not differ between the two biotypes of *F necrophorum*. For clindamycin and lincomycin, MICs were lower (P < 0.05) for biotype A than biotype B (Table 1).

Only 31 of the 37 isolates were from cattle with known antibiotic feeding status during the finishing period. Twenty-three isolates were from tylosin-fed (10 g/ton of feed) cattle and eight were from cattle that did not receive tylosin. Only four isolates from chlortetracycline-fed mg/head/day) cattle. The mean MICs for tylosin were similar whether or not the cattle had been fed tylosin (Table 2). Similarly, continuous feeding of chlortetracycline had effect on the MIC of either no chlortetracycline or oxytetracycline (Table 2). Apparently, continuous feeding of tylosin or chlortetracycline did not induce antibiotic resistance F. necrophorum isolates.

According to the U.S. Feed Additive Compendium, five antibiotics (bacitracin, chlortetracycline, oxytetracycline, tylosin, and virginiamycin) are approved for use in the prevention of liver abscesses in feedlot cattle. Based on MIC, chlortetracycline and oxytetracycline were most effective and bacitracin was the least effective. However tylosin is

most effective in preventing clinical liver abscesses. Except for bacitracin, MIC does not appear related to clinical efficacy. The mode of action of these antibiotics in preventing liver abscesses is possibly inhibition or reduction of the population of *F. necrophorum* in ruminal contents and (or) in the liver.

Table 1. Mean Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) of Antibiotics for Fusobacterium necrophorum Isolates from Liver Abscesses^a

| | Fusobacterium necrophorum | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--|
| | | - | | | | |
| | | Biotypes | | Total (n = 37) | | |
| | Antibiotic | Biotype A | Biotype B | | | |
| Antibiotics ^b | Type | (n = 21) | (n=16) | Mean | Range | |
| Ampicillin | Lactam | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 | .2-9.4 | |
| Bacitracin | | 50.2 | 42.7 | 46.8 | 9.4-100.0 | |
| Carbenicillin | Lactam | 1.8 | 4.4 | 2.9 | .3-18.8 | |
| Cephalothin | Lactam | .1 | .2 | .2 | .13 | |
| Chloramphenicol | | 14.1 | 20.1 | 16.7 | 1.6-42.5 | |
| Chlortetracycline | Tetracycline | .8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | .1-6.3 | |
| Clindamycin | Lincosamide | .04 | $.8^{c}$ | .4 | .02-3.1 | |
| Cloxacillin | Lactam | .4 | 1.1 | .7 | .1-3.1 | |
| Erythromycin | Macrolide | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.2 | .8-6.3 | |
| Ipronidazole | | .3 | 2.7 | 1.9 | .2-5.6 | |
| Lincomycin | Lincosamide | .04 | .7° | .3 | .01-3.1 | |
| Methicillin | Lactam | .4 | .9 | .6 | .1-6.3 | |
| Narasin | Ionophore | 2.5 | 3.3 | 2.9 | .8-4.7 | |
| Novobiocin | • | 8.0 | 4.3 | 6.4 | .2-12.5 | |
| Oxytetracycline | Tetracycline | .4 | 1.5 | .9 | .03-4.1 | |
| Penicillin-G | Lactam | .1 | 1.3 | .7 | .1-2.1 | |
| Polymyxin-B | | 37.4 | 46.0 | 41.1 | 8.1-100.0 | |
| Tylosin | Macroliode | 3.7 | 7.4 | 5.3 | 2.0-12.5 | |
| Virginiamycin | Streptogramn | 2.8 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 2.3-6.3 | |

^aMean of six replications, each utilizing twofold dilutions from 0.01 to 100.00.

Table 2. Effect of Continuous Feeding of Tylosin or Chlortetracycline On Antibiotic Susceptibility of *Fusobacterium necrophroum* Isolates from Liver Abscesses

| | Minimum Inhibitory Concentration, μg/ml | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | Tylosin-Fed ^a | No Tylosin | Chlortetracycline- | No Chlortetracycline | | |
| Antibiotics | (n = 23) | (n = 8) | Fed b $(n = 4)$ | (n = 27) | | |
| Tylosin | 5.9 | 5.1 | | | | |
| Chlortetracycline | | | 2.2 | 1.2 | | |
| Oxytetracycline | | | .1 | 1.1 | | |

^aTylosin was fed at 10 g/ton of feed throughout the finishing period.

^bConcentrations in μg/ml except for bacitracin, penicillin-G, and polymyxin-B, which are in units/ml. ^cDifferent from biotype A.

^bChlortetracycline was fed at 75 mg/head/day throughout the finishing period.