Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0 Issue 12 *Keeping up with Research*

Article 59

1974

Herbicides for Weeds in Soybeans (1974)

Louis J. Meyer

Fred W. Boren

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr

Recommended Citation

Meyer, Louis J. and Boren, Fred W. (1974) "Herbicides for Weeds in Soybeans (1974)," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 12. https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.7296

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1974 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



This publication from Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service has been archived. Current information: http://www.ksre.ksu.edu.



Keeping Up With Research

APRIL 1974

Herbicides for Weeds in Soybeans

Louis Meyer, Agronomist Fred W. Boren, Station Superintendent

Thirty-nine herbicidal treatments were evaluated to determine: 1) how well they control weeds in soybeans, and 2) soybean tolerance to the herbicides. Nontreated, hand weeded, and cultivated plots were compared. The site has a Cherokee silt loam soil (1.4% organic matter). Herbicides were applied using a tractor-mounted plot sprayer, in 28 gallons of water per acre, with 40 pounds pressure, and 8004 nozzles. Plots were 10 feet wide (four 30-inch rows) and 30 feet long. Fertilizer was applied in late May (15 pounds N, 40 pounds P₂O₅, and 60 pounds K₂O per acre). Rain-fall the first two weeks after herbicide application was 0.09 inch June 15 and 0.29 inch June 20.

AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION Kansas State University, Manhattan

Floyd W. Smith, Director

Chronological Procedure

June 6 we applied preplant incorporated (PPI) herbicides to cleantilled plots; then tandem-disked and drag harrowed once. Applications were made from 2 to 2:30 p.m., the sky was overcast, there was no wind, and the soil surface was moist. High for the day was 81° F.

June 7 we planted innoculated Columbus soybeans at 10 seeds per foot, covered 1.5 inches deep, then applied preemergence (PRE) herbicides from 9 a.m. to 2 p.m.; the sky was clear, and wind gusts reached 10 mph. There was no noticeable distortion of the spray pattern, and high for the day was 85° F.

We overseeded the area with soybean-seed cleanings containing mostly pigweed and crabgrass seed, then lightly drag harrowed to incorporated the weed seed and preemergence herbicides

June 29 and July 13 we cultivated the cultivation-only plots.

September 4 we rated each plot for percentage of pigweed controlled. Other weed species were too scarce for control ratings.

October 20 we harvested the center two rows of each plot using a self-propelled Massey Ferguson 35 combine with Hesston headers.

Summary

Yields, reported as average of three replications at 12.5% moisture, are low because of extremely dry August weather, but treatment differences correlate somewhat with pigweed-control percentages (reported as averages of three replications). Soybeans seemed to be injured on plots that received 0.50 pound or more active ingredient per acre of Sencor. Soybean injury followed a 0.74 inch rain July 15, but recovery was complete by July 30. No physical compatability problems were encountered with any herbicide mixture we tested.

Soybean herbicide performance test, Columbus, 1973.

Treatment	lbs. Al/a	When applied	Yield, bu/a	Pigweed control, %
No treatment	*****	*****	9.1	0
Hand weed		*****	11.1	100
2 cultivations	*****		8.9	60
Lasso	2.5	PRE	11.6	99
Surflan	1.5	PRE	12.8	73
Treflan	.75	PPI	11.7	88
Amiben	3.0	PRE	8.2	67
Tolban	.75	PPI	12.1	98
Planavin	1.0	PPI	15.4	87
Maloran	2.0	PRE	11.9	90
Lorox	1.0	PRE	12.8	93
Bladex	2.5	PRE	11.8	15
Ronstar	1.5	PRE	10.8	85
Sencor	.375	PRE	9.4	87
Sencor	.5	PRE	8.1	92
Sencor	.75	PRE	10.0	93
Modown	2.0	PRE	11.5	83
Modown	4.0	PRE	11.0	99
Modown & Surflan	1.5 & 1.5	PRE	6.8	45
Modown & Lasso		PRE	11.5	99
Modown & Lasso	- F	PRE	10.8	100
Modown & Lasso		PRE	16.1	100
Modown + Treflan	.75 + .75	PRE + PPI	13.5	99
Modown + Treflan		PRE + PPI	17.3	99
Modown + Treflan		PRE + PPI	13.4	99
Sencor & Lasso		PRE	8.4	99
Treflan + Sencor	+ .375	PPI + PRE	10.7	100
Treflan + Sencor		PPI + PRE	13.8	100
Treflan & Sencor	.75 & .375	PPI	11.3	95
		PRE	6.9	76 .
Amiben & Sencor		PRE	12.7	83
Surflan & Sencor		PRE	7.3	94
	.5 + 1.5	PPI + PRE	9.2	99
Tolban + Maloran		PRE	10.2	99
Lasso & Maloran		PRE	8.8	98
Lasso & Lorox		PRE	10.5	93
Bladex & Lasso	and the same of th	PRE	12.1	82
Bladex & Lorox		PPI + PRE	13.5	99
Treflan + Bladex	the state of the s	PPI + PRE	14.0	95
		PRE	7.2	67
Ronstar & Asulox		PRE	7.2 9.4	84
Ronstar & Asulox		PPI + PRE	10.4	99
		, , , , E 25hm	4.7	14
LSD .05			4.7	14

This publication from Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service has been archived. Current information: http://www.ksre.ksu.edu.

Herbicide materials used, Columbus, 1973.

Trade name	Common name	Formulation(s) used ¹	
Amiben	chloramben	2 lb/gallon	
Bladex	cyanazin	80 WP	
Lasso	alachlor	4 lb/gallon	
Lorox	linuron	50 WP	
Maloran	chlorbromuron	50 WP	
Modown (MC-4379)	bifenox	85 WP & 2 lb/gallon	
Planavin	nitralin	75 WP & 4 lb/gallon	
Ronstar	oxadiazon	2 lb/gallon	
Ronstar & Asulox	oxadiazon & asula m	40 & 40 WP	
Ronstar & Asulox	óxadiazon & asulam	20 & 40 WP	
Sencor	metribuzin	50 WP	
Surflan (EL-119)	oryzalin	75 WP	
Tolban (CGA-10832)	profluralin	4 lb/gallon	
Treflan	trifluralin	4 lb/gallon	

^{1.} WP refers to wettable powders, the number preceding it is the percentage of active ingredient in the powder. Liquid formulations are expressed in pounds of active ingredient per gallon. Where two formulations of a herbicide are listed, the liquid formulation was used in combination with other liquid herbicides. The powders were used in combination with other powders. All formulations applied alone were liquid.

Information in this report is for farmers, producers, colleagues, industry cooperators, and other interested persons. It is not a recommendation or endorsement and is from only one year of research.

Special thanks are due the following for support:

Amchem Products, Inc.
BASF Wyandotte Corporation
Chemagro Corporation
Chipman Chemical Company, Inc.
CIBA-Geigy Corporation
Elanco Products Company
E. I. DuPont De Nemours and Co.
Mobil Chemical Company
Monsanto Chemical Company
Shell Chemical Company

Contribution no. 34, Southeast Kansas Branch Experiment Station, Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Kansas State University, Mound Valley.

Publications and public meetings by the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station are available and open to the public regardless of race, color, national origin, sex, or religion.

SOUTHEASTERN KANSAS BRANCH

Mound Valley

Fred Boren, Superintendent