January 2016

Evaluating the Effects of Simulated Golf Cart Traffic on Dormant Buffalograss and Turfgrass Colorants

E. Alderman

Kansas State University, ejalderman@ksu.edu

J. Hoyle

Kansas State University, jahoyle@ksu.edu

J. Fry

Kansas State University, jfry@ksu.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

Part of the [Horticulture Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Alderman, E.; Hoyle, J.; Fry, J.; and Keeley, S. (2016) "Evaluating the Effects of Simulated Golf Cart Traffic on Dormant Buffalograss and Turfgrass Colorants," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 2: Iss. 4. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1214>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2016 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Evaluating the Effects of Simulated Golf Cart Traffic on Dormant Buffalograss and Turfgrass Colorants

Authors

E. Alderman, J. Hoyle, J. Fry, and S. Keeley

TURFGRASS RESEARCH 2016

JULY 2016

K-STATE
Research and Extension

Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service

K-State Research and Extension is an equal
opportunity provider and employer.

Evaluating the Effects of Simulated Golf Cart Traffic on Dormant Buffalograss and Turfgrass Colorants

Evan Alderman, Jared Hoyle, Jack Fry, and Steven Keeley

Summary. Percent green cover will ultimately decrease over time when traffic is applied to dormant buffalograss with turfgrass colorants. Data suggest that an additional five weeks of acceptable green cover can be achieved under high traffic situations when Endurant Premium is applied to dormant turf.

Rationale. Buffalograss [*Buchloe dactyloides* (Nutt.) Engelm.] is a low maintenance turfgrass species that has the ability to survive in low input turfgrass systems due to low fertility and irrigation requirements. Although buffalograss is well adapted to the summer months in the transition zone, its prolonged dormancy period is of concern. Turfgrass colorants have been used on various warm-season species to paint the turf green during winter dormancy. Furthermore, dormant turf may also be susceptible to injury from golf cart traffic. Minimal information is currently available on the interaction of golf cart traffic on turfgrass colorant longevity and dormant buffalograss recovery, therefore, further research is needed.

Objectives. Investigate turfgrass colorant longevity and also buffalograss tolerance and recovery when subjected to simulated golf cart traffic.

Study Description. A field study was initiated September 14, 2014 at the Rocky Ford Turfgrass Research Center in Manhattan, KS on 'Cody' buffalograss mown at 0.625 inches. The study was conducted as a strip-plot design, with a 4×5 factorial treatment structure, with four replications. Factors consisted of traffic rates: 0, 2, 4, and 8 passes/week and winter color: no colorant, Green Lawngr (GL), Endurant (E), Endurant Premium (EP), and Perennial Ryegrass [*Lolium perenne* L.] (PR).

View all turfgrass research reports online at: <http://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

The PR treatment was overseeded into the buffalograss at trial initiation at a rate of 10 lb/1,000 ft² with Champion GQ perennial ryegrass blend. Turfgrass colorants were applied on October 24, 2014 with a CO₂ hand-held boom sprayer at 43 gal/acre with colorants mix at a 1:6 colorant to water ratio. At 24 weeks after colorant application (WAC), the overseeded PR was sprayed out with flazasulfuron (Katana) at 0.034 oz/1,000 ft². Digital images were collected and subjected to digital image analysis in SigmaScan (Hue: 50-107, Saturation: 0-100) to determine percent winter green cover. Statistical analysis was performed using the Proc Glimmix procedure in SAS, means were separated using Fisher's Protected LSD test when $P \leq 0.05$.

Results. A significant interaction between traffic rate and winter green cover was observed 4 – 24 WAC. Overall, colorant longevity decreased as traffic rate increased. Four WAC 8 passes/week for no paint; GL, E, EP, and PR resulted in 1.60%, 8.87%, 9.47%, 64.26%, and 61.20%, green cover, respectively. Endurant premium turfgrass colorant performed best during this study, sustaining higher than 50% green cover until five WAC under the highest traffic treatment, and 10 WAC under the lowest traffic treatment. Regardless of traffic treatment at 34 WAC, plots receiving colorant treatments had all recovered to at least 49% green turf cover.

K-STATE
Research and Extension

Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service

Table 1. Mean separation of the interaction between winter color and simulated golf cart traffic rate on the response of digital percent green cover

Winter color	Traffic rate	Digital % green cover†					
		-1 WAC‡	0 WAC	6 WAC	12 WAC	24 WAC	34 WAC
Perennial Ryegrass	0 passes/week	96.09	96.81	56.89 b§	26.89 de	73.48 a	9.96
	2 passes/week	96.63	96.88	46.33 cd	15.53 fgh	70.99 a	10.77
	4 passes/week	95.18	95.54	34.61 e	9.51 fghi	69.41 ab	12.48
	8 passes/week	95.27	94.97	30.00 ef	5.71 hi	60.64 b	16.27
Endurant Premium	0 passes/week	27.55	95.47	79.07 a	75.06 a	59.91 b	51.94
	2 passes/week	28.88	96.35	53.15 bc	53.52 b	38.21 c	51.20
	4 passes/week	29.81	94.22	38.60 de	39.65 c	32.45 cdef	49.29
	8 passes/week	32.84	96.64	30.42 ef	36.53 cd	22.89 ghi	58.61
Endurant	0 passes/week	25.25	70.76	16.94 gh	18.86 efg	36.44 cd	53.42
	2 passes/week	31.37	68.18	7.67 hi	8.62 hi	28.31 defg	50.85
	4 passes/week	24.88	72.92	4.11 i	5.40 hi	26.07 efghi	53.73
	8 passes/week	29.31	72.88	3.50 i	3.94 hi	20.83 ghi	56.30
Green Lawngr	0 passes/week	29.03	70.90	20.74 fg	20.38 ef	34.20 cde	56.53
	2 passes/week	31.13	69.93	8.50 hi	9.33 ghi	27.14 defgh	49.02
	4 passes/week	30.34	70.37	6.05 hi	6.63 hi	22.45 ghi	57.58
	8 passes/week	32.82	74.63	2.57 i	3.40 i	17.66 i	57.56
No paint	0 passes/week	30.10	33.79	2.65 i	3.87 i	19.80 ghi	52.69
	2 passes/week	30.99	30.20	0.99 i	1.42 i	20.44 ghi	53.56
	4 passes/week	32.19	31.29	1.05 i	1.91 i	23.66 fghi	55.69
	8 passes/week	35.26	33.82	0.85 i	1.16 i	17.71 hi	58.41

† Digital percent green cover was evaluated in SigmaScan (Hue: 50-107, Saturation: 0-100) using digital images taken with a camera light box. Evaluations were on a 0-100% scale where 0% = no green cover, and 100% = complete green cover in the image.

‡ Indicates weeks after colorant application. Values corresponding to -1 WAC were taken immediately prior to colorant application.

§ Means in a column with like letters are not determined to be statistically different according to Fisher's protected LSD test, ($P \leq 0.05$).

K-STATE
Research and Extension

Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service

Figure 1. Digital images of no paint, Endurant Premium, and Perennial Ryegrass treatments 10 WAI at 0 and 8 passes/week.

K-STATE
Research and Extension

Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service