Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0 Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 621

1995

Sulfur amino acid utilization by growing steers (1995)

C.G. Campbell

G. St Jean

Evan C. Titgemeyer

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr

Part of the Other Animal Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Campbell, C.G.; St Jean, G.; and Titgemeyer, Evan C. (1995) "Sulfur amino acid utilization by growing steers (1995)," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2024

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1995 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



SULFUR AMINO ACID UTILIZATION BY GROWING STEERS

C. G. Campbell, E. C. Titgemeyer, and G. St. Jean¹

Summary

Two studies were conducted to evaluate sulfur amino acid requirements of growing steers. In trial 1, six ruminally cannulated steers (352 lb) were used to determine methionine requirements. Treatments were abomasal infusions of 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, or 10 g/day of Lmethion ine. Steers were fed 5.8 lb of a soyhull and wheat straw based diet. Continuous infusions of acetate, propionate, and butyrate into the rumen and of dextrose into the abomasum were made to increase energy. Amino acids other than methionine were infused into the abomasum to ensure that they did not limit steer performance. Nitrogen retention increased dramatically as methionine supplementation increased and, in the presence of excess cystei re, predicted a requirement of 4 g/day of supplemental L-methionine. Plasma methionin e methionine rose with supplementatio n and predicted a supplemental methionine requirement of 2 g/day. In trial 2, five ruminally cannulated steers (429 lb) were used to determine how efficiently methionine is converted to cysteine in growing cattle. The experimental procedures were similar to those of trial 1, except steers received a basal supplement of amino acids containing 4 g/day L-methionine (requirement in the presence of excess cysteine established in trial 1) and no cysteine. Treatments consisted of abomasal infusions of 0, 1.62, or 3.25 g/day of L-cysteine or 2 or 4 g/day of additional L-methionine. Nitrogen retention was increa sed by methionine, but not by cysteine, suggesting that cysteine

could supply less than one-half of the total sulfur amino acid requirement (methionine + cysteine) of growing steers.

(Key Words: Methionine, Cysteine, Steers, Requirement, Nitrogen Retention.)

Introduction

Methionine currently is t lought to be potentially limiting for growth in cattle, but much previous research has relied on plasma amino acid levels as the sole response criteria. That research needs verification, because several factors other than amino acid status can affect plasma amino acid levels. Nitrogen retention provides a more precise estimate of the methionine requirement of growing cattle and also allows extrapolation to given levels of performance.

Cysteine is considered nutritionally nonessential, because the cysteine requirement of most specie s can be supplied by methionine via transsulfuration (methionine conversion to cysteine). It i simportant to know the efficiency of transsulfuration in growing cattle, so that we can better predict total sulfur amino acid (methionine plus cysteine) requirements. For most species, the cysteine requirement is about one-half of the total sulfur amino acid requirement and transsulfuration is assumed to be efficient, but little information exists for cattle. Our objective was to quantitate sulfur amino acid requirements of growing steers.

¹Department of Clinical Sciences.

Experimental Procedures

Trial 1. Six ruminally cannulated Holstein steers (352 lb) were utilized in a 6×6 Latin Square design, with treatments being graded levels of L-methionine (0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 g/day). Each period was 6 days long, with 2 days used for treatment adaptation and 4 days for total collection of urine and feces. Blood samples were collected on the last day of each period. Steers were fed 5.8 lb of a diet containing 83% soyhulls, 8% wheat straw, and 1% urea. Volatile fatty acids (225 g acetate, 225 g propionate, and 56 g butyate/day) were infused continuously into the rumens and dextrose (150 g/day) was infused into the abomasums of steers to ensure that energy would not limit their ability to deposit protein. To ensure that amino acids other than methionin e would not limit nitrogen retention, L-valine (21.2), L-leucine (29.6), L-isoleucine (21.6), L-lysine (41.1), L-histidine (9.2), Larginine (19.6), L-threonine (21.2), Lphenylalanine (38.0), L-tryptophan (6.5), Lglutamate (152.8), and glycine (50.8 g/day) were infused into the abomasum. To estimate the specific requirement for methionine (i.e., under conditions where no met honine would be needed to meet cysteine needs), cysteine was included in the amino acid mixture at 12 g/day, a level assumed to exceed the steer's requirement. Problems with infusion sresulted in less than six observations per treatment (Table 1).

Trial 2. Fiv eruminally cannulated Holstein steers (429 lb) were used in a 5×5 Latin Square design. Conditions for this trial were similar to those used in trial 1, except the amount of su pplemental L-methionine found to maximiz e nitrogen retention i nthe presence of excess cysteine in tr in 1 (4 g/day) was provided to all steers in the basal amino acid infusions. Also, cysteine was delete dfrom the basal amino acid infusions. Treatments were abomasal infusions of 0, 1.62, or 3.25 g/day of L-cysteine or 2 or 4 g/day of additional L-methionine. Cysteine was supplied in equimolar amounts to methionine. Diets were similar to those in trial 1.

Ruminal infusions of 180 g acetate, 180 g propionate, and 45 g butyrate (per day) and abomasal infusions of dextrose (300 g/day) were provided. Nonsulfur amino acids were abomasally infused in amounts similar to those used in trial 1.

Results and Discussion

Trial 1. All increases in nitrogen retention resulted from decreased urinary nitrogen excretion. Nitrogen retention increased the most when steers were infused with up to 4 g/day of L-methionine, with some additional response as methionine supplementation increased to 10 g/day (Table 1). We conclude that the supplemental methionine requirement of these steers is 4 g/day in the presence of excess cysteine. Pl ama methionine levels were lowest when steers were infused with 0 or 2 g/day of methionine, and increased linearly when higher levels were infused, which predicted a supplemental requirement of 2 g/day, a level below that predicted by the nitrogen retention data.

Trial 2. Nitrogen retention for growing steers increased in response to methionine infusion and was maximized at a total methionin e level of 6 g/day (4 g basal plus 2 g supplemental; Table 2. Nitrogen retention was not affected by cystein esupplementation. The lack of response to cysteine supplementation suggests that cysteine did not spare any of the steers' requirement for methionine. Plasma methionine levels increased with methionine supplementation, but not with cysteine supplementation. If cysteine did spare methionine, we might expect an increase in methionine levels plasma as cysteine supplementatio n increased. Sulfur amino acid supplementation as methionine supported protein deposition better than did cysteine supplementation. The nonprotein functions of methionine (e.g., methyl group donor) may be quantitatively important enough to result in the higher requirement for methionine relative to cysteine. However, this conclusion may be limited to steers maintained under our experimental protocol.

	L-Methionine, g/day						_
Item	0	2	4	6	8	10	SEM
Steers/treatment	4	4	4	4	3	4	
Intake N, g/d	100.1	97.8	99.5	95.3	100.2	102.9	
Fecal N, g/d	19.7	18.6	18.6	18.1	20.1	22.3	2.0
Urinary N ^a , g/d	57.4	53.0	49.6	46.0	46.8	42.1	3.1
Retained N ^a , g/d	22.9	26.2	31.3	31.1	33.2	38.5	4.1
Plasma methionin e ^a , µM	11.9	11.7	20.4	20.8	31.1	36.7	2.9

 Table 1.
 Effects of Supplementing L-Methionine to Growing Holstein Steers

^aLinear effect of methionine (P<.01).

Table 2.	Effects of Supplementing L-Methionine	e or L-Cysteine to Growing Steers

		L-Methionine, g/d		L-Cysteine, g/d		
Item	0	2	4	1.62	3.25	SEM
Intake N, g/d	107.7	107.9	108.1	107.9	108.1	
Fecal N, g/d	20.8	20.8	20.7	20.5	21.0	.6
Urinary N ^a , g/d	52.3	48.1	48.9	52.1	50.9	1.4
Retained N ^b , g/d	34.6	39.0	38.5	35.3	36.2	1.3
Plasma methionin &, µM	13.1	19.9	24.8	18.1	17.3	2.3

^aLinear effect of methionine (P=.07), Quadratic effect of methionine (P=.11).

^bLinear effect of methionine (P=.03), Quadratic effect of methionine (P=.09).

^cLinear effect of methionine (P=.001).