# Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0 Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)* 

Article 544

1997

## Economics of sealing horizontal silos (1997)

G.L. Huck

J.E. Turner

M.K. Siefers

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr

Part of the Other Animal Sciences Commons

### **Recommended Citation**

Huck, G.L.; Turner, J.E.; Siefers, M.K.; Brent, B.E.; Bolsen, K.K.; Young, Matthew A.; and Pope, Ronald V. (1997) "Economics of sealing horizontal silos (1997)," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1947

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1997 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



## Economics of sealing horizontal silos (1997)

## Authors

G.L. Huck, J.E. Turner, M.K. Siefers, B.E. Brent, K.K. Bolsen, Matthew A. Young, and Ronald V. Pope

### ECONOMICS OF SEALING HORIZONTAL SILOS

G. L. Huck, J. E. Turner, M. K. Siefers, M. A. Young, R. V. Pope, B. E. Brent, and K. K. Bolsen

### **Summary**

Determining the value of silage saved by effectively sealing a hori zontal silo requires only a few simple calculations, bu tit is still a concept that is often overlooked by many livestock producers who store large amounts of silage in Kansas produces about 3.0 that manner. million tons of silage annually, primarily from corn and sorghum. A majority of thi ssilage is made and stored in either bunker, trench, or "drive-over" pile silos . Only 20 to 30% of these silos are sealed after filling. Producers who do not seal need to take a second look at the economics of this highly troublesome "technology" before they rej et it as unnecessary and uneconomical. The loss from a  $100 \times 250$ ft silo filled with corn silage can exceed \$10,000.

(Key Words: Silage, Top Sp olage, Silo, Bunker Silo, Trench Silo, Pile Silo.)

### Introduction

Three economically attractive methods in Kansas for storing large amounts of ensiled forage are the horizontal silos (i.e., bunker, trench, or pile), but because so much of the surface of the ensiled material is exposed, dry matter (DM) and nutrient losses can be extensive. If left unprotected, losses in the top 2 to 4 ft can exceed 50%. This is particularly disturbing when one consid **e**s that in the typical horizontal silo, over 20% of the silage might be within the top 4 feet.

These losses can be minimized by sealing (covering) the ensiled mass with polyethylene sheets, which usually are weighted with tires or soil. Although this method minimizes losses, it is so awkward, cumb esome, and labor intensive that many producers feel the silage saved is not worth their time and effort.

Top-spoilag e research has been conducted at Kansas State University since 1989, and the results document the magnitude of the DM and nutrient losses in the original top 3 ft of the ensiled crop. However, these losses can not be seen until the silo is opened. Even then, the spoilag e might be apparent only in the top 6 to 12 inches of silage, obscuring the fact that this area of spoiled silage represents substantially more silage as originally stored.

We provide here a few simple equations, that can be hand-calc dated or incorporated into a computer spreadsheet. They allow producers to estim ate the value of silage saved by sealing, based on their crop value, silo dimensions, cost of the sealing material, and labor to cover their silage.

#### **Calculations and Examples**

Calculating the value of silage saved by sealing is based on four economic inputs and two silo/silage inputs. The four economic inputs are:

- 1) Value of the silage (\$/ton)
- 2) Cost of the polyethylene sheet (cents/ft<sup>2</sup> × number of f  $t^2$ )
- 3) Cost of the weighting material (zero was used in the examples)
- 4) labor cost ( $\frac{\pi}{hr} \times number of hrs$ ).

Ten hours per 4,000 ft<sup>2</sup> of polyethylene sheet were used to calculate the labor cost.

In order to account for overlapping from sheet to sheet and along the side walls or base, we assumed a covering efficiency of 80%.

The first of the two silo/silage inputs determines the amount of silage within the original top 3 ft of the silo after filling is complete. It is determined by multiplying the silo width(ft) by length(ft) by depth of interest (3 ft) by the silage density (lb/f  $\hat{t}$ ) and dividing the product by 2,000 (lb/ton).

The second silo/silage input estimates the amount of silage within the original top 3 ft of the silo that is lost as spoilage. These values (50% of sealed, 20% if unsealed) are based on research conducted at Kansas State University and published in KAES Reports of Progress 623, p. 70; 651, p. 127; and 727, p. 59 and 63.

The following example estimates the net return from sealing a horizontal silo 40 ft wide by 100 ft long (4,000 f  $\hat{t}$ ).

Economic assumptions:

- 1) Corn silage price: \$25/ton
- 2) Polyethylene film: 0.055 per ft<sup>2</sup> of surface covered.  $0.055 \times 4,000$  ft<sup>2</sup> = 220
- 3) Weighting m aterial: zero cost assumed
- 4) Labor cost: 10 hr/4,000 ft<sup>2</sup> sheet  $\times$  \$20/hr = \$200

Sealing cost = \$220 + \$200 = \$420

Silo/silage assumptions :

 Assuming a silage density of 45 lb/ft<sup>3</sup> (4000 ft<sup>2</sup> surface × 3 ft deep × 45 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>)/2000 = 270 tons of silage within the original top 3 ft

(total capacity of the silo is about 1,080 tons)

2) Assume 20% loss in the top 3 feet if sealed, 50% loss if unsealed.

```
Loss, unsealed:
270 tons \times $25/ton \times 50% = $3,375
```

Loss, sealed:	
$270 \text{ tons} \times \$25/\text{ton} \times 20\%$	= \$1,350
Cost of sealin g	= <u>\$ 420</u>
Net, seale d	= \$1,770
Net return to sealing:	
3,375 - 1,770 = 1,605	i

The concepts sh own above are presented in a user-friendly spreadsheet format in Table 1. The first nine lines are economic inputs determined by the producer, and the next six lines are results that are based on formulas utilizing the producer's inputs. They can be programme d easily into the spreadsheet using the row letters as guides.

The most important single facto finfluencing preservation efficiency of ensiled forages is the degree of anaerobic fermentation achieved during ensiling. When silage is not sealed or when the seal is inadequate, air and moisture enter the mass and affect both the ensiling process and silage quality durin gthe storage and feed out phases. Based on the examples in Table 1, sealing a 40 ft  $\times$  100 ft silo could save approximatel y \$1,600 worth of silage. Using the same concept, covering a 10 0ft  $\times$  400 ft silo could save the producer over \$16,000.

Although future technolo gy might introduce a more environmentally and user-friendly product, polyethylene (6 mm) is the most effective sealing material available today. The most common sealing method is to place the polyethylen e sheet over the ensiled forage and weight it down with rubber tires (20 to 25 tires per 100 sq ft).

Research-base d calculations confirm that the financial loss incurred b ynot sealing silage is substantial and reinforces our recommendation that sealing the exposed surface of a horizontal silo is one of the most important management decisions in any silage program.

Economic inputs					
Silage crop	Corn	Corn	Corn		Spreadsheet Formulas
Silage value, \$/ton	25	25	25	Α	
Silage density, lb/ft <sup>3</sup>	45	45	45	В	
Silo width, ft	40	100	100	С	
Silo length, ft	100	250	400	D	
Cost of 40 ft × 100 ft poly sheet, \$	175	175	175	Е	
Efficiency of sheet, %	80	80	80	F	
Silage lost if unsealed, %	50	50	50	G	
Silage lost if sealed, %	20	20	20	Н	
Labor cost, \$/hr	20	20	20	Ι	
<u>Results</u>					
Silage in the top 3 ft, tons	270	1,688	2,700	J	(C×D×3×B)/2000
Silage value lost if unsealed, \$	3,375	21,094	33,750	K	J×(G/100)×A
Silage value lost if sealed, \$	1,350	8,438	13,500	L	J×(H/100)×A
Cost per ft $^2$ of poly sheet, $\ensuremath{\psi}$	5.5	5.5	5.5	М	([E/(F/100)]/4000)×100
Sealing cost, \$	419	2,617	4,188	N	[(C×D×M)/100)]+ [(I×C×D×10)/4000]
Value of silage saved, \$	1,606	10,039	16,063	Р	K-(L+N)

Table 1.	Value of Silage Saved	l by Sealing Three	e Horizontal Silos D	iffering in Size
	· unde of Shuge Suree	i by beaming i mee		mering mone