

2017

The Diversity of School Social Work in Germany: A Systematic Review of the Literature

Kathrin F. Beck

University of Eastern Finland, kathrin_beck87@web.de

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/ijssw>

 Part of the [Educational Sociology Commons](#), [Social Work Commons](#), and the [Student Counseling and Personnel Services Commons](#)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](#).

Recommended Citation

Beck, Kathrin F. (2017) "The Diversity of School Social Work in Germany: A Systematic Review of the Literature," *International Journal of School Social Work*: Vol. 2: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2161-4148.1021>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Journal of School Social Work by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

The Diversity of School Social Work in Germany: A Systematic Review of the Literature

Abstract

Children in Germany are confronted with an increasing societal inequality and disorientation that makes it difficult for them to cope with life. School social work in Germany is an intensive form of cooperation between the institutionally divided systems of child and youth welfare and education. The aim of this article is threefold: to present (1) relevant aspects of both systems, (2) the diversity of terms being used to describe this specific form of cooperation and (3) an exemplary selection of concepts of school social work. Therefore, a systematic review of the literature was done, taking publications between 2000 and 2016 into account. The findings show that there is a high diversity of terms being used to describe this form of cooperation as well as different concepts which have some similarities and differences. Therefore, school social work in Germany must be characterized as diverse, incoherent and in need of further clarification.

Keywords

School Social Work, International School Social Work, Germany, Child Welfare, Legal Bases, Education, Youth Welfare, Concepts

Cover Page Footnote

Kathrin F. Beck, Faculty of Social Sciences and Business Studies, University of Eastern Finland

The Diversity of School Social Work in Germany: A Systematic Review of the Literature

The financial, social and cultural capital of a family are significant for a child's general chances of success and lead to different preconditions for minors (BMFSFJ, 2013, p. 40). This includes the education experiences of the parents, access to the labor market, action alternatives in parental situations and strategies to solve conflicts within a family. Current studies (Bertelsmann, 2014; Shell, 2015) provide evidence that the academic success of a child also depends strongly on the social class in which they are born. This means, for example, that ninth graders from an upper income family have a competence advantage of two years compared to children from lower income family. Additionally, those who leave school without a certificate have a lower chance of finding a place to train and of entering regular employment (Bertelsmann, 2014, p. 17). School social work as a provision of child and youth welfare, takes place directly at school and tries to contribute to the reduction and prevention of social disadvantages and individual challenges by supporting minors in their individual and social development (Section 1 subsec. 3 SGB VIII). Germany has many different forms of cooperation between child and youth welfare and education; one of these is school social work, which has its own variety of terminologies and concepts. Thus, this variety of terminology and concepts of school social work still presents an unclear picture in the broad field of cooperation between child and youth welfare and education.

To represent the diversity of school social work in Germany, a systematic review of the published literature from 2000 to 2016 was conducted to identify relevant aspects of the reference systems of child and youth welfare and education, the scope of different terms being used to describe their cooperation and an exemplary selection of concepts. This article will begin by focusing on the theoretical framework of school social work, taking the concept of lifeworld orientation into account, then moving on to the systematic literature review before turning to the results and conclusion.

Theoretical Framework

Lifeworld orientation

The concept of lifeworld orientation has decisively shaped the development of social work practice and theory in Germany since the 1970s (Grunwald & Thiersch, 2001, p. 1136). This concept assumes that the living conditions of human beings are determined by two different concepts: the first is the pluralization of life situations and the second is the individualization of living conditions. The pluralization of life situations is a concept used to describe the disparate living conditions of human beings depending on factors such as whether they are living in urban or rural areas, whether they are natives or foreigners, age, and gender (Thiersch, 2014, p. 18). The concept of the individualization of living conditions states that traditional forms of life become increasingly fragile, which leads to new possibilities and risks for human beings regarding their career, housing, family and neighbor relations and family

models (Thiersch, p. 18f.). Thiersch (2014, p. 19) emphasizes the ambivalent nature of this concept, as it may overtax human beings while orientating themselves and indicates that coping with life becomes increasingly complex. These concepts must be seen connected with each other, as they describe the individual forms and possibilities of human beings to move within certain societal structures (Thiersch, 2014). Additionally, Klafki (1979, p. 51) notes that human beings are only able to emancipate themselves and to live independently if society provides the necessary structural conditions.

With regard to the previous considerations, school social workers use their legal, institutional and professional resources to help their clients attain independence, self-help and social justice (Grunwald & Thiersch, 2011, p. 1136). Here, the focus is specifically on clients who are not able to connect well to their resources and strengths (Thiersch, 2014, p. 24). While addressing these issues, social workers treat their clients as a whole, considering ‘family, community, societal, and natural environments’ (IFSW & IASSW, 2004, 502). Additionally, school social work becomes actively involved in social policy to change dysfunctional structures that contribute to further social injustice.

Systematic Literature Review

This chapter provides a systematic literature review relating to school social work in Germany. The aim of this review is to represent (1) relevant aspects of the child and youth welfare and the education systems, (2) the diversity of terms being used to describe this specific form of cooperation between both systems and (3) an exemplary selection of concepts of school social work. First, the methods that were used to obtain the relevant articles will be presented. Then, the information sources and keywords that were used will be explained along with the criteria through which publications were included. Finally, the findings of the review will be presented and discussed.

Method

A systematic review of the literature from 2000 to 2016 was undertaken using the database GESIS Sowiport, which combines 18 different databases, namely, USB Köln, SSOAR, GESIS Bibliothek, DZA, DIPF / FIS Bildung, IAB / LitDokAB, SOFIS, SOLIS, FES – Katalog, DZI, SSA, SA, WPSA, ASSIA, PAIS, PEI, WAO, and PAO. The keywords that were used to in the search can be found in Table 1. The electronic search uncovered some literature about school social work that is not as well known, but it also failed to find some of the well-known literature due to poor indexing, imprecise or missing abstracts and a lack of terminological standardization. Therefore, reference lists of the selected publications were also examined, as were legislative texts and child and youth reports by the Federal Government of Germany. The results were included in the review if they (1) were focused on the specific form of cooperation between child and youth welfare and education known as school social work, (2) were published between 2000 and 2016, (3) were written in the German language, (4) were focused on Germany, (5) were not limited to one specific school type and (6) provided an abstract. Many of the results could be

eliminated in a first step based on the title and in a second step based on whether the abstract was available.

Table 1

Keywords being used alone or in combination

Original term	Translated term
Schulsozialarbeit	School social work
Schulsozialpädagogik	School social pedagogy
Sozialpädagogik	Social pedagogy
Soziale Arbeit	Social work
Kinder- und Jugendhilfe	Child and youth welfare
Rechtliche Grundlagen	Legal bases
SGB VIII	Social Code Book VIII
Konzept	Concept

Using GESIS Sowiport, 2036 results could be identified for the keyword ‘Schulsozialarbeit’ (school social work) and 11 results for ‘Schulsozialpädagogik’ (school social pedagogy) as potentially relevant, including duplicates. Searching for ‘Schulsozialarbeit’ (school social work) AND ‘rechtliche Grundlagen’ (legal bases) OR ‘SGB VIII’ (Social Code Book VIII) identified a total of 7 results, 6 of which were excluded, as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Reference lists of the selected publications were then examined; legislative texts and reports by the Federal Government were also used to gain knowledge. Searching for ‘Schulsozialarbeit’ (school social work) AND ‘Konzept’ (concept), identified 26 results, 23 of which were excluded. Here, reference lists were also examined and used as a supplement.

Results

Child and youth welfare

In Germany, child and youth welfare and public accountability for child rearing are conducted at different federal levels, namely, federal, federal states, municipalities and municipality associations (BMFSFJ, 2013, p. 375). At the federal level, a nationwide structure is formed and national legislation is executed with regard to fundamental issues and the central content of the services of child and youth welfare. At the federal state level, federal state-specific structures and laws with different priorities complement the national structure and legislation. At the municipality level, the providers of public child and youth welfare execute the tasks of child and youth welfare, including the planning responsibilities.

Galm & Derr (2011, p. 17) note that the ‘guiding principles, structure and responsibilities of the German child and youth welfare system are regulated in the “Social Code, Book VIII – Child and Youth Services” (SGB VIII)’, which

presents a ‘wide range of welfare services that parents are entitled to’ (SGB VIII), including the services regarding youth work, youth social work and educational child and youth protection (Sections 11 to 14 SGB VIII); promoting education by the family (Sections 16 to 21 SGB VIII); promoting children in day-care facilities (Sections 22 to 25 SGB VIII); supporting child-raising and supplementary services (Sections 27 to 35, 36, 37, 39, 40 SGB VIII); supporting mentally disabled children and minors and supplementary services (Sections 35a to 37, 39, 40 SGB VIII) as well as support for young adults and aftercare (Section 41 SGB VIII). In addition to these services, child and youth welfare in Germany offers what they refer to as ‘other tasks’, which include services such as taking children and minors into care (Section 42 SGB VIII), temporarily taking children or minors into care after an unaccompanied entry into the Federation (Section 42a SGB VIII) and involvement in proceedings before a family court (Section 50 SGB VIII).

The systematic literature review revealed that different Sections of the SGB VIII are associated with school social work, depending on how it is understood. Many authors view school social work as a service pursuant to Section 13 of the SGB VIII (BMFSFJ, 2005, BMFSFJ, 2013; Kunkel, 2016; Münder, 2013; Rademacker, 2011) or the Sections 11 and 13 (for example Olk, Bathke, and Hartnuß, 2002; Speck, 2014). In addition to these two main directions, other Sections are also considered relevant to this topic. While Pötter (2014) regards school social work as a service deriving from Sections 11, 13, 14, 16 and 81 of the SGB VIII, Meinunger (2016) traces school social work back to Section 13 and notes that Sections 1, 8, 9, 11, 13, 16 and 81 are also often associated with this issue. Kunkel (2016) refers to the protection mandate of school social work in cases of child endangerment pursuant to Section 8a of the SGB VIII. Therefore, the abovementioned Sections will be explained below.

Section 1 of the SGB VIII regulates the right of young people to education, parental responsibility and youth welfare. In accordance with Section 1, subsec. 1 of the SGB VIII, every young person has the right to be supported in his development and educated to a responsible and social personality. Pursuant to Section 1, subsec. 2 of the SGB VIII and Art. 6 of the German Constitution, the care and upbringing of children is the natural right of parents and a duty primarily incumbent upon them. Additionally, the state shall watch over the parents in the performance of this duty. Finally, Section 1, subsec. 3 of the SGB VIII clarifies the scope of child and youth welfare, ranging from prevention-orientated duties that aim to improve existing life conditions to interventions in cases of social problems (Münder, 2013, p. 77). Accordingly, youth welfare is obliged (1) to promote young people in their individual and social development and to contribute to the prevention and reduction of disadvantages, (2) to counsel and support parents and other guardians in the upbringing of their children, (3) to protect children and young people from harm to their welfare, and (4) to establish and maintain positive living conditions for young people and their families as well as a children- and family-friendly environment. When applied to school social work, this means that professionals become actively involved in school development processes to establish equal

opportunities for all children and intervene rapidly in serious situations (Rademacker, 2011, p. 19).

In addition to Section 1, Section 8 of the SGB VIII is also associated with school social work. It states that children should be actively involved in all decisions that affect their well-being to a degree that reflects their level of development. They are also entitled to contact the youth welfare department regarding educational and developmental issues without having to notify their parents and especially in crisis situations. Specifically, this means that children should be involved in all decisions that affect them, such as the planning of social- or adventure-based activities. Section 8a of the SGB VIII deserves particular attention with regard to the protection of children, as it refers to the protection mandate of youth welfare departments in cases of child endangerment. Section 8a, subsec. 4 of the SGB VIII describes the protection mandate required of all institutions and professionals. This mandate provides services under the law, including school social workers. Thus, school social workers are obliged to perform a risk assessment if they have credible information indicating a risk to the welfare of a child. Here, school social workers have to involve a specialist and the parents unless the protection of the child is not called into question. Finally, they have to inform the youth welfare department if the parents refuse to accept the necessary support. In accordance with Section 9 of the SGB VIII, youth welfare services must consider the basic orientation of the parents' education, the growing need of children to act independently and the different life situations of girls and boys.

Sections 11 and 13 of the SGB VIII are considered particularly relevant for school social work. Section 11, subsec. 1 of the SGB VIII states that young people should be offered the required youth work services that promote their development and enable self-determination, societal responsibility and social engagement. These roles should also be co-determined by the children and reflect their interests. Focus should be placed on work-, school-, and family-related youth work, among others (Section 11 subsec. 3 sentence 3 SGB VIII). School social work that refers to Section 11 of the SGB VIII provides services for all children of a school; school social work that follows Section 13 of the SGB VIII provides children and young people in need greater support in order to compensate for social disadvantages and to overcome individual impairments. According to Mnder (2013, p. 204), children are disadvantaged if their age-appropriate societal integration has not had at least average success. Social disadvantages usually go hand in hand with inadequate socialization within the family, at school, during vocational training, in workplace or in another environment (Mnder, 2013). These disadvantages are often caused by economic situations, family circumstances, deficient education, or discrimination based on gender, ethnic, or cultural origin. A heightened level of risk exists if young people, for example, leave school without a school-leaving certificate, drop out due to measures of the labor administration, visit a vocational preparatory school, have specific social deficits, or have inadequate socialization within the family or a migration background. The term 'individual impairment' covers not only psychological, physical and individual impairments, such as addiction or overindebtedness, but also learning,

performance and developmental impairments, weaknesses and disorders (Münder, 2013). Finally, young people who are socially disadvantaged or individually impaired should be offered social-educational assistance by the child and youth welfare system to promote their educational and vocational training and their integration into the labor market and society (Section 13 subsec. 1 SGB VIII).

In accordance with Section 14 of the SGB VIII, young people should be provided with offers from educational child and youth protection. Section 14, subsec. 2 of the SGB VIII states that young people should be enabled to protect themselves from dangerous influences and develop conflict- and decision-making abilities as well as responsibility for themselves and others. Additionally, parents and other guardians should be enabled to protect children and young people from dangerous influences. Section 16 of the SGB VIII states that parents and other guardians shall be offered services that enable them to perform their parental responsibilities and solve conflict situations without violence. Finally, Section 81 of the SGB VIII regulates the cooperation between child and youth welfare and institutions that affect minors and their parents, including schools.

Education system

Due to the cultural sovereignty of the federal states of Germany with regard to culture, science and education, the federal states are responsible for the concrete formation and implementation of education policies (Lohmar & Eckhardt, 2014, p. 16). Hence, Germany lacks a single education policy; instead, it has 16 different ones. Despite their differences, all education systems share the same basic structure, which can be divided into early childhood education, primary education, secondary education, tertiary education and continuing education (Lohmar & Eckhardt, 2014, p. 25). Full-time compulsory schooling begins in the year in which a child turns six and lasts for nine years except in Berlin, Brandenburg, Bremen und Thuringia, where full-time compulsory schooling lasts ten years. In North Rhine-Westphalia, full-time compulsory schooling lasts for nine years for children who attend a Gymnasium and ten years for those who attend another general education school. Full-time compulsory schooling is followed by part-time compulsory schooling for those who do not attend a vocational school at the upper secondary level or a full-time general education school (Lohmar & Eckhardt, 2014, p. 26).

According to Just (2016b, p. 72f.), the term ‘school social work’ is directly mentioned in the school laws of Rheinland-Palatinate, Saarland and Saxony. ‘Youth welfare’ is mentioned in every federal state except Baden-Württemberg, and ‘youth welfare department’ is mentioned in every federal state except Niedersachsen, Sachsen and Schleswig-Holstein. Thus far, the cooperation between child and youth welfare and school has mostly been limited to cases in the field of child endangerment, school truancy and other special cases (Just, 2016b).

Child and youth welfare and education – similarities and differences

Maykus (2011, p. 92f.) compares the two systems of child and youth welfare and education while taking into account the legal foundations and principles, forms of institutionalization, functions, structural and organizational aspects, socialization areas and interaction relationships and requirements. He concludes that compulsory school runs counter to child and youth welfare, which occurs on a voluntary basis, and thus agrees with Fend (2008, p. 49f.) who notes that both systems have contrarian functions. While school has societal functions (enculturation, qualification, allocation, legitimation and integration) as well as individual functions (cultural participation and identity, employability, life planning, social identity and political participation), child and youth welfare supports their clients in their life management and integration, contributes to positive life circumstances and development opportunities and reduces discriminatory structures (Maykus, 2011, p. 92f).

According to Olk, Hartnuß, and Birger (2000, p. 15), schools primarily exercise their qualification and selection functions and neglect their integration function. Homfeldt & Schulze-Krüdener (2001, p. 9) consider school to be an allocation apparatus for individual life chances as well as a central authority for socialization. Accordingly, schools produce social inequality and exclusion through their selective character. Children who are not able to fulfill the requirements are remediated through youth welfare services, which aim to reintegrate them.

Rademacker (2011, p. 22) notes different factors that must be seen as challenging preconditions for the cooperation between both systems. While child and youth welfare is linked to the principle of subsidiarity, meaning that private organizations are given priority over public ones to run child and youth welfare services, education is dominated by public organizations (Rademacker, 2011). Differences can also be noticed in other areas. While legislation on child and youth welfare is a task of the Federation and communities are responsible for establishing a youth welfare department to plan, operate and finance child and youth welfare, education policy is formed, implemented and financed by the federal states.

Various terms

Spies & Pötter (2011) identify 11 different terms for school social work. Additionally, Speck (2006, 2014) notes different terms with regard to federal state-wide funding programs and specialist literature. He notes the continuing lack of a common term and content-related understanding of school social work (Speck, 2014, p. 35).

The literature review reveals an inconsistent use of terms that describe this specific form of cooperation between child and youth welfare and education. While the majority of publications use the term school social work (for example Foltin, 2015; Seibold, 2015; Speck, 2014), various others exist. A general distinction is made between school social work as a cooperative field between child and youth welfare, which is a subsystem of social work, and

school (for example, Deinet & Icking, 2006; Balnis, Demmer, & Rademacker, 2005; Hettlich, 2012; Henschel, 2008; Horstkemper & Tillmann, 2008; Koch-Wohsmann, 2008; Markowetz & Schwab, 2012; Nieslony & Stehr, 2008; Nieslony, 2008; Olk, Bathke, & Hartnuß, 2000; Palatzky, 2008; Rademacker, 2009; v. Reischach, 2006, 2007), between social work and school (for example Braches-Chyrek, Lenz, & Kammermeier, 2012; Fischer, Genenger-Stricker, & Schmidt-Koddenberg, 2016) and between social pedagogy and school (Mührel, 2009; Holtappels, 2008). Appendix 1 outlines the original and translated headings of publications between 2000 and 2016, clarifying this highly diverse terminology. The review also shows that some authors use not only one term, but multiple terms to describe this form of cooperation. Therefore, an author could use one term in the title and another term in the article or book (for example Just, 2016a).

Concepts of school social work

The following chapter represents an exemplary selection of concepts that exist in the field of school social work. Three results were considered along with other sources, which can be found in the references. The findings do not reveal a coherent picture of school social work, instead offer a very diverse and controversial image.

Meinunger (2015) raises fundamental questions concerning the affiliation of school social work, its legal foundation, and its provision and further development. Regarding the affiliation, she asks whether school social work is the task of child and youth welfare or schools and, in that vein, whether school social work should be provided through child and youth welfare or school. She believes that both topics are controversial. She also asks whether school social work is legally based on the SGB VIII. According to Meinunger, when the new SGB VIII was passed, the legislature intended school social work to be part of youth social work. She concludes that many Sections of the SGB VIII are currently associated with school social work, and she regrets the lack of a clear school social work Section. Finally, Meinunger asks whether the further development of school social work could be supported and made possible by legislative changes. Reischach (2006) outlines different concepts and the initial positions of school social work, thus illustrating commonalities and differences. The 1980s primarily focused on providing school social work with a uniform term that encompassed its diversity. By the beginning of the 21st century, further differentiation started to be noticed. According to Reischach (2006), school social work is currently well established and recognized as important. Despite conceptual differences, all approaches share the idea that school social work must be an independent service of child and youth welfare and must not be subsumed under school goals.

An exemplary selection of concepts based on the author's systematic literature review will be presented in the following section. Additionally, details concerning the authors, legal foundations, concepts and target groups can be found in Appendix 2.

Rademacker (2002), Münder (2013), Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend (BMFSFJ, 2013) and Kunkel (2016) share the idea that school social work is school-related youth social work pursuant to Section 13 of the SGB VIII and therefore an independent service of child and youth welfare. Kunkel (2016) and Rademacker (2002) further define school-related youth social work as social work in schools, which means that no difference exists between social work and school social work except the location of the working place. These scholars all consider socially disadvantaged and individually impaired children and minors to be the target group, and they particularly focus on children who are tired of school and whose success at school is at risk. They also focus on the transition of children from school to vocational training and regular employment. Rademacker (2002) places particular emphasis the importance of education and qualifications for social inclusion, which constitutes a shift towards greater social inequality. Rademacker (2002) and Münder (2013) demand an advocacy approach, combining work with the client and political work. Kunkel (2016) is also the only person who focuses explicitly on the protection mandate of school social workers in the case of child endangerment. These scholars all argue that school social work as a service of child and youth welfare must be employed by child and youth welfare organizations and distinguished from school providers. Finally, the BMFSFJ (2013) assumes that school social work has a specific role in the relationship between school, parents and social work and is therefore able to sensitize school for the needs of the pupils and their parents.

Speck (2014) and Olk, Hartnuß, & Birger (2000) define school social work as an autonomous service of child and youth welfare. In contrast to BMFSFJ (2013), Münder (2013), Kunkel (2016) and Rademacker (2002), they assume that school social work is pursuant to Sections 11 and 13 of the SGB VIII and that its tasks are therefore not limited to socially disadvantaged and individually impaired children. Speck (2014) and Olk, Hartnuß & Birger (2002) agree that school social work should neither be limited to leisure-pedagogical nor to problem-related interventions, thus disagreeing with Rademacker (2002) who criticizes leisure-time activities in the frame of school social work. Speck (2014) defines school social work as a task that tries to promote young people's individual, social, school and working development and that contributes to avoiding or reducing education inequalities. Olk, Hartnuß & Birger (2000) consider school social work to be a subsystem within the school system and state that it is therefore able to encourage school developmental processes and to function as a bridge between the school system and child and youth welfare. They agree with Seithe (1998) who stated that school social work is situation- and intervention-related; lifeworld- and present-related; conducive and encouraging; orientated towards the children's needs; process-, product-, and holistic-orientated and voluntary.

Conclusion

This article started by focusing on minors who are socially disadvantaged, individually impaired and have life difficulties with which they must cope. School social work that follows a lifeworld-orientated approach tries

to empower minors to help themselves and to change dysfunctional structures that hinder these young people from emancipation. The systematic review of the literature revealed the current lack of either a coherent terminology to describe this form of cooperation between school and child and youth welfare, or a single concept. The basic orientation of the terms currently used to describe this specific form of cooperation can be assigned to three categories: Child and youth welfare and school, social work and school and social pedagogy and school. A greater number of publications apparently assigns school social work to the category of social work and school (1517 results) than to the category of social pedagogy and school (663 results). This finding is supported by a significant difference between the number of publications for school social work (2036 results) and school social pedagogy (11 results) and the fact that the term school social pedagogy has not been used as a publication heading since 2008. These findings allow conclusions to be drawn concerning the fundamental nature of school social work. While most authors use the term school social work, many others exist; additionally, some authors do not use one term consistently, instead using multiple terms, adding to the complexity of the issue. Regarding the exemplary selection of concepts of school social work, two main directions are clearly paramount. They differ in their legal bases, target groups and measures. The results presented also reveal that the topic of child endangerment is hardly mentioned in the published literature despite the legal bounds of school social workers. All in all, school social work in Germany can be described as a very diverse working field that requires further clarification.

In order to promote the professionalization of school social work and to enable international communication, a uniform terminology is indispensable. The systematic review of the literature revealed 31 terms which are associated with school social work. Thereby, these terms stand for partly similar or different concepts behind. Therefore, it is proposed to come to an agreement on the term of school social work, standing for social work in schools and a service provided by child and youth welfare. To prevent school social workers from being misused to perform services which are not explicitly part of their profession, a clear legal basis in the SGB VIII is required. Thus, tasks and target groups can be clarified which should be, with regard to Kunkel (2016), children and young people who are social disadvantaged and/or have individual challenges. Besides supporting these children and young people to reach their full academic potential, a specific focus should be laid on the protection mandate of school social workers in cases of child endangerment. Despite the potential of school social workers to prevent, early detect and intervene in cases of child abuse and neglect, only little attention has been paid to this topic before. Beneath legal changes in the SGB VIII, school social work should be anchored in the school laws of the federal states to set explicit rules for the obligation to cooperate. As Just (2016, p. 72f.) pointed out, the term 'school social work' is only mentioned in the school laws of Rheinland-Palatinate, Saarland and Saxony. To sum it up, school social work in Germany is nowadays a well-recognized service in schools; nevertheless, there is still work to be done to further professionalize it.

References

- Abels, H. (1971). Schulsozialarbeit. Ein Beitrag zum Ausgleich von Sozialisationsdefiziten. *Soziale Welt*, 21/22(3), 347-359.
- Abels, H. (1972). *Sozialisation und Chancengleichheit: Differenzierte Erziehung am Modell der Schulsozialarbeit*. Düsseldorf: Bertelsmann Universitätsverlag.
- Aden-Grossmann, W. (2016). *Geschichte der sozialpädagogischen Arbeit an Schulen: Entwicklung und Perspektiven von Schulsozialarbeit*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Ahrens, A. (2015). Sozialarbeit in der Schule. *Pädagogik*, 67(7/8), 58-61.
- Albert, M., Hurrelmann, K., & Quenzel, G. (2015). *17. Shell Jugendstudie. Jugend 2015*. Hamburg: Deutsche Shell Holding GmbH.
- Alicke, T. (2011). *Jugendsozialarbeit an Schule erfolgreich gestalten – Qualitätsentwicklung in der Kooperation von Jugendsozialarbeit und Schule*.“ Berlin: Deutsches Rotes Kreuz.
- Arnold, R. (2007). Schulsozialpädagogik als präventives Arbeiten. *Suchtmagazin*, 33(8), 289-295.
- BAG Landesjugendämter (2014). *Soziale Arbeit in der Schule. Aufgaben der Kinder- und Jugendhilfe*. Mainz.
- Baier, F. (2007). *Zu Gast in einem fremden Haus: Theorie und Empirie zur Sozialen Arbeit in Schulen*. Bern: P. Lang.
- Baier, F. & Deinet, U. (2011). *Praxisbuch Schulsozialarbeit. Methoden, Haltungen und Handlungsorientierungen für eine professionelle Praxis* (2nd extended Edition). Farmington Hills, MI: Verlag Barbara Budrich.
- Baldus, M. et. al. (2009). *Methoden der Sozialen Arbeit in der Schule*. München u.a.: Reinhardt.
- Balnis, P., Demmer, M., & Rademacker, H. (2005). *Leitgedanken zur Kooperation von Schule und Jugendhilfe*. Frankfurt, Main: Gewerkschaft Erziehung und Wissenschaft GEW.
- Bank-Lickenbröcker, A. (2009). Schulische Sozialarbeit an Integrierten Gesamtschulen: sozialpädagogische Akzente setzen – Qualität sichern. *Jugendhilfe-Report*, 4, 14-16.
- Bettmer, F., Maykus, S., & Hartnuß, B. (2010). Schulbezogene Jugendhilfe. *Schulforschung konkret*, 17-50.

- Bertelsmann Stiftung, Institut für Schulentwicklungsforschung der Technischen Universität Dortmund, & Institut für Erziehungswissenschaft der Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena (2014). *Chancenspiegel 2014. Regionale Disparitäten in der Chancengerechtigkeit und Leistungsfähigkeit der deutschen Schulsysteme*. Gütersloh: Verlag Bertelsmann Stiftung.
- Braches-Chyrek, R., Lenz, G., & Kammermeier, B. (2012). *Soziale Arbeit und Schule: Im Spannungsfeld von Erziehung und Bildung*. Opladen: B. Budrich.
- Bundesarbeitsgemeinschaft Jugendaufbauwerk (BAG JAW) (Eds.) (1996). Neue Steuerung und Qualitätsstandards in der Jugendsozialarbeit. *Jugend-Beruf-Gesellschaft. Zeitschrift für Jugendsozialarbeit*, 47, 3-4.
- Bundesarbeitsgemeinschaft Katholische Jugendsozialarbeit (BAG KJS) e.V. (2002). *Profil: Schulbezogene Jugendsozialarbeit in katholischer Trägerschaft. Ein Positionspapier der Bundesarbeitsgemeinschaft Katholische Jugendsozialarbeit (BAG KJS) e.V. zum Selbstverständnis von Jugendsozialarbeit in und an Schulen*, verabschiedet von der Mitgliederversammlung der BAG KJS am 20./21. November 2002 in Bergisch-Gladbach. Düsseldorf.
- Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (2015). *Profile of the Ministry*. Retrieved from:
https://www.bmbf.de/pub/Profile_of_the_Ministry_Berlin.pdf
- Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend (BMFSFJ) (Eds.) (1990). *8. Kinder- und Jugendbericht - Bericht über Bestrebungen und Leistungen der Jugendhilfe*. Berlin: BMFSFJ.
- Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend (BMFSFJ) (Eds.) (2005). *12. Kinder- und Jugendbericht – Bericht über die Lebenssituation junger Menschen und die Leistungen der Kinder- und Jugendhilfe in Deutschland*. Retrieved from
<http://www.bmfsfj.de/RedaktionBMFSFJ/Abteilung5/Pdf-Anlagen/zwoelfter-kjb>
- Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend (BMFSFJ) (Eds.) (2013). *14. Kinder- und Jugendbericht - Bericht über die Lebenssituation junger Menschen und die Leistungen der Kinder- und Jugendhilfe in Deutschland*. Berlin: BMFSFJ. Retrieved from
<http://www.bmfsfj.de/RedaktionBMFSFJ/Broschuerenstelle/Pdf-Anlagen/14-Kinder-und-Jugendbericht,property=pdf,bereich=bmfsfj,sprache=de,rwb=true.pdf>
- Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend (BMFSFJ) (Eds.) (2016). *Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und*

Jugend. Aufgaben. Retrieved from
<https://www.bmfsfj.de/bmfsfj/ministerium/aufgaben>

- Bönsch, M. (2004). Kooperation von Jugendhilfe und Schule aus schulpädagogischer Sicht: Warum sollte sich Schule (auch) zur Jugendhilfe hin öffnen?. In Hartnuß, B. & Maykus, S. (Eds.), *Handbuch Kooperation von Jugendhilfe und Schule. Ein Leitfaden für Praxisreflexionen, theoretische Verortungen und Forschungsfragen* (pp. 126-139), Berlin.
- Braun, K.-H. & Wetzel, K. (2000). *Sozialpädagogisches Handeln in der Schule. Einführung in die Grundlagen und Konzepte von Schulsozialarbeit*. Neuwied/Kriftel: Luchterhand.
- Braun, K.-H. & Wetzel, K. (2006). *Soziale Arbeit in der Schule*. München u.a.: Reinhardt.
- Deinet, U. & Icking, M. (2006). *Jugendhilfe und Schule: Analyse und Konzepte für die kommunale Kooperation*. Opladen: Verlag Barbara Budrich.
- Drescher, G. (2002). Evangelische schulbezogene Jugendarbeit. *Das Baugerüst*, 54(3), 65-71.
- Drilling, M. (2004). *Schulsozialarbeit. Antworten auf veränderte Lebenswelten* (3rd updated Edition). Bonn, Stuttgart, Wien: Haupt Verlag.
- Erbes, A. (2008). *Schule und Schulsozialarbeit. Eine qualitative Studie zu den Strukturen personaler Kooperation und ihre Professionalisierungspotentiale*. Freiburg: Pädag. Hochschule.
- Esser, J. (2001). Schul-Sozialarbeit als Konfliktkultur: Plädoyer für einen neuen Arbeitsbereich an der Schule. *Sozial extra*, 25(2/3), 50-52.
- Fieber-Martin, K. & Morgenstern, I. (2015). Wirkungen schulbezogener Jugendsozialarbeit durch Rahmenbedingungen beeinflussen. *Sozialmagazin*, 40(11-12), 62-71.
- Fischer, V., Genenger-Stricker, M., & Schmidt-Koddenberg, A. (2016). *Soziale Arbeit und Schule*. Schwalbach/Ts.: Wochenschau Verlag.
- Foltin, W. (2015). Leitung, Koordinierung und Steuerung (in) der Schulsozialarbeit: ein Plädoyer für Multiprofessionalität in der Leitungsverantwortung im Bildungssystem. *Sozialmagazin*, 40(11-12), 90-97.

- Galm, B. & Derr, R. (2011). *Combating Child Abuse and Neglect. Child Protection in Germany. National Report*. München: Deutsches Jugendinstitut e.V.
- Gängler, H. (2001). Sozialpädagogik in der Schule. *Jugendschutztag 2001 – Jugendschutz und Schule*, 24-32.
- Glanzer, W. (1997). Schulsozialarbeit auf der Suche nach Zukunft. *Jugendhilfe* 35(1), 3-11.
- Grossmann, W. (1987). *Aschenputtel im Schulalltag. Historische Entwicklungen und Perspektiven von Schulsozialarbeit*. Weinheim: Deutscher Studien Verlag.
- Grundgesetz für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland in der im Bundesgesetzblatt Teil III, Gliederungsnummer 100-1, veröffentlichten bereinigten Fassung, das zuletzt durch den Artikel 1 des Gesetzes vom 23. Dezember 2014 (BGBl. I S. 2438) geändert worden ist.
- Grunwald, K. & Thiersch, H. (2001). Lebensweltorientierung. In Otto, H.-W. & Thiersch, H. (Eds.), *Handbuch Sozialarbeit/Sozialpädagogik* (2nd extended Edition) (pp. 1136-1148). Neuwied, Kriftel: Luchterhand.
- Hartnuß, B. & Maykus, S. (2000). Schulbezogene Angebote der Jugendhilfe im KJHG und in den Landesausführungsgesetzen – der Stellenwert einer bundesrechtlichen Neuverortung. *Nachrichtendienst des Deutschen Vereins für öffentliche und private Fürsorge*, 80(10), 321-326.
- Henschel, A. (2008). *Jugendhilfe und Schule: Handbuch für eine gelingende Kooperation*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Hettlich, H. (2012). „Ganztagsschule ist nicht gleich Ganztagsschule“: Erfahrungen des BDJ Osnabrück im Arbeitsfeld Jugendarbeit und Schule. *Unsere Jugend*, 64(11-12), 491-493.
- Hollenstein, E. & Nieslony, F. (2013). „Bildung, Betreuung, Erziehung“: neue Anforderungen für die Soziale Arbeit in der Schule? *Theorie und Praxis der Sozialen Arbeit*, 64(6), 436-446.
- Holtappels, H. G. (2008). Schule und Sozialpädagogik, In Helsper, W. & Böhme, J. (Eds.), *Handbuch der Schulforschung*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Homfeldt, H.G. & Schulze-Krüdener, J. (2001). Schulsozialarbeit: eine konstruktiv-kritische Bestandsaufnahme. *Neue Praxis*, 31.2001.1, 9-28.

- Horstkemper, M. & Tillmann, K.-J. (2008). Kooperation von Schule und Jugendhilfe. *Bildung im Diskurs. Ingo Richter zum 70. Geburtstag*, 111-122.
- IFSW & IASSW (2004). Ethics in Social Work: Statement of Principles. In Healy, L. & Link, R. (eds.) *Handbook of International Social Work. Human rights, development, and the global profession*. New York: Oxford University Press, 501-503.
- Ittmann, T. (2006). Schulische Sozialarbeit. *Schulblatt Nidwalden*, 30-33.
- Just, A. (2004). *Schulsozialpädagogik an Gymnasien, warum?* Münster: LIT.
- Just, A. (2008). Wenn individuelle Belastungen den Schulalltag prägen – Die praktische Anwendung der Schulsozialpädagogik. *Forum Kriminalprävention*, 3, 13-19.
- Just, A. (2016a). *Handbuch Schulsozialarbeit*. New York/Münster: Waxmann.
- Just, A. (2016b). *Beratung in der Schulsozialarbeit: Eine kritisch-konstruktive Analyse*. New York/Münster: Waxmann.
- Kessler, R. (2008). Die Kooperation von Schule und Jugendhilfe. Zur Praxis der Gemeinschaftsgrundschule Richardstraße in Düsseldorf-Eller. *Schulverwaltung. Nordrhein-Westfalen*, 19(9), 238-241.
- Kilb, R. & Peter, J. (2009). *Methoden der Sozialen Arbeit in der Schule: mit 7 Tabellen und 11 Übersichten*. München: Reinhardt.
- Klafki, W. (1976). *Aspekte kritisch-konstruktiver Erziehungswissenschaft*, Weinheim.
- Koch-Wohsmann, M. (2008). *Kooperation von Schule und Jugendhilfe*. Berlin: Berlin / Senatsverwaltung für Bildung, Wissenschaft und Forschung.
- Krüger, R. & Stange, W. (2009). Kooperation von Jugendhilfe und Schule. Die Gesamtstruktur. In Henschel, A., Krüger, R., Schmitt, C. & Stange, W. (Eds.), *Jugendhilfe und Schule: Handbuch für eine gelingende Kooperation*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag/GWV Fachverlage.
- Kunkel, P.-C. (2016). Soziale Arbeit an der Schule. *ZFSH, SGB*, 55(1), 16-27.
- Lattwein, W. (2005). Das Jugendhilfeprojekt „Schoolworker“: ein bildungspolitischer Neuanfang im Saarland. *Unsere Jugend*, 57(3), 122-130.
- Liegmann, M. (2004). Sozialarbeit in der Schule. *Grundschule*, 36(3), 50-53.

- Lohmar, B. & Eckhardt, T. (2014). *The Education System in the Federal Republic of Germany 2013/2014. A description of the responsibilities, structures and developments in education policy for the exchange of information in Europe*. Bonn: Secretariat of the Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs of the Länder in the Federal Republic of Germany.
- Mack, W. (2008). Soziale Arbeit an der Schule. *Sozial Extra*, 20-23.
- Markowetz, R. & Schwab, J. E. (2012). *Die Zusammenarbeit von Jugendhilfe und Schule*. Bad Heilbrunn: Klinkhardt.
- Maykus, S. (2001). *Schulalltagsorientierte Sozialpädagogik. Begründungen und Konzeptualisierung schulbezogener Angebote der Jugendhilfe. Eine theoretisch-empirische Bestimmung von Aufgaben der Jugendhilfe im Sozialisationsraum Schule. Greifswalder Studien zur Erziehungswissenschaft*. Frankfurt, Main: Lang.
- Maykus, S. (2011). *Kooperation als Kontinuum: erweiterte Perspektive einer schulbezogenen Kinder- und Jugendhilfe*. Wiesbaden: VS Verl. Für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Meinunger, L. (2015). Weiter mit dem „Anything goes“ in der Schulsozialarbeit? *Nachrichtendienst des Deutschen Vereins für öffentliche und private Fürsorge*, 96(2), 67-72.
- Miers, S. (2008). Jugendsozialarbeit in der Schule: Moderation und Mediation bei Konflikten, komplementäre Angebote in der Schule, Kooperation mit dem Gemeinwesen. *Blätter der Wohlfahrtspflege*, 155(2), 56-58.
- Mührel, E. (2009). Sozialpädagogik und Schule: eine Verhältnisbestimmung. *Soziale Arbeit*, 58(4), 147-151.
- Münder, J. (2006). *Frankfurter Kommentar zum SGB VIII Kinder und Jugendhilfe*. Weinheim: Juventa.
- Nieslony, F. (2008). Schule und Jugendhilfe: qualitative Voraussetzungen zur Errichtung sozialräumlicher Bildungslandschaften. *Sozialmagazin*, 33(11), 34-39.
- Nieslony, F. & Stehr, J. (2008). Evaluation der Schulsozialarbeit in Darmstadt. In Wissenschaftsstadt Darmstadt (Eds.), *Evaluation der Schulsozialarbeit: Dokumentation des Jugendhilfeplanungsprozesses 2006 – 2008 der Wissenschaftsstadt Darmstadt*, 6-37.
- Olk, T., Bathke, G.-W. & Hartnuß, B. (2000). Jugendhilfe und Schule. Empirische Befunde und theoretische Reflexionen zur Schulsozialarbeit. In Otto, H.-U. & Thiersch, H. (Eds.), *Edition Soziale Arbeit*. Weinheim und München: Juventa Verlag.

- Olk, T. & Speck, K. (2015). Schulsozialarbeit in Deutschland. In Deutsches Rotes Kreuz e.V. (Eds.), *Reader Schulsozialarbeit – Band 3. Von den Nachbarn lernen – Internationaler Vergleich von Jugendsozialarbeit an Schule* (pp. 13-39). Berlin: DRK-Service GmbH.
- Palatzky, P. (2008). Kooperation zwischen Jugendhilfe und Schule. *Sozial extra*, 9/10, 24-27.
- Prüß, F., Maykus, S., & Binder, H. (2001). *Kooperation von Jugendhilfe und Schule in Mecklenburg-Vorpommern. Empirische Analysen zur Entwicklung eines innovativen Handlungsfeldes*. Greifswald.
- Pötter, N. (2014). Welche Aufgaben hat die Schulsozialarbeit? Geschichte, rechtliche Grundlagen und fachliche Profilbildung. *Archiv für Wissenschaft und Praxis der Sozialen Arbeit*, 1, 4-15.
- Rademacker, H. (2002). Schulsozialarbeit gegen soziale Ausgrenzung. Neue Armut und Bildung. In Kantak, K. (Eds.), *Schulsozialarbeit: Sozialarbeit am Ort Schule* (pp. 9-29). Berlin: Landeskooperationsstelle Schule-Jugendhilfe Brandenburg.
- Rademacker, H. (2009). Jugendhilfe und Schule: auf dem Wege einer Annäherung. *Pro Jugend*, 1, 4-8.
- Rademacker, H. (2011). Schulsozialarbeit in Deutschland. In Baier, F. & Deinet, U. (Eds.), *Praxisbuch Schulsozialarbeit. Methoden, Haltungen und Handlungsorientierungen für eine professionelle Praxis* (2nd extended Edition). Farmington Hills, MI: Verlag Barbara Budrich.
- Rein, M. (2010). Beratungsprogramm zur Einrichtung freiwilliger Schulsozialdienste. *Lehren & lernen*, 36(7), 14-17.
- Reischach, v. G. (2006). *Jugendhilfe und Schule: Konzepte und Grundpositionen: historische und internationale Aspekte der Bezüge von Jugendhilfe und Schule*. Heidelberg.
- Reischach, v. G. (2007). Bildung und Soziale Arbeit: ein Plädoyer für eine stärkere Gewichtung von Bildung in der Sozialen Arbeit, dargestellt an Schulsozialarbeit und an anderen Arbeitsfeldern der Kooperation von Jugendhilfe und Schule. *Sozialmagazin*, 32(11), 20-26.
- Renges, A. & Lerch-Wolfrum, G. (2005). *Jugendsozialarbeit an Schulen*. Göttingen: Institut für berufliche Bildung und Weiterbildung.
- Richter, A. (2008). Geschichte der schulbezogenen Jugendhilfe. In Coelen, T. & Otto, H.-U. (Eds.), *Grundbegriffe der Ganztagsbildung. Das Handbuch*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.

- Rossmeißl, D. & Przybilla, A. (2006). *Schulsozialpädagogik*. Bad Heilbrunn: Klinkhardt.
- Seibold, C. (2015). Junge Flüchtlinge in der Schule: Aufgaben und Anforderungen an die Schulsozialarbeit. *Sozialmagazin*, 40(11/12), 53-60.
- Schäfer, G. (2013). Soziale Arbeit an Schulen – aus Sicht der Jugendhilfe. *Dialog Erziehungshilfe*, 4, 27-34.
- Schenk, K. (2009). Wer macht eigentlich was? Soziale Arbeit an Schulen aus Sicht der Jugendsozialarbeit. *Pro Jugend*, 1, 12-14.
- Schilling, J. (2004). Schlüsselkompetenzen in der Schul-Soziale Arbeit: Konzepte eines Projektes. *Jugend, Beruf, Gesellschaft*, 55(2), 115-121.
- Schultalbers, P. & Maxwitat, L. (2006). Sozialpädagogische Zusatzbetreuung: neue Schwerpunkte und neue Ziele. *Praxis Schule 5 – 10*, 17(6), 26-29.
- Shell-Studie (2015). Jugend 2015. Retrieved from: http://www.shell.de/ueberuns/dieshelljugendstaue/multimedialeinhalt/_jcr_content/par/expandablelist_643445253/expandablesection.stream/1456210163885/fdd3bb5b4e9746d62c0e3e1f762722a96aa13a89363985b19306358f0e4453b8/flyer-zur-shell-jugendstudie-2015-auf-englisch.pdf
- Seibel, B. (2001). Ausgrenzungen vermeiden: neue Möglichkeiten für Schulsozialarbeit/ Jugendsozialarbeit an Schulen. *Hochschulbrief der evangelischen Fachhochschulen Darmstadt, Freiburg, Ludwigshafen, Reutlingen-Ludwigsburg*, 27, 53-55.
- Singe, G. (2001). “Schul Soziale Arbeit”: Anmerkungen zu neuen Kooperationsformen zwischen Jugendhilfe und Schule. *Unsere Jugend*, 53(7/8), 343-347.
- Spies, A. (2005). An der Schnittstelle der pädagogischen Professionen – Schulsozialarbeit und schulbezogene Jugendhilfe / Jugendarbeit. In: Ebeling, S. & Lange, D. (Eds.), *Juniorprofessuren an der Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg. Entwicklung, Stand und Perspektiven der Forschung einer neuen Hochschulgeneration*. Oldenburg: Bibliotheks- und Informationssystem der Univ.
- Spies, A. (2006). Schulbezogene Jugendsozialarbeit und Schulsozialarbeit – Scharnier(e) zwischen Disziplinen. In: Spies, A. & Tredop, D. (Eds.), „Risikobiografien“. *Benachteiligte Jugendliche zwischen Ausgrenzung und Förderprojekten* (1st Edition). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Spies, A. & Pötter, N. (2011). *Soziale Arbeit an Schulen. Einführung in das Handlungsfeld Schulsozialarbeit*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag /Springer.

- Speck, K. (2006). *Qualität und Evaluation in der Schulsozialarbeit. Konzepte, Rahmenbedingungen und Wirkungen*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Speck, K. (2008). Schulsozialarbeit. In Coelen, T. & Otto, H.-U. (Eds.), *Grundbegriffe der Ganztagsbildung. Das Handbuch* (pp. 340-348). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Speck, K. (2014). *Schulsozialarbeit. Eine Einführung*. München: Reinhard Verlag.
- Speck, K. & Olk, T. (2010). *Forschung zur Schulsozialarbeit: Stand und Perspektiven*. Weinheim: Juventa.
- Sturm, M. (2010). *Jugendarbeit & Schule*. Hannover: Landesjugendring Niedersachsen.
- Thielen, M. (2010). Soziale Arbeit im Kontext Schule: Möglichkeiten und Grenzen der Kooperation von Schul- und Sozialpädagogik in praxisbezogenen Abgangsklassen unterer Bildungsgänge. *Zeitschrift für Sozialpädagogik*, 8(1), 7-26.
- Thiersch, H. (2012). *Lebenswelt-orientierte Soziale Arbeit. Aufgaben der Praxis im Sozialen Wandel*. 9th ed. Weinheim und Basel: Beltz Verlag.
- Thimm, K. (2015). *Soziale Arbeit im Kontext Schule*. Weinheim: Beltz Juventa.
- Thuns, M. (2007). Schulsozialpädagogik: auf das Profil kommt es an. *Soziale Arbeit*, 56(8), 289-295.
- Thüringer Ministerium Für Soziales und Gesundheit (THMSG) (1998). *Abschlussbericht der wissenschaftlichen Begleitung des Landesprogramms „Jugendarbeit an Thüringer Schulen“*, erarbeitet von Seithe, M. (FH Jena), Band 1, Teil A – C, Erfurt.
- Vogel, C. (2003). Strukturelle Probleme der Schulsozialpädagogik zukunftsweisend? *Vierteljahresschrift für Heilpädagogik und ihre Nachbargebiete*, 72(2), 165-179.
- Walter, K. (2008). Sozialpädagogische Arbeit an der Schule. *Lehren & lernen*, 34(4), 16-18.
- Wergin, C. (2005). Landesinitiative Jugend- und Schulsozialarbeit. Ein bewährtes Programm in Mecklenburg-Vorpommern. *Schulverwaltung. Ausgabe Brandenburg, Mecklenburg-Vorpommern, Sachsen, Sachsen-Anhalt, Thüringen und Berlin*, 15(2), 63-64.

Witteriede, H. (2000). Schul Soziale Arbeit. Gestaltung einer gesunden und erfolgreichen Lebensphase Schulzeit für alle Schülerinnen, dargestellt am Beispiel eines Kooperationsprojektes in Lohne. *PÄD-Forum: unterrichten erziehen*, 28(4), 336-344.

Witteriede, H. (2003). *Schul-soziale Arbeit und gesundheitsfördernde Schule*. Baltmannsweiler: Schneider-Verl. Hohengehren.

Zentrum Bayern Familie und Soziales. Bayerisches Landjugendamt (BLJA) (2016?). *Überblick über Behördenzuständigkeiten in der Jugendhilfe in Bayern*. Retrieved from <http://www.blja.bayern.de/steuerung/organisation-jugendhilfebehoerden/index.php>

Appendix 1

Terms used in publication headings to describe the specific form of cooperation between child and youth welfare and education in regard to school social work between 2000 and 2016 based on GESIS

Original term	Translated term	Authors
Sozialpädagogische Arbeit an der Schule	Social-pedagogical work at a school	Walter, 2008
Sozialpädagogisches Handeln in der Schule	Social-pedagogical acting in the school	Braun & Wetzel, 2000
Sozialpädagogische Zusatzbetreuung	Social-pedagogical supplementary care	Schultalbers & Maxwitat, 2006
Schulalltagsorientierte Sozialpädagogik	School-all-day-oriented social pedagogy	Maykus, 2001
Schulsozialpädagogik	School social pedagogy	Arnold, 2007; Just, 2004, 2008; Rossmeissl & Przybilla, 2006; Thuns, 2007; Vogel, 2003 Drilling, 2005
Soziale Arbeit und Schulpädagogik	Social work and school pedagogy	
Sozialpädagogik in der Schule	Social pedagogy in the school	Gängler, 2001
Soziale Arbeit an Schulen	Social work at schools	Schäfer, 2013; Schenk, 2009; Spies & Pötter, 2011
Soziale Arbeit an der Schule	Social work at the school	Kunkel, 2016; Mack, 2008
Soziale Arbeit im Kontext Schule	Social work in the context of school	Thielen, 2010; Thimm, 2015
Sozialarbeit in der Schule	Social work in the school	Ahrens, 2015; Hollenstein & Nieslony, 2013; Liegmann, 2004
Soziale Arbeit in der Schule	Social work in the school	BAG Landesjugendämter, 2014; Baldus, et. al., 2009; Braun & Wetzel, 2006; Kilb & Peter, 2009
Soziale Arbeit in Schulen	Social work in schools	Baier, 2007
Schulische Sozialarbeit	At school social work	Bank-Lickenbröcker, 2009; Ittmann, 2006
Schule und Schulsozialarbeit	School and school social work	Erbes, 2008
Schulsozialdienste	School social services	Rein, 2010
Schulbezogene Kinder- und Jugendhilfe	School-related child and youth welfare	Maykus, 2011
Schulbezogene Jugendhilfe	School-related youth welfare	Bettmer, Maykus, & Hartnuß, 2010; Richter, 2008
Schulbezogene Angebote der Jugendhilfe	School-related services of youth welfare	Hartnuß & Maykus, 2000
Schulbezogene Jugendhilfe / Jugendarbeit	School-related youth welfare / youth work	Spies, 2005
Schul-Soziale Arbeit	School-social work	Schilling, 2004; Witteriede, 2003
Schul-Sozialarbeit	School-social work	Esser, 2001
Schul Soziale Arbeit	School social work	Singe, 2001; Witteriede, 2000
Jugendsozialarbeit an Schule	Youth social work at school	Alicke, 2011
Jugendsozialarbeit an Schulen	Youth social work at schools	Seibel, 2001; Renges & Lerch-Wolfrum, 2005
Jugendsozialarbeit in der Schule	Youth social work in the school	Miers, 2008

Schulbezogene Jugendsozialarbeit	School-related youth social work	Fieber-Martin & Morgenstern, 2015; Spies, 2006
Jugendarbeit & Schule	Youth work & school	Sturm, 2010
Evangelische schulbezogene Jugendarbeit	Evangelical school-related youth work	Drescher, 2002
Jugend- und Schulsozialarbeit	Youth- and school social work	Wergin, 2005
Schoolworker	Schoolworker	Lattwein, 2005

Appendix 2

Examples of Concepts

Author	Legal basis	Concept	Target group
BMFSFJ (2013)	Section 13 SGB VIII	School-related youth social work	Social disadvantaged and individual impaired children; special focus on children who are tired of school; transition from school to vocational training
Kunkel (2016)	Section 2, subsec. 2, no. 1 in conjunction with Section 13 subsec. 2 SGB VIII	School-related youth social work; Social work in schools	Social disadvantaged and individual impaired children; special focus on children whose success at school is at risk; protection mandate in cases of child endangerment
Münder (2013)	Section 13 SGB VIII	School-related youth social work	Social disadvantaged and individual impaired children; advocacy approach
Olk, Hartnuß, & Birger (2000)	Sections 11 and 13 SGB VIII	School social work as a subsystem in the school system	All children of a school
Rademacker (2002)	Section 13 SGB VIII	School-related youth social work; Social work in schools	Social disadvantaged and individual impaired children whose success at school is at risk; advocacy approach
Speck (2014)	Sections 11 and 13 SGB VIII; demands a specific school social work Section in the SGB VIII	School social work	All children of a school; promotion of young people in their individual, social, school and working development; contributing to an avoidance or reduction of education inequalities