

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 958

1987

Compudose® compared with Synovex-H® for finishing yearling heifers (1987)

S.B. Laudert

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Laudert, S.B. (1987) "Compudose® compared with Synovex-H® for finishing yearling heifers (1987)," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2361>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1987 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.





Compudose® Compared with Synovex-H®
for Finishing Yearling Heifers¹

Scott B. Laudert²

Summary

Feedlot heifers implanted once with either Compudose® or Synovex-H® performed similarly, with daily gains of 3.16 vs 3.27 lbs, respectively. Compudose retention in the ear was 97.5%. Implant site abscess rate was 2.5% for Compudose and 13.7% for Synovex-H.

Introduction

Compudose® was recently approved for use in feedlot heifers. This trial was conducted to compare the performance of heifers implanted with Compudose® and Synovex-H® under commercial feeding conditions.

Experimental Procedures

One hundred fifty-two predominately British and British cross heifers averaging 697 lbs were randomly assigned by breed type to either Compudose or Synovex-H implant treatments. Both implant brands were administered subcutaneously in the middle third of the ear by a skilled technician familiar with their application. Each heifer was individually identified, weighed, and implanted at the time of initial processing. All animals were fed in the same pen and managed similarly.

All heifers were slaughtered after a 111-day feeding period. Individual carcass weights were divided by the average dressing percentage of the group (63.1%) to estimate individual live slaughter weights. Least squares means procedures were used to analyze the gain data, with initial heifer weight employed as a covariate.

Results

Results are presented in Table 12.1. No difference ($P=.32$) in heifer daily gain was found between the two implants over the 111-day trial. These results should not be extrapolated to heifers fed for greater lengths of time, however. Compudose retention was 97.5%. Implant site abscess rate was 2.5% for Compudose and 13.7% for Synovex-H.

¹ Appreciation is expressed to Grant County Feeders, Ulysses, KS for supplying cattle and facilities and to National Beef Packers, Liberal, KS for slaughter assistance.

² Extension Livestock Specialist, Southwest Kansas.

Table 12.1 Compudose vs Synovex-H Implants for Finishing Yearling Heifers

Item	Compudose	Synovex-H
No. Heifers	79	73
Initial Wt. lb	691	703
Final Wt. lb	1041	1066
Daily Gain, lb	3.16	3.27
Implant Site Abscess %	2.5	13.7
Compudose Retention, %	97.5	--

