

January 2016

Wheat and Grain Sorghum in Four-Year Rotations

A. Schlegel

Kansas State University, schlegel@ksu.edu

J. D. Holman

Kansas State University, jholman@ksu.edu

C. Thompson

Kansas State University, cthompso@ksu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

 Part of the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Schlegel, A.; Holman, J. D.; and Thompson, C. (2016) "Wheat and Grain Sorghum in Four-Year Rotations," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 2: Iss. 7. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1258>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright January 2016 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Wheat and Grain Sorghum in Four-Year Rotations

Abstract

Research on 4-year crop rotations with wheat and grain sorghum was initiated at the Southwest Research-Extension Center near Tribune, Kansas, in 1996. Rotations were wheat-wheat-sorghum-fallow (WWSF), wheat-sorghum-sorghum-fallow (WSSF), and continuous wheat (WW). Soil water at wheat planting averaged about 9 in. following sorghum, which is about 3 in. more than the average for the second wheat crop in a WWSF rotation. Soil water at sorghum planting was only about 1 in. less for the second sorghum crop compared with sorghum following wheat. Grain yield of recrop wheat averaged about 80% of the yield of wheat following sorghum. Grain yield of continuous wheat averaged about 65% of the yield of wheat grown in a 4-year rotation following sorghum. Generally, wheat yields were similar following one or two sorghum crops. Similarly, average sorghum yields were the same following one or two wheat crops. Yield of the second sorghum crop in a WSSF rotation was ~65% of the yield of the first sorghum crop in 2015, which is similar to the long-term average.

Keywords

wheat, grain sorghum, four-year rotations, fallow

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Wheat and Grain Sorghum in Four-Year Rotations

A. Schlegel, J. Holman, and C. Thompson

Summary

Research on 4-year crop rotations with wheat and grain sorghum was initiated at the Southwest Research-Extension Center near Tribune, Kansas, in 1996. Rotations were wheat-wheat-sorghum-fallow (WWSF), wheat-sorghum-sorghum-fallow (WSSF), and continuous wheat (WW). Soil water at wheat planting averaged about 9 in. following sorghum, which is about 3 in. more than the average for the second wheat crop in a WWSF rotation. Soil water at sorghum planting was only about 1 in. less for the second sorghum crop compared with sorghum following wheat. Grain yield of recrop wheat averaged about 80% of the yield of wheat following sorghum. Grain yield of continuous wheat averaged about 65% of the yield of wheat grown in a 4-year rotation following sorghum. Generally, wheat yields were similar following one or two sorghum crops. Similarly, average sorghum yields were the same following one or two wheat crops. Yield of the second sorghum crop in a WSSF rotation was ~65% of the yield of the first sorghum crop in 2015, which is similar to the long-term average.

Introduction

In recent years, cropping intensity has increased in dryland systems in western Kansas. The traditional wheat-fallow system is being replaced by wheat-summer crop-fallow rotations. Is more intensive cropping feasible with concurrent increases in no-till? Objectives of this research were to quantify soil water storage, crop water use, and crop productivity of 4-year and continuous cropping systems.

Procedures

Research on 4-year crop rotations with wheat and grain sorghum was initiated in 1996 at the Tribune unit of the Southwest Research-Extension Center. Rotations were WWSF, WSSF, and WW. No-till was used for all rotations except for the first two years where reduced tillage was used for wheat following sorghum. Available water was measured in the soil profile (0 to 6 ft) at planting and harvest of each crop. The center of each plot was machine harvested after physiological maturity, and yields were adjusted to 12.5% moisture.

Results and Discussion

Soil Water

The amount of available water in the soil profile (0 to 6 ft) at wheat planting varied greatly from year to year (Figure 1). In 2015, available soil water was 1 to 2 inches less for all rotations compared to the long-term average. Soil water was similar following fallow after either one or two sorghum crops and averaged about 9 in. across the 19-year study period. Water at planting of the second wheat crop in a WWSF rotation was generally less than at planting of the first wheat crop, except in 1997 and 2003. Soil water for the second wheat crop averaged more than 3 in. (or about 40%) less than that for the first wheat crop in the rotation. Continuous wheat averaged about 0.8 in. less water at planting than the second wheat crop in a WWSF rotation.

Similar to wheat, the amount of available water in the soil profile at sorghum planting varied greatly from year to year (Figure 2). Soil water was similar following fallow after either one or two wheat crops and averaged about 8 in. over 20 years. Water at planting of the second sorghum crop in a WSSF rotation was generally less than that at planting of the first sorghum crop. Averaged across the entire study period, the first sorghum crop had about 1.3 in. more available water at planting than the second crop.

Grain Yields

In 2015, wheat yields were greater than the long-term average following two sorghum crops but equal following one sorghum crop or with continuous wheat (Table 1). Averaged across 19 years, recrop wheat (the second wheat crop in a WWSF rotation) yielded about 80% of first-year wheat crop in WWSF. Before 2003, recrop wheat yielded about 70% of first-year wheat. Wheat yields following two sorghum crops are 2 bu/a greater than following one sorghum crop. In most years, continuous wheat yields have been similar to recrop wheat yields, but in several years (2003, 2007, 2009, and 2014), recrop wheat yields were considerably greater than continuous wheat yields.

Sorghum yields in 2015 for all rotations were about 60% greater than the long-term average (Table 2). Sorghum yields were similar following one or two wheat crops, which is consistent with the long-term average. Similarly, the second sorghum crop typically averages about 65% of the yield of the first sorghum crop, which was the case in 2015.

Table 1. Wheat response to dryland crop rotation, Tribune, Kansas, 1997–2015.

| Year | Rotation | | | | LSD 0.05 | ANOVA (P>F) | | |
|------|-------------------|------|------|-----|----------|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| | Wssf ¹ | Wwsf | wWsf | WW | | Rotation | Year | Year × rotation |
| | ----- bu/a ----- | | | | | | | |
| 1997 | 57 | 55 | 48 | 43 | 8 | 0.017 | | |
| 1998 | 70 | 64 | 63 | 60 | 12 | 0.391 | | |
| 1999 | 74 | 80 | 41 | 43 | 14 | 0.001 | | |
| 2000 | 46 | 35 | 18 | 18 | 10 | 0.001 | | |
| 2001 | 22 | 29 | 27 | 34 | 14 | 0.335 | | |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | --- | --- | | |
| 2003 | 29 | 27 | 66 | 30 | 14 | 0.001 | | |
| 2004 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.001 | | |
| 2005 | 45 | 40 | 41 | 44 | 10 | 0.690 | | |
| 2006 | 28 | 26 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 0.001 | | |
| 2007 | 75 | 61 | 63 | 41 | 14 | 0.004 | | |
| 2008 | 40 | 40 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 0.001 | | |
| 2009 | 37 | 39 | 50 | 24 | 15 | 0.029 | | |
| 2010 | 63 | 60 | 29 | 23 | 9 | 0.001 | | |
| 2011 | 25 | 22 | 25 | 17 | 8 | 0.152 | | |
| 2012 | 14 | 20 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 0.380 | | |
| 2013 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | --- | --- | | |
| 2014 | 51 | 45 | 31 | 12 | 18 | 0.004 | | |
| 2015 | 49 | 36 | 24 | 24 | 12 | 0.001 | | |
| Mean | 38a | 36b | 29c | 23d | 2 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |

¹ W, wheat; S, sorghum; capital letters denote current year's crop.

Table 2. Grain sorghum response to crop rotation, Tribune, Kansas, 1996–2015.

| Year | Rotation | | | LSD 0.05 | ANOVA (P>F) | | |
|------|-------------------|------|------|----------|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| | wSsf ¹ | wsSf | wwSf | | Rotation | Year | Year × rotation |
| | ----- bu/a ----- | | | | | | |
| 1996 | 58 | 35 | 54 | 24 | 0.117 | | |
| 1997 | 88 | 45 | 80 | 13 | 0.001 | | |
| 1998 | 117 | 100 | 109 | 12 | 0.026 | | |
| 1999 | 99 | 74 | 90 | 11 | 0.004 | | |
| 2000 | 63 | 23 | 67 | 16 | 0.001 | | |
| 2001 | 68 | 66 | 73 | 18 | 0.673 | | |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | --- | --- | | |
| 2003 | 60 | 41 | 76 | 18 | 0.009 | | |
| 2004 | 91 | 79 | 82 | 17 | 0.295 | | |
| 2005 | 81 | 69 | 85 | 20 | 0.188 | | |
| 2006 | 55 | 13 | 71 | 15 | 0.001 | | |
| 2007 | 101 | 86 | 101 | 9 | 0.008 | | |
| 2008 | 50 | 30 | 57 | 12 | 0.005 | | |
| 2009 | 89 | 44 | 103 | 53 | 0.080 | | |
| 2010 | 98 | 52 | 105 | 24 | 0.004 | | |
| 2011 | 119 | 47 | 105 | 34 | 0.005 | | |
| 2012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | --- | --- | | |
| 2013 | 105 | 98 | 100 | 23 | 0.742 | | |
| 2014 | 91 | 5 | 84 | 29 | 0.001 | | |
| 2015 | 125 | 82 | 124 | 22 | 0.005 | | |
| Mean | 78a | 49b | 78a | 4 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |

¹ W, wheat; S, sorghum; capital letters denote current year's crop.




Figure 1. Available soil water in 6-ft profile at planting of wheat in several rotations, Tribune, Kansas, 1997–2015. Capital letter denotes current crop in rotation (W, wheat; S, sorghum). The last set of bars (Mean) is the average across years.




Figure 2. Available soil water in 6-ft profile at planting of sorghum in several rotations, Tribune, Kansas, 1996–2015. Capital letter denotes current crop in rotation (W, wheat; S, sorghum). The last set of bars (Mean) is the average across years.