## Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0 Issue 10 Swine Day (1968-2014)

Article 113

1977

# Calcium phosphorus levels for developing boars (1977)

J G. Greer

Duane L. Davis

Robert H. Hines

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr



Part of the Other Animal Sciences Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Greer, J.G.; Davis, Duane L.; and Hines, Robert H. (1977) "Calcium phosphorus levels for developing boars (1977)," Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports: Vol. 0: Iss. 10. https://doi.org/10.4148/ 2378-5977.5953

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1977 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



J. G. Greer, R. H. Hines and D. L. Davis

### Summary

Forty-two boars averaging 67 lbs. were used to study how various levels of calcium and phosphorus in their feed affected their development. Calciumto phosphorus ratios of 1.2 to 1 were maintained in all treatment diets, which ranged from a low of .55% calcium and .45% phosphorus to a high of 1.30% calcium and 1.05% phosphorus. Seven treatment groups were replicated three times. No significant differences were observed for average daily gain, feed efficiency, carcass length, and backfat. Based on this trial, it appears that 0.55% calcium and 0.45% phosphorus is adequate for optimum growth of developing boars.

### Introduction

Leg problems in young boars seem to be increasing for swine producers. Some leg problems seem to be due to structural unsoundness; some may be due to inadequate dietary calcium (Ca) and/or phosphorus (P). Most producers give developing boars amounts equal to the recommended mineral requirements for growing barrows and gilts, but that practice may be questionable in that boars grow at a faster rate and are freer of backfat. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the use of five levels of Ca and P in the rations of developing boars as measured by feed efficiency and average daily gain, carcass backfat, and length.

#### Procedure

Forty-two crossbred boars ( $\frac{1}{4}D_{\frac{1}{4}}Y_{\frac{1}{2}}H$ ) averaging 65 lbs. were randomly assigned by weight and litter to seven dietary treatments: (A) 0.55% calcium, 0.45% phosphorus; (B) 0.74% calcium, 0.60% phosphorus; (C) 0.93% calcium, 0.75% phosphorus; (D) 1.1% calcium and 0.90% phosphorus; (E) 1.30% calcium, 1.05% phosphorus; (F) ration B to 150 lbs. followed by ration D to 250 lbs.; (G) ration D to 150 lbs. followed by ration B to 250 lbs. Each treatment was replicated three times. Composition of diets is shown in table 23 .

Boars were housed in an open-fronted barn, two per pen (4' x 16'). Pigs in each pen had access to a one-hole self feeder and an automatic waterer. Boars were fed a pelleted corn-soybean meal diet supplemented with sources of calcium and phosphorus to the desired levels. Boars in each pen were weighed off feed when they averaged 250 lbs.; they then were slaughtered and their carcass backfat and length determined. Bone samples were collected to analyze for breaking strength, ash content, and other factors. (Analyses have not been completed.)

#### Results and Discussion

As shown in table 24 , different levels of dietary Ca and P (fed at a ratio of 1.2 to 1) did not significantly influence feed consumption, daily gain, or feed efficiency. This feeding

trial, however, indicated that optimum growth and efficiency were maintained at a dietary level of .55% calcium and .45% phosphorus.

There were no significant differences in backfat thickness or carcass length among the boars in the seven dietary treatments.

Chemical analysis of rations used in this study were as follows: (A) .56% Ca - .55% P, (B) .77% Ca - .63% P, (C) .81% Ca - 68% P, (D) 1.11% Ca - .88% P, (E) 1.50% Ca - 1.27% P.

Table 23 . Composition of rations.

Calcium, % Phosphorus, %	(A) .55 .45	(B) .74 .60	(C) .93 .75	(D) 1.10 .90	(E) a 1.30 1.05
Ingredients, %:					
Corn	73.24	72.37	71.50	70.63	69.75
Soybean meal (44%)	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00	24.00
Dicalcium_phosphate <sup>C</sup>	.62	1.33	2.05	2.76	3.50
Soybean meal (44%) <sup>b</sup> Dicalcium <sub>d</sub> phosphate <sup>c</sup> Limestone	.79	.95	1.10	1.26	1.40
Salt	.50	.50	.50	.50	.50
Trace mineral	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10
Vit. premix	.50	.50	.50	.50	.50
Antibiotic	.10	.10	.10	.10	.10

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}(F)$  = ration B to 150 lbs. followed by D to 250 lbs.; (G)  $_{b}^{=}$  ration D to 150 lbs. followed by ration B to 250 lbs. Soybean meal used analyzed .53% calcium, .55% phosphorus. Dicalcium phosphate used contained 18% calcium, 21% d.phosphorus.

Limestone used contained 38% calcium.

Table 24. Performance of developing boars fed various evels of calcium and phosphorus at ratio of 1.2 to 1.

Treat	t- Calcium %	Phos- phorus %	Daily lb.	gain (kg) <sup>a</sup>	Feed/ gain lb.b	Daily lb.	intake <sup>b</sup> (kg)
A B C	.55 .74 .93	.45 .60 .75	1.96 1.91 2.07	(.89) (.87) (.94)	2.97 3.02 2.91	5.83 5.75 6.02	(2.65) (2.61) (2.73)
D E	1.10 1.30	.90 1.05	1.82 2.05	(.83) (.93)	3.09 3.00	5.61 6.13	(2.55) (2.78)
F	Trt B to 1 then D		2.09	(.95)	2.95	6.15	(2.79)
G	Trt D to 1 then B	50 IDS.	2.06	(.94)	2.90	6.00	(2.72)

<sup>a</sup>Each value is the average of six pigs.
Each value is the average of three pens (two pigs each).

Table 25 . Carcass data for boars fed various amounts of calcium and phosphorus.  $^{\rm a}$ 

Treat-	Calcium	Phosphorus	Slaughter (weight,	Carcass	
ment	<b>%</b>	%	16.)	Length	BF
A. B C	.56 .74 .94	.45 .60 .75	268.0 260.8 273.5	32.9 32.5 33.2	.93 .84 .98
D E	1.12 1.31	.90 1.05	259.7 272.2	32.4 33.2	.85 .90
	B to 150 1 D to 150 1		275.8 276.2	33.1 33.6	.99 .94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Each value is an average of six pigs per treatment.