

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 1

Issue 4 Southeast Agricultural Research Center
Reports

Article 10

January 2015

Effects of Various Forage Systems on Grazing and Subsequent Finishing Performance


L. W. Lomas

Kansas State University, llomas@ksu.edu

J. L. Moyer

Kansas State University, jmoyer@ksu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

 Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lomas, L. W. and Moyer, J. L. (2015) "Effects of Various Forage Systems on Grazing and Subsequent Finishing Performance," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 1: Iss. 4. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1060>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright January 2015 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Effects of Various Forage Systems on Grazing and Subsequent Finishing Performance

Abstract

A total of 200 mixed black yearling steers were used to compare grazing and subsequent finishing performance from pastures with 'MaxQ' tall fescue, a wheat-bermudagrass double-crop system, or a wheat-crabgrass double-crop system in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014. Daily gains of steers that grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue, wheat-bermudagrass, or wheat-crabgrass were similar ($P > 0.05$) in 2010, daily gains of steers that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P > 0.05$) than those that grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue in 2011 and 2012, daily gains of steers that grazed wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P > 0.05$) than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass and similar ($P > 0.05$) to those that grazed 'MaxQ' fescue in 2013, and daily gains of steers that grazed wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P > 0.05$) than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or 'MaxQ' fescue in 2014. Finishing gains were similar ($P > 0.05$) among forage systems in 2010, 2012, 2013, and 2014. In 2011, finishing gains of steers that grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue were greater ($P < 0.05$) than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass.

Keywords

grazing, fescue, crabgrass, bermudagrass, finishing

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Effects of Various Forage Systems on Grazing and Subsequent Finishing Performance

L.W. Lomas and J.L. Moyer

Summary

A total of 200 mixed black yearling steers were used to compare grazing and subsequent finishing performance from pastures with 'MaxQ' tall fescue, a wheat-bermudagrass double-crop system, or a wheat-crabgrass double-crop system in 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014. Daily gains of steers that grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue, wheat-bermudagrass, or wheat-crabgrass were similar ($P > 0.05$) in 2010, daily gains of steers that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P > 0.05$) than those that grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue in 2011 and 2012, daily gains of steers that grazed wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P > 0.05$) than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass and similar ($P > 0.05$) to those that grazed 'MaxQ' fescue in 2013, and daily gains of steers that grazed wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P > 0.05$) than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or 'MaxQ' fescue in 2014. Finishing gains were similar ($P > 0.05$) among forage systems in 2010, 2012, 2013, and 2014. In 2011, finishing gains of steers that grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue were greater ($P < 0.05$) than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass.

Introduction

'MaxQ' tall fescue, a wheat-bermudagrass double-crop system, and a wheat-crabgrass double-crop system have been three of the most promising grazing systems evaluated at the Southeast Agricultural Research Center in the past 20 years, but these systems have never been compared directly in the same study. The objective of this study was to compare grazing and subsequent finishing performance of stocker steers that grazed these three systems.

Experimental Procedures

Forty mixed black yearling steers were weighed on two consecutive days each year and allotted on April 6, 2010 (633 lb); March 23, 2011 (607 lb); March 22, 2012 (632 lb); April 4, 2013 (678 lb); and April 1, 2014 (636 lb) to four 4-acre established pastures of 'MaxQ' tall fescue and three 4-acre pastures of 'Midland 99' bermudagrass and three 4-acre pastures of 'Red River' crabgrass (4 steers/pasture) that had previously been no-till seeded with approximately 120 lb/a of 'Fuller' hard red winter wheat on September 30, 2009, and September 22, 2010, and with 130 lb/a, 95 lb/a, and 85 lb/a of 'Everest' hard red winter wheat on September 27, 2011; September 25, 2012; and September 23, 2013, respectively. All pastures were fertilized with 80-40-40 lb/a of N-P₂O₅-K₂O on March 3, 2010; January 27, 2011; January 25, 2012; February 19, 2013; and January 28, 2014. Bermudagrass and crabgrass pastures received an additional 46 lb/a of nitrogen

(N) on May 28, 2010; June 10, 2011; May 18, 2012; July 3, 2013; and June 2, 2014. Fescue pastures received an additional 46 lb/a of N on August 31, 2010; September 15, 2011; September 18, 2013; and September 4, 2014. An additional 5 lb/a, 4 lb/a, 4 lb/a, and 4 lb/a of crabgrass seed was broadcast on crabgrass pastures on April 8, 2011; April 4, 2012; May 7, 2013; and April 18, 2014, respectively.

Pasture was the experimental unit. No implants or feed additives were used. Weight gain was the primary measurement. Cattle were weighed every 28 days, and forage availability was measured approximately every 28 days with a disk meter calibrated for wheat, bermudagrass, crabgrass, or tall fescue. Cattle were treated for internal and external parasites before being turned out to pasture and later were vaccinated for protection from pink-eye. Steers had free access to commercial mineral blocks that contained 12% calcium, 12% phosphorus, and 12% salt. Wheat-bermudagrass and wheat-crabgrass pastures were grazed continuously until September 14, 2010 (161 days); September 7, 2011 (168 days); September 10, 2013 (159 days); and September 3, 2014 (155 days); fescue pastures were grazed continuously until November 9, 2010 (217 days); October 21, 2011 (212 days); October 29, 2013 (208 days); and October 14, 2014 (196 days). In 2012, all pastures were grazed continuously until August 23 (144 days), when grazing on all pastures was terminated due to limited forage availability because of below-average precipitation. Steers were weighed on two consecutive days at the end of the grazing phase.

After the grazing period, cattle were moved to a finishing facility, implanted with Synovex-S (Zoetis, Madison, NJ), and fed a diet of 80% whole-shelled corn, 15% corn silage, and 5% supplement (dry matter basis). Finishing diets were fed for 94 days (wheat-bermudagrass and wheat-crabgrass) or 100 days (fescue) in 2010, 98 days (wheat-bermudagrass and wheat-crabgrass) or 96 days (fescue) in 2011, 105 days in 2012, 105 days (wheat-bermudagrass and wheat-crabgrass) or 91 days (fescue) in 2013, and 119 days (wheat-bermudagrass and wheat-crabgrass) or 106 days (fescue) in 2014. All steers were slaughtered in a commercial facility, and carcass data were collected.

Results and Discussion

Grazing and subsequent finishing performance of steers that grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue, a wheat-bermudagrass double-crop system, or a wheat-crabgrass double-crop system are presented in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 for 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014, respectively. Daily gains of steers that grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue, wheat-bermudagrass, or wheat-crabgrass were similar ($P > 0.05$) in 2010, but total grazing gain and gain/a were greater ($P < 0.05$) for 'MaxQ' tall fescue than wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass because steers grazed 'MaxQ' tall fescue for more days. Gain/a for 'MaxQ' fescue, wheat-bermudagrass, and wheat-crabgrass were 362, 286, and 258 lb/a, respectively. 'MaxQ' tall fescue pastures had greater ($P < 0.05$) average available forage dry matter (DM) than wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass. Grazing treatment in 2010 had no effect ($P > 0.05$) on subsequent finishing gains. Steers that grazed 'MaxQ' were heavier ($P < 0.05$) at the end of the grazing phase, maintained their weight advantage through the finishing phase, and had greater ($P < 0.05$) hot carcass weight than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass pastures. Steers that previously grazed wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass had lower ($P < 0.05$) feed:gain than those that had grazed 'MaxQ.'

In 2011, daily gains, total gain, and gain/a of steers that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P < 0.05$) than 'MaxQ' fescue. Gain/a for 'MaxQ' fescue, wheat-bermudagrass, and wheat-crabgrass were 307, 347, and 376 lb/a, respectively. 'MaxQ' tall fescue pastures had greater ($P < 0.05$) average available forage DM than wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass. This was likely due to greater forage production by 'MaxQ' and/or greater forage intake by steers grazing wheat-bermudagrass and wheat-crabgrass. Steers that grazed 'MaxQ' had greater ($P < 0.05$) finishing gain than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass and lower ($P < 0.05$) feed:gain than those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass. Carcass weight was similar ($P > 0.05$) among treatments.

In 2012, daily gains, total gain, and gain/a of steers that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P < 0.05$) than 'MaxQ' fescue. Gain/a for 'MaxQ' fescue, wheat-bermudagrass, and wheat-crabgrass were 226, 325, and 313 lb/a, respectively. 'MaxQ' tall fescue pastures had greater ($P < 0.05$) average available forage DM than wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass. Grazing treatment had no effect ($P > 0.05$) on subsequent finishing performance or carcass characteristics.

In 2013, daily gain was greater ($P < 0.05$) for steers that grazed wheat-crabgrass than for those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass, and daily gain from 'MaxQ' fescue and wheat-bermudagrass were similar ($P > 0.05$). Gain/a for 'MaxQ' fescue, wheat-bermudagrass, and wheat-crabgrass were 338, 244, and 316 lb/a, respectively. Gain/a was greater ($P < 0.05$) for 'MaxQ' fescue and wheat-crabgrass than for wheat-bermudagrass. Overall gain was not different between forage systems; however, steers grazed 'MaxQ' fescue for 49 more days than wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass. Overall daily gain was greater ($P < 0.05$) for wheat-crabgrass than for 'MaxQ' tall fescue. 'MaxQ' tall fescue pastures had greater ($P < 0.05$) average available forage DM than wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass, and wheat-bermudagrass pastures had more ($P < 0.05$) available forage DM than wheat-crabgrass. Grazing treatment had no effect ($P > 0.05$) on subsequent finishing daily gain or carcass characteristics.

In 2014, daily gain was greater ($P < 0.05$) for steers that grazed wheat-crabgrass than for those that grazed wheat-bermudagrass or 'MaxQ' fescue, and daily gain from 'MaxQ' fescue and wheat-bermudagrass were similar ($P > 0.05$). Gain/a for 'MaxQ' fescue, wheat-bermudagrass, and wheat-crabgrass were 370, 282, and 383 lb/a, respectively. Gain/a was greater ($P < 0.05$) for 'MaxQ' fescue and wheat-crabgrass than for wheat-bermudagrass. Overall gain and overall daily gain for wheat-crabgrass were greater ($P < 0.05$) than for wheat-bermudagrass or 'MaxQ' fescue, whereas overall gain and overall daily gain for 'MaxQ' fescue and wheat-bermudagrass were similar ($P > 0.05$). 'MaxQ' tall fescue pastures had greater ($P < 0.05$) average available forage DM than wheat-bermudagrass or wheat-crabgrass, and wheat-bermudagrass pastures had more ($P < 0.05$) available forage DM than wheat-crabgrass. Grazing treatment had no effect ($P > 0.05$) on subsequent finishing daily gain or carcass characteristics.

Hotter, drier weather during the summer of 2011 and 2012 likely provided more favorable growing conditions for bermudagrass and crabgrass than for fescue, which was reflected in greater ($P < 0.05$) gains by cattle grazing those pastures. Lack of precipitation also reduced the length of the grazing season for 'MaxQ' fescue pastures in 2012,

which resulted in less fall grazing and lower gain/a than was observed for those pastures in 2010, 2011, 2013, and 2014.

Table 1. Effects of forage system on grazing and subsequent performance of stocker steers, Southeast Agricultural Research Center, 2010

Item	Forage system		
	MaxQ fescue	Wheat-ber- mudagrass	Wheat- crabgrass
Grazing phase			
No. of days	217	161	161
No. of head	16	12	12
Initial weight, lb	633	633	633
Ending weight, lb	995a	919b	891b
Gain, lb	362a	286b	258b
Daily gain, lb	1.67	1.78	1.60
Gain/a, lb	362a	286b	258b
Average available forage dry matter, lb/a	6,214a	3,497b	3,174c
Finishing phase			
No. of days	100	94	94
Beginning weight, lb	995a	919b	891b
Ending weight, lb	1,367a	1,281b	1,273b
Gain, lb	372	361	382
Daily gain, lb	3.72	3.84	4.07
Daily dry matter intake, lb	27.3a	24.6b	25.2b
Feed:gain	7.35a	6.42b	6.22b
Hot carcass weight, lb	847a	794b	790b
Backfat, in.	0.43	0.38	0.35
Ribeye area, sq. in.	12.5	12.5	12.2
Yield grade	2.8	2.5	2.5
Marbling score ¹	649	590	592
Percentage USDA choice grade	100	92	83
Overall performance (grazing plus finishing)			
No. of days	317	255	255
Gain, lb	734a	648b	640b
Daily gain, lb	2.32a	2.54b	2.51ab

¹ 500 = small, 600 = modest, 700 = moderate.

Means within a row followed by the same letter do not differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 2. Effects of forage system on grazing and subsequent performance of stocker steers, Southeast Agricultural Research Center, 2011

Item	Forage system		
	MaxQ fescue	Wheat-ber- mudagrass	Wheat- crabgrass
Grazing phase			
No. of days	212	168	168
No. of head	16	12	12
Initial weight, lb	607	607	607
Ending weight, lb	914a	954b	982b
Gain, lb	307a	347b	376b
Daily gain, lb	1.45a	2.07b	2.24b
Gain/a, lb	307a	347b	376b
Average available forage dry matter, lb/a	5,983a	4,172b	3,904c
Finishing phase			
No. of days	96	98	98
Beginning weight, lb	914a	954b	982b
Ending weight, lb	1,355	1,344	1,385
Gain, lb	442a	389b	403ab
Daily gain, lb	4.60a	3.97b	4.11ab
Daily dry matter intake, lb	27.9	28.0	29.3
Feed:gain	6.09a	7.07b	7.13b
Hot carcass weight, lb	841	833	859
Backfat, in.	0.41	0.41	0.44
Ribeye area, sq. in.	12.9	13.0	13.3
Yield grade	2.6	2.7	2.8
Marbling score ¹	619	640	612
Percentage USDA choice grade	100	92	92
Overall performance (grazing plus finishing)			
No. of days	308	266	266
Gain, lb	749	737	779
Daily gain, lb	2.43a	2.77b	2.93b

¹ 600 = modest, 700 = moderate.

Means within a row followed by the same letter do not differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3. Effects of forage system on grazing and subsequent performance of stocker steers, Southeast Agricultural Research Center, 2012

Item	Forage system		
	MaxQ fescue	Wheat-ber-mudagrass	Wheat-crabgrass
Grazing phase			
No. of days	144	144	144
No. of head	16	12	12
Initial weight, lb	632	632	632
Ending weight, lb	858a	957b	945b
Gain, lb	226a	325b	313b
Daily gain, lb	1.57a	2.26b	2.17b
Gain/a, lb	226a	325b	313b
Average available forage dry matter, lb/a	5,983a	4,172b	3,904c
Finishing phase			
No. of days	105	105	105
Beginning weight, lb	858a	957b	945b
Ending weight, lb	1,355	1,409	1,431
Gain, lb	497	451	486
Daily gain, lb	4.73	4.30	4.63
Daily dry matter intake, lb	30.7	28.3	29.1
Feed:gain	6.53	6.61	6.28
Hot carcass weight, lb	840	873	887
Backfat, in.	0.44	0.38	0.45
Ribeye area, sq. in.	12.6	12.8	13.3
Yield grade	2.8	2.7	2.8
Marbling score ¹	625	591	603
Percentage USDA choice grade	100	83	92
Overall performance (grazing plus finishing)			
No. of days	249	249	249
Gain, lb	722	776	799
Daily gain, lb	2.90	3.12	3.21

¹ 500 = small, 600 = modest, 700 = moderate.

Means within a row followed by the same letter do not differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 4. Effects of forage system on grazing and subsequent performance of stocker steers, Southeast Agricultural Research Center, 2013

Item	Forage system		
	MaxQ fescue	Wheat-ber- mudagrass	Wheat- crabgrass
Grazing phase			
No. of days	208	159	159
No. of head	16	12	12
Initial weight, lb	678	678	678
Ending weight, lb	1,017a	923b	994a
Gain, lb	338a	244b	316a
Daily gain, lb	1.63ab	1.54a	1.99b
Gain/a, lb	338a	244b	316a
Average available forage dry matter, lb/a	6,290a	3,590b	2,980c
Finishing phase			
No. of days	91	105	105
Beginning weight, lb	1,017a	923b	994a
Ending weight, lb	1,390	1,387	1,480
Gain, lb	374a	464b	486b
Daily gain, lb	4.11	4.42	4.63
Daily dry matter intake, lb	27.1	27.7	28.1
Feed:gain	6.64	6.29	6.09
Hot carcass weight, lb	862	860	918
Backfat, in.	0.40	0.38	0.46
Ribeye area, sq. in.	12.7	13.6	13.5
Yield grade	2.6	2.2	2.4
Marbling score ¹	594	599	612
Percentage USDA choice grade	94	100	92
Overall performance (grazing plus finishing)			
No. of days	299	264	264
Gain, lb	712	708	802
Daily gain, lb	2.38ac	2.68bc	3.04b

¹ 500 = small, 600 = modest, 700 = moderate.

Means within a row followed by the same letter do not differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 5. Effects of forage system on grazing and subsequent performance of stocker steers, Southeast Agricultural Research Center, 2014

Item	Forage system		
	MaxQ fescue	Wheat-ber- mudagrass	Wheat- crabgrass
Grazing phase			
No. of days	196	155	155
No. of head	16	12	12
Initial weight, lb	636	636	636
Ending weight, lb	1,006a	918b	1,019a
Gain, lb	370a	282b	383a
Daily gain, lb	1.89a	1.82a	2.47b
Gain/a, lb	370a	282b	383a
Average available forage dry matter, lb/a	5,733a	3,344b	2,509c
Finishing phase			
No. of days	106	119	119
Beginning weight, lb	1,006a	918b	1019a
Ending weight, lb	1,461a	1,405a	1,548b
Gain, lb	455a	487ab	529b
Daily gain, lb	4.29	4.09	4.45
Daily dry matter intake, lb	28.9	29.0	29.2
Feed:gain	6.80	7.08	6.57
Hot carcass weight, lb	906a	871a	960b
Backfat, in.	0.48a	0.49a	0.61b
Ribeye area, sq. in.	13.3a	12.4b	12.7b
Yield grade	2.6	2.7	3.3
Marbling score ¹	648	639	648
Percentage USDA choice grade	100	100	100
Overall performance (grazing plus finishing)			
No. of days	302	274	274
Gain, lb	825a	769a	912b
Daily gain, lb	2.73a	2.81a	3.33b

¹ 600 = modest, 700 = moderate.

Means within a row followed by the same letter do not differ ($P < 0.05$).