January 2015

Influence of Fertilizer Source and Rate on Buffalograss Divot Recovery

E. Alderman

Kansas State University, ejalderman@ksu.edu

J. Hoyle

Kansas State University, jahoye@ksu.edu

J. Fry

Kansas State University, jfry@ksu.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

Part of the [Horticulture Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Alderman, E.; Hoyle, J.; Fry, J.; and Keeley, S. (2015) "Influence of Fertilizer Source and Rate on Buffalograss Divot Recovery," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 1: Iss. 6. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1096>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2015 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Influence of Fertilizer Source and Rate on Buffalograss Divot Recovery

Authors

E. Alderman, J. Hoyle, J. Fry, and S. Keeley

TURFGRASS RESEARCH 2015

JULY 2015

Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service

K-State Research and Extension is an equal
opportunity provider and employer.

Influence of Fertilizer Source and Rate on Buffalograss Divot Recovery

Evan Alderman, Jared Hoyle, Jack Fry, and Steve Keeley
Department of Horticulture, Forestry and Recreation Resources

Summary. Application of quick-release nitrogen fertilizer increased buffalograss divot recovery. A quick-release fertilizer at 1 lb N/1,000 ft² resulted in 50% divot recovery 6.3 days quicker compared to the untreated control.

Rationale. Buffalograss [*Buchloe dactyloides* (Nutt.) Engelm] is utilized as an acceptable turfgrass on golf courses due to its low input characteristics and its ability to survive under minimal irrigation. The slow growth of buffalograss creates concerns with recuperative capacity when damaged from normal golfing activities.

Objectives. Determine if nitrogen sources and application rates influence the divot recovery potential of 'Cody' buffalograss.

Study Description. Field studies were conducted at the Rocky Ford Turfgrass Research Center in Manhattan, Kansas, and the Council Grove Country Club in Council Grove, Kansas, from July through September 2014 on a 'Cody' buffalograss fairway (0.625 in. mowing height). Research study was a randomized complete block, with a 2 × 4 factorial treatment structure. Treatments consisted of two nitrogen fertilizer sources and four fertilizer application rates. Fertilizer sources were a quick-release 46-0-0 (N-P-K) urea and a slow-release 43-0-0 (N-P-K) 120-day controlled release polymer-coated urea. Fertilizers were applied at 0, 1, 2, and 3 lb N/1,000 ft². Quick-release nitrogen treatments were applied at two half-rate applications at one and four weeks after initiation. Slow-release treatments were applied once at trial initiation. Divots were made using a modified lawn edger (Figure 1). Divot recovery was visually rated weekly on a 0% to 100% scale. Data were subjected

View all turfgrass research reports online at: <http://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

to an analysis of variance using the Proc Mixed procedure in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina). Data were analyzed using a three-parameter sigmoidal regression model where parameter estimates equal 50% divot recovery.

Results. All treatments achieved 100% recovery by trial termination, although it was observed that the recovery rates were different. Nitrogen application rates of 1, 2, and 3 lb N/1,000 ft² (quick-release treatments) resulted in faster recovery rates than the control (Table 1). The 1 lb N/1,000 ft² treatment of the quick-release product resulted in the quickest recovery rate of all treatments, reaching 50% divot recovery 6.3 days faster when compared to the untreated area. Recovery of all slow-release nitrogen treatments was no different from the control (Figure 2). Applications of nitrogen also increased buffalograss color and quality (data not shown).

Table 1. Parameter estimates ($\pm$ standard errors) from fitting Equation 1 to data for quick- and slow-release rates % recovery across all locations.[†]

Source	Rate	$a^{\ddagger}$	b	R_{50}	$Adj R^2$
-- [§]	0lb N/1000ft ²	99.51 $\pm$ 3.12	1.17 $\pm$ 0.12	3.40 $\pm$ 0.14	0.85
Slow	1lb N/1000ft ²	97.42 $\pm$ 4.96	1.17 $\pm$ 0.18	3.82 $\pm$ 0.21	0.85
Slow	2lb N/1000ft ²	99.18 $\pm$ 4.41	1.08 $\pm$ 0.18	3.30 $\pm$ 0.19	0.83
Slow	3lb N/1000ft ²	99.91 $\pm$ 2.89	0.97 $\pm$ 0.12	3.17 $\pm$ 0.12	0.90
Quick	1lb N/1000ft ²	99.48 $\pm$ 2.42	0.91 $\pm$ 0.12	2.50 $\pm$ 0.11	0.89
Quick	2lb N/1000ft ²	98.09 $\pm$ 3.15	0.91 $\pm$ 0.14	2.98 $\pm$ 0.14	0.86
Quick	3lb N/1000ft ²	99.64 $\pm$ 3.01	0.94 $\pm$ 0.14	2.66 $\pm$ 0.14	0.86

[†] Sigmoid regression model defined by equation.

[‡] Abbreviations: a , maximum recovery; b , slope; R_{50} , weeks after injury to achieve 50% recovery; Adj , adjusted.

[§] During this field study two untreated plots (Slow 0 lb N/1,000 ft² and Quick 0 lb N/1000 ft²) were used to attain a randomized complete block design, with a 2 $\times$ 4 factorial treatment structure. For the purpose of nonlinear regression analysis, data from the two control treatments were combined and treated as one treatment.

Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service

Figure 1. Divots were made using a modified lawn edger. The standard edger blade was removed and replaced with 13, 7.25 in carbide tip circular saw blades 0.079 in. thick. The modified lawn edger created a standardized divot (5.5 in. × 2.125 in. × 0.125 in.) in each plot.

Effect of Nitrogen Source and Rate to Reach 50% Divot Recovery

Figure 2. Data presented in this table are the nonlinear regression parameter estimates to reach 50% divot recovery $\pm$ the standard error. Treatments are determined to be statistically different if the standard error boxes do not overlap.

Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service