Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0 Issue 1 Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)

Article 1464

1968

Nutritive value of forages as affected by soil and climatic differences

D. Richardson

F.G. Clary

Evans E. Banbury

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr



Part of the Other Animal Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Richardson, D.; Clary, F.G.; Banbury, Evans E.; Spaeth, C.W.; Erhart, A.B.; Arnett, D.W.; Boren, Fred W.; and Perry, H.B. (1968) "Nutritive value of forages as affected by soil and climatic differences," Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2867

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1968 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Nutritive value of forages as affected by soil and climatic differences
Authors D. Richardson, F.G. Clary, Evans E. Banbury, C.W. Spaeth, A.B. Erhart, D.W. Arnett, Fred W. Boren, and H.B. Perry

Nutritive Value of Forages as Affected by Soil and Climatic Differences (Project 430)

D. Richardson, F.G. Clary, E.E. Banbury¹, C.W. Spaeth¹, A.B. Erhart², D.W. Arnett², F.W. Boren³, & H.B. Perry³.

- 1 Colby Station
 2 Carden City S
- Garden City Station
- 3 Mound Valley Station

Four previous tests (Kans. Agri. Expt. Sta. Bull. 507:7, 1967) at Colby, Garden City, Manhattan, and Mound Valley, using beef steers from the same herd and feeding the same feed-stuffs, grown locally, produced differences in performance. What is the cause(s) of the differences?

This test was designed as previous ones, except that all locations used the same feed, which was produced at Garden City. Sorghum silage was dehydrated and pelleted for easier transportation. The calves were wintered on the silage pellets and alfalfa hay. Silage pellets were gradually removed from the ration and sorghum grain added for finishing. Analyses of the feedstuffs are shown in table 12. Feedlot performance and carcass data are shown in table 13. Results were more nearly uniform than for any previous year. The test is being repeated. An Atomic Absorption Spectrometer has been obtained and a complete mineral analysis of feedstuffs and water from each location is planned.

Table 12
Feedstuff Analyses, 1966-67

	% Moisture	% Dry matter	% Protein	% Ash	% Ether extract	% Crude fiber	% N.F.E.
Garden City Sorghum silage pellets Alfalfa hay Sorghum grain	5.16 6.99 11.84	94.84 93.01 88.16	4.52 20.63 9.48	12.95 9.79 1.50	1.18 1.29 1.98	24.11 29.64 1.85	52.08 31.66 73.35
Colby Sorghum silage pellets	6.28	93.72	7.36	9.51	1.45	23.99	51.41

Table 13
Feedlot results
Wintering Phase
November 9, 1966 to March 1, 1967 - 112 days

Inantion	COLBY		GARDEN CITY		MANHATTAN		MOUND VALLEY	
Location	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
Lot No.	-	_	-					
No stooms nor lot	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
No. steers per lot Av. initial wt., lb.	436.3	433.8	443.0	425.7	436.3	444.2	436.3	436.3
	576.7	586.3	580.2	563.2	533.3	555.8	530.3	523.0
Av. final wt., 1b.	1.25	1.36	1.23	1.24	.87	1.0	. 84	.77
Av. daily gain, 1b.	1.23	1.50	1.23					
Av. daily ration, 1b:	12.30	12.62	11.73	10.95	11.57	11.68	9.30	9.31
Sorghum silage Pell.	4.67	4.63	4.96	4.96	4.77	5.00	4.72	4.72
Alfalfa hay	4.07	4.03	4.70	1.70				
Feed per cwt. gain, 1b:	001	927	958	892	1336	1172	1108	1203
Sorghum silage Pell.	981 37 3	340	405	404	551	502	562	610
Alfalfa hay	3/3	340	405	404	JJ1	302		
Total dry matter	1077	1105	1205	1221	1779	1578	1574	1708
per cwt. gain, $1b$.	1277	1195	1285	1221	1777	1370	1374	
Feed cost per cwt.1	10.00	10.16	10 /2	10 /2	26.93	23.86	23.65	25.67
gain, \$	19.38	18.16	19.43	18.43			23.03	
Finishi	ng Phase,	March 2	to Septem	ber 10, 1	.907 - 199	uays		
	070	10/0 5	1020 7	1022 2	1058.8	988.5	990.2	1000.0
Av. final wt., 1b.	972.3	1042.5	1030.7	1023.2 2.31	2.64	2.16	2.31	2.40
Av. daily gain, lb.	1.99	2.29	2.26	2.31	2.04	2.10	2.51	2.40
Av. daily ration, 1b.:				, 07	2.75	4.23	4.90	4.89
Alfalfa hay	4.97	4.98	4.97	4.97	3.75	14.84	14.64	14.72
Sorghum grain	14.66	14.94	15.76	14.99	16.60	14.04	14.04	14.72
Feed per cwt. gain:					4.0	106	010	204
Alfalfa hay	250	217	220	215	142	196	212	614
Sorghum grain	737	652	696	648	629	688	634	
Feed cost per cwt. gain,	\$ 16.39	14.45	15.28	14.35	13.10	14.83	14.06	13.60
Av. daily gain, 311 days		1.96	1.89	1.92	2.00	1.75	1.78	1.81
Shrink to market, %	2.47	2.96	4.03	3,90	2.96	2.64	2.13	3.50
Av. hot carcass wt., 1b.	. 584.3	632.7	640.6	619.7	656.8	602.0	613.5	607.5
Dressing %, feedlot wt.	60.0	60.7	62.2	60.6	59.4	60.9	62.0	60.8
Dressing %, market wt.	61.6	62.5	64.8	63.0	63.9	62.5	63.3	63.0
Av. fat thickness								
12 th rib	.55	.58	.78	.67	.63	.62	.60	.53
Estimate % kidney knob	3.50	3.50	3.54	3.53	3.50	3.53	3.47	3.50
Av. size rib eye, sq.in	9.82	11.12	10.78	10.67	11.43	10.53	10.51	10.44
Av. degree. marbling ²	8.0	6.5	6.2	6.2	6.2	7.2	6.7	7.3
Av. yield grade	3.0	3.0	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0
	3.3	4 • •						
Carcass grades:		1						
Prime		-			1			
Low prime	1	1	3	4	1		3	
Top choice	1	3	2	2	3	5	2	2
Av. choice	1	1		_	-			1
Low choice		т.			1	1	1	3
Top good	0				_	_		
Av. good	2							
high standard T Sorohum silage pelle	2			105		1	¢1 00 no	

¹ Sorghum silage pellets, \$30 per ton; alfalfa hay, \$25 per ton; sorghum grain, \$1.80 per cwt

 $^{^2}$ 4 = abundant, 5 = moderate, 6 = modest, 7 = small, 8 = slight, 9 = trace.

Table 14
Feedlot results
Wintering Phase
November 9, 1966 to March 1, 1967 - 112 days
except lot 4, 5, & 6 - 109 days

Location		COLBY			GARDEN CITY	
Lot No.	3	4	3	4	5	6
	Colby pelleted	Colby loose	Brown		Charolais	Charolais
	silage	silage	Swiss	Hereford	X Hereford	X Hereford
No. Animals per lot	6	6	6	6	6	6
Av. initial wt., lb.	434.7	435.5	440.5	432.0	501.0	501.5
Av. final wt., 1b.	619.2	548.3	593.0	564.3	616.2	662.3
Av. daily gain, 1b.	1.65	1.01	1.36		1.06	1.48
Av. daily ration, 1b:	2.00	2.02	1.50	1.27		
sorghum silage		21.61	_	-		28.28
sorg. silage pell.	12.49	-	15.11	12.31	13.15	-
alfalfa hay	4.70	4.73	5.00		5.00	5.00
Feed pr. cwt. gain, 1b:	4.70	4.75	3.00	3.00	3.00	5.00
sorghum silage	223	21.55				19.17
sorg. silage pell.	758	-	1110	1014	1243	
	285	472	367			220
alfalfa hay Total dry matter/cwt.	203	4/2	367	423	486	339
	075.0		10/2 5	12/2 5	1/1/ 7	
gain, 1b.	975.3	74.50		1343.5	1616.7	-
Feed cost/cwt. gain1,\$	14.93	14.52	21.24		24.72	11.90
Finishing	phase, March 2	to septembe	r 10, 190	07 - 199 0	lays	
No. Animals per lot	6	5	6	6	6	6
Av. final wt. 1b.	1102.0	1024.2	1053.5	1034.3	1150.0	1154.7
Av. daily gain, 1b.	2.43	2.36	2.31	2.36	2.68	2.47
Av. daily ration, 1b.						
Alfalfa hay	4.98	4.75	5.00	4.98	5.00	5.00
Sorghum grain	16.08	16.70	16.10	15.03	17.47	16.89
Feed per cwt. gn., 1b:			20120	13.03	11.41	10.03
Alfalfa hay	205	201	216	211	186	202
sorghum grain	663	706	696	636	651	683
Feed cost pr. cwt. gn. 15		15.24	15.23	14.08	14.04	14.82
Shrink to market, %	3.66	3.54	3.82	4.77	4.72	4.16
Av. hot carcass wt., 1b.		628.6	609.2	601.6	691.6	
Dressing %, feedlot wt.	61.21	61.37	57.82	58.16		679.6
Dressing %, market wt.	63.53	63.62	60.12	61.07	60.13	58.85
Av. fat thickness 12th r		0.70	0.12		63.11	61.40
Estimate % kidney knob	3,5	3.5		0.43	0.42	0.35
Av. size rib eye, sq.in.	11.74		3.32	3.5	3.5	3.5
Av. degree marbling ²	6.2	9.73	12.23		11.72	11.33
Av. yield grade	3	. 6.2 3	7.8	6.5	7.0	6.7
	3	3	1.3	3	3	3
Carcass grade: Prime						
	1				1990	
Top choice	4	2			2	2
Av. choice		3		4	2	2
Low choice						2
High good	1			2	1	
Av. good			2		1	
Low good			2			
Av. Standard 1, 2 See Table			2			