### **Fungal Genetics Reports**

Volume 15

Article 12

# Isolation of purified DNA and RNA simultaneously

S. K. Chattopadhyay

S. K. Dutta

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/fgr



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 License.

#### **Recommended Citation**

Chattopadhyay, S. K., and S.K. Dutta (1969) "Isolation of purified DNA and RNA simultaneously," *Fungal Genetics Reports*: Vol. 15, Article 12. https://doi.org/10.4148/1941-4765.1915

This Technical Note is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fungal Genetics Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

# Isolation of purified DNA and RNA simultaneously

### Abstract

Isolation of purified DNA and RNA simultaneously

Chattopadhyay, S. K. and S. K Dutta. A method for

ond more time in order to isolate RNA and DNA separately.

isolation of pure DNA ond RNA simultaneously.

Solymosy et al. (1968 European J. Biochem, 5: 420) hove isolated and characterized a mixture of pure undegraded DNA and RNA from fresh leaves of higher plants. They used diethyl pyrocarbonate, a nuclease inhibitor, in their isolation technique to inhibit all nucleases. Our attempts to isolate DNA and RNA from mycelia of N. crassa using their technique were not successful. Their method is more suitable for the isolation of combined nucleic acids, and necessitates the use of a considerable amount of DNase or RNase

Bernordi ond others (1969 Biochim. Biophys. Acta 175:423) hove described the fractionation of DNA and RNA separately through hydroxyapatite. The entire principle lies in the fact that at different molarities of phosphote buffer different nucleic acids con be adsorbed and eluted. Based on this principle Britten (1969, personal communication) hos developed a new technique of isolation of very pure DNA from many organisms. His method involves lysing the cells with a mixture of urea, ethylenediamine tetraacetate (EDTA), and phosphate buffer (PB). DNA con be isolated from the cell lysate by chromatography on hydroxyapatite. This method, however, is meant for the isolation of DNA alone.

This paper describes a procedure which permits simultaneous isolation of very pure DNA and RNA from N. crassa, by combining the underlying principles of these methods. One gram of well squeezed mycelium (wet wt.) from the exponential growth stage (16 hours) is token in g gloss tissue grinder placed in ice. This tissue is then homogenized with 3 ml of 0.05 M Tris HCI buffer (pH 7.6) containing 1% Sodium lauryl sulphate, 3.33% diethyl pyrocarbonate (Eastman Kodok) ond 5 mM MaClo. An extra 3 ml of the some solution may be added in order to suspend the paste thus mode. The homogenate is incubated at 37°C

for 10 minutes and is egain homogenized in the tissue grinder and then centrifuged at 8000 x g at room temperature. To this supernatant fluid, 0.6 g of NaCl is added and dissolved by breaking the NaCl crystals in the tissue grinder, after which it is again incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes and then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant is then treated with 2.5 vols. of 96% chilled ethyl alcohol and kept in the cold (4°C) for 2 hours. The resulting precipitate is then dissolved in 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) with 0.4 M NaCl and dialyzed against the same buffer overnight. This solution is then dialyzed again 0.035 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) for 12 hwn and centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 20 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant is then 6.8) phosphate buffer.

The amount of hydroxyapatite used for the preparation of the column depends upon the quantity of DNA it has to adsorb. The rate of adsorption varies from botch to batch of hydroxyapatite. It should be ascertained first for a new batch of hydroxyapatite (Kohne 1969 Biophys. J. 8: 1104). As an average, 1 c.c. of hydroxyapatite adsorbs 70-90 micrograms of DNA. At a molarity of 0.035 phosphate buffer, both RNA and DNA are adsorbed in the column. After several washing with the 0.035 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), the RNA molecules are eluted with 0. 18 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5).

Thereafter the column is washed with approx. 300 ml of a mixture of urea and phosphate buffer (8 M urea and 0. 24 M PB at pH 7.6). Finally, the DNA is eluted with 0.048 M (pH 6.5) phmphate buffer after washing out the urea with 0.035 M PB, pH 6.8. For the removal of unincorporated phosphate which still remains associated with DNA and/or RNA, particularly in the case of 32P [abe][ing, several alcohol precipitations are required, after dialysis against 0. 14 M NaC]. With this procedure it is possible to isolate 140 to 160 µg of DNA from one gram wet (well-squeezed) mycelium, in comparison to 50-70 µg of DNA isolated from the same quantity of mycelium using other techniques (Dutta et al. 1967 Genetics 57: 719).

Isolation of very pure and undegraded DNA and RNA is essential for any predictable result in nucleic acid hybridization. Our criteria of purity of DNA were as follows: (a)  $COU ar 200 m\mu = 2 \pm 0.1$ , (b) hyper chromicity of at least 26%, (c) no OD at 280 mµ - -

rise in OD at 260 mµ below 78°C during denaturation, (d) two-step melting curve for whole cell DNA (Dutta and Kohne 1969 Proc. XI Intl. Botan. Congress 1969: SO), (e) no hybridization at 0 hour of incubation and 95% hybridization at a Cot value of approx. 377 (Cot = OD at 260 mµ/2 x hours of incubation; Britten and Kohne 1968 Science 161:529). More accurate tests of purity are routinely done with 32P labelled DNA by verification of less than 1% acid solubility, RNase lability, or alkaline lability and 95% or more DNase lability. Tests of purity of RNA were primarily these lost four tests, including at least 99% RNase lability and alkaline lability and no DNase lability. Color tests of DNA (diphenylomine) and RNA (orcinol) are not sensitive enough to detect less than 10% contamination of DNA or RNA.

Isolation of RNA alone may be accomplished with or without the use of a hydroxyapatite column. Following the same procedure as described in paragraph three, up to the dialysis against 0.05 M phosphate buffer with 0.4 M NaCl (pH 7.6). it is again dialyzed against 0.4 M NaCl and is precipitated down by alcohol. The precipitate is dissolved in 0.14 M NaCl followed by shaking with phenol saturated with 0.14 M NaCl (pH 5.0). Further purification is done by repeated alcohol precipitations.

For the isolation of DNA alone, the 0. 18 M PB step during fractionation through hydroxyapatite can be omitted. Instead, first p-ass MUP solution (8 M urea plus 0.24 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.6) through the column until there is no OD or isotope count, followed by thorough washing with 0.035 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). DNA is then eluted with 0.48 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.5).

These procedures allow almost quantitative isolation of undegraded nucleic acids (with essentially no denaturation of DNA). We have been able to label DNA or RNA molecules with  $3^{2}P$  at a level of more than 100,000 cpm per µg. This procedure is more economical when  $3^{2}P$ -labelled DNA and RNA are required from the same material by several workers in the same laboratory and of the same time. Furthermore, the isolation of large quantities of very pure DNA and RNA with these procedures including the urea method developed by Britten (1969,  $p^{\bullet}$  rsonal communication), from Neurospora has enabled the studies of kinetics of DNA reassociation and isolation of ribosomal RNA cistrons, hitherto unaccomplished.

This work was supported in part by a NSF grant GY 3894. - - Department of Botany, Howard University, Washington, D.C. 20001.