Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 2
Issue 8 *Swine Day*

Article 43

January 2016

Foreword and Supplemental Information, Swine Day

R. D. Goodband

Kansas State University, Manhattan, goodband@k-state.edu

M. D. Tokach

Kansas State University, Manhattan, mtokach@k-state.edu

S. S. Dritz

Kansas State University, Manhattan, dritz@k-state.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Goodband, R. D.; Tokach, M. D.; Dritz, S. S.; DeRouchey, J. M.; and Woodworth, J. C. (2016) "Foreword and Supplemental Information, Swine Day," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 2: Iss. 8. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1320>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2016 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Foreword and Supplemental Information, Swine Day

Authors

R. D. Goodband, M. D. Tokach, S. S. Dritz, J. M. DeRouchey, and J. C. Woodworth

Foreword

It is with great pleasure that we present the 2016 Swine Industry Day Report of Progress. This report contains updates and summaries of applied and basic research conducted at Kansas State University during the past year. We hope that the information will be of benefit as we attempt to meet the needs of the Kansas swine industry.

2016 Swine Day Report of Progress Editors

Bob Goodband, Mike Tokach, Steve Dritz, Joel DeRouchey, and Jason Woodworth

Standard Abbreviations

ADG	=	average daily gain	Mcal	=	megacalorie(s)
ADF	=	acid detergent fiber	ME	=	metabolizable energy
ADFI	=	average daily feed intake	mEq	=	milliequivalent(s)
AI	=	artificial insemination	min	=	minute(s)
avg	=	average	mg	=	milligram(s)
bu	=	bushel	mL	=	cc (cubic centimeters)
BW	=	body weight	mm	=	millimeter(s)
cm	=	centimeter(s)	mo	=	month(s)
CP	=	crude protein	MUFA	=	monounsaturated fatty acid
CV	=	coefficient of variation	N	=	nitrogen
cwt	=	100 lb	NE	=	net energy
d	=	day(s)	NDF	=	neutral detergent fiber
DE	=	digestible energy	NFE	=	nitrogen-free extract
DM	=	dry matter	ng	=	nanogram(s), .001 Fg
DMI	=	dry matter intake	no.	=	number
F/G	=	feed efficiency	NRC	=	National Research Council
ft	=	foot(feet)	ppb	=	parts per billion
ft ²	=	square foot(feet)	ppm	=	parts per million
g	=	gram(s)	psi	=	pounds per square inch
µg	=	microgram(s), .001 mg	PUFA	=	polyunsaturated fatty acid
gal	=	gallon(s)	SD	=	standard deviation
GE	=	gross energy	sec	=	second(s)
h	=	hour(s)	SE	=	standard error
HCW	=	hot carcass weight	SEM	=	standard error of the mean
in	=	inch(es)	SEW	=	segregated early weaning
IU	=	international unit(s)	SFA	=	saturated fatty acid
kg	=	kilogram(s)	UFA	=	unsaturated fatty acid
kcal	=	kilocalorie(s)	wk	=	week(s)
kWh	=	kilowatt hour(s)	wt	=	weight(s)
lb	=	pound(s)	yr	=	year(s)

K-State Vitamin and Trace Mineral Premixes

Diets listed in this report contain the following vitamin and trace mineral premixes unless otherwise specified.

- Trace mineral premix: Each pound of premix contains 10 g Mn, 33 g Fe, 33 g Zn, 5 g Cu, 90 mg I, and 90 mg Se.
- Vitamin premix: Each pound of premix contains 1,600,000 IU vitamin A, 400,000 IU vitamin D3, 8,000 mg vitamin E (dl- α -tocopherol acetate or 4,000 mg d- α -tocopherol acetate), 800 mg menadione, 1,500 mg riboflavin, 5,000 mg pantothenic acid, 15,000 mg niacin, and 7 mg vitamin B12.
- Sow add pack: Each pound of premix contains 100,000 mg choline, 40 mg biotin, 300 mg folic acid, 400 mg pyridoxine, 4,000 mg Vit E (dl- α -tocopherol acetate or 2,000 mg d- α -tocopherol acetate), 9,000 mg L-carnitine, and 36 mg Cr.

Note

Some of the research reported here was carried out under special U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) clearances that apply only to investigational uses at approved research institutions. Materials that require FDA clearances may be used in the field only at the levels and for the use specified in that clearance.

Biological Variability and Chances of Error

Variability among individual animals in an experiment leads to problems in interpreting the results. Animals on treatment X may have higher average daily gains than those on treatment Y, but variability within treatments may indicate that the differences in production between X and Y were not the result of the treatment alone. Statistical analysis allows us to calculate the probability that such differences are from treatment rather than from chance.

In some of the articles herein, you will see the notation “ $P < 0.05$.” That means the probability of the differences resulting from chance is less than 5%. If two averages are said to be “significantly different,” the probability is less than 5% that the difference is from chance, or the probability exceeds 95% that the difference resulted from the treatments applied.

Some papers report correlations or measures of the relationship between traits. The relationship may be positive (both traits tend to get larger or smaller together) or negative (as one trait gets larger, the other gets smaller). A perfect correlation is one (+1 or -1). If there is no relationship, the correlation is zero.

In other papers, you may see an average given as 2.5 ± 0.1 . The 2.5 is the average; 0.1 is the “standard error.” The standard error is calculated to be 68% certain that the real average (with unlimited number of animals) would fall within one standard error from the average, in this case between 2.4 and 2.6.

Using many animals per treatment, replicating treatments several times, and using uniform animals increase the probability of finding real differences when they exist. Statistical analysis allows more valid interpretation of the results, regardless of the number of animals. In all the research reported herein, statistical analyses are included to increase the confidence you can place in the results.

Index of Key Words

alternative	fat source	nursery feed
amino acid	feed additive	nursery pigs
amino acid ratio	feed manufacturing	particle size
antibiotic	feed matrix	PEDV
antimicrobial	finishing feed	pharmacological trace
blending	fish meal	minerals
bone ash	flush	phosphorous
butyric acid	gilt training	phytase
calorie:lysine ratio	gluco-oligosaccharide	phytogenics
carbadox	glutamate	pigs
carcass characteristics	glutamine	post-farrow maternal
chemical sanitation	group-housed gestating	weight
chemical treatment	sows	probiotic
chlorine (Cl)	growing-finishing pig	protein source
chromium propionate	growth	reproduction
copper	growth performance	salt
copper amino acid-com-	HP 300	sample preparation
plex	isoleucine	space allowance
crude protein	K-value	source
crude protein level	lactation	sow(s)
diet complexity	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i>	stocking density
dietary electrolyte balance	late finishing	superdose
duration	level	swine
Elarom-F Plus	liquid addition	tri-basic copper chloride
Elarom SES	lysine	uniformity of mix
electrolyte balance	marketing	valine
electronic sow feeders	medium chain fatty acids	wet mix
electronic sow feeding	Micro-Aid	yeast
enzymatically fermented	mix time	zinc
soybean meal	Sodium (Na)	zinc hydroxychloride
essential oil	net energy	zinc sulfate
Evosure	nursery	

Acknowledgments

Appreciation is expressed to these organizations for assisting with swine research at Kansas State University.

Abilene Animal Hospital, Abilene, KS	Livestock and Meat Industry Council, Manhattan, KS
Ajinomoto Heartland LLC, Chicago, IL	Micronutrients, Indianapolis, IN
Dave and Lois Baier, Abilene, KS	Midori USA, Cambridge, MA
BASF Corporation, Florham Park, NJ	National Pork Board, Des Moines, IA
Biomim USA, San Antonio, TX	Natural Foods Holdings, Sioux City, IA
DNA Genetics, Columbus, NE	New Fashion Pork, Jackson, MN
DSM Nutritional Products, Parsippany, NJ	New Horizon Farms, Pipestone, MN
Elanco Animal Health, Indianapolis, IN	Novus International, St. Charles, MO
Farmland Foods LLC, Crete, NE	Nutraferma, Dakota Dunes, SD
Feedlogic Corporation, Willmar, MN	Nutraquest, Mason City, IA
Hamlet Proteins, Findlay, OH	Pancosma North America, Drummond- ville, Quebec, Canada
Haverkamp Brothers, Bern, KS	PIC USA, Hendersonville, TN
Holden Farms, Northfield, MN	Purco, Edgerton, MN
Hubbard Feeds, Mankato, MN	Thomas Livestock Company, Broken Bow, NE
ILC Resources, Urbandale, IA	Trouw Nutrition USA, Highland IL
International Ingredient Corporation, St. Louis, MO	Triumph Foods, St. Joseph, MO
JYGA Technologies, St. Nicolas, Quebec, Canada	United Sorghum Checkoff Program, Lubbock, TX
Kalmbach Feeds, Upper Sandusky, OH	USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Washington, D.C.
Kansas Pork Association, Manhattan, KS	Zinpro Corp., Eden Prairie, MN
Kansas Swine Alliance, Abilene, KS	Zoltenko Farms Inc., Hardy, NE
Kemin Industries, Inc., Des Moines, IA	
Lesaffre Yeast Corporation, Milwaukee, WI	

We especially appreciate the assistance and dedication of Kansas State University employees Duane Baughman, Frank Jennings, Mark Nelson, Chance Fiehler, Caitlin Evans, Ashton Yoder, and Theresa Rathbun.

Appreciation is also expressed to: Allan Morris, Heath Houselog, Marty Heintz, Craig Steck, and Bob Taubert, New Horizon Farms, Pipestone, MN, for their dedicated support.

Appreciation is expressed to Triumph Foods LLC, St. Joseph, MO, and Jerry Lehenbauer, David Donovan, Ann Smith, Brad Knadler, and Brittany Kimler for technical assistance.

Swine Industry Day Committee

Duane Davis

Bob Goodband

Mike Tokach

Joel DeRouchey

Joe Hancock

Jason Woodworth

Steve Dritz

Jim Nelssen

The Livestock and Meat Industry Council, Inc.

The Livestock and Meat Industry Council, Inc. (LMIC) is a nonprofit charitable organization supporting animal agriculture research, teaching, and education. This is accomplished through the support of individuals and businesses that make LMIC a part of their charitable giving.

Tax-deductible contributions can be made through gifts of cash, appreciated securities, real estate, life insurance, charitable remainder trusts, and bequests as well as many other forms of planned giving. LMIC can also receive gifts of livestock, machinery, or equipment. These types of gifts, known as gifts-in-kind, allow the donor to be eligible for a tax benefit based on the appraised value of the gift.

Since its inception in 1970, LMIC has provided student scholarships, research assistance, capital improvements, land, buildings, and equipment to support students, faculty, and the industry of animal agriculture. If you would like to be a part of this mission or would like additional information, please contact the Livestock and Meat Industry Council/Animal Sciences and Industry, Weber Hall, Manhattan, Kansas 66506 or call 785-532-1227.

LMIC Board Members

Kyle Bauer	Roy Henry	Bill Miller
David Clawson	Patsy Houghton	Lisa Moser
Doug Deets	Virgil Huseman	Stanton O'Neil
Mark Gardiner	Justin Janssen	Rich Porter
Craig Good	Mark Knight	Tom Toll
Ken Grecian	Kelly Lechtenberg	Warren Weibert
Frank Harper	Steve Mangan	

Royal Board Members

Dell Allen	Greg Henderson	Phil Phar
Jerry Bohn	Steven Hunt	Harland Priddle
Richard Chase	Steve Irsik	Lee Reeve
Calvin Drake	Larry Jones	Don Smith
Stan Fansher	Kenny Knight	Ken Stielow
Galen Fink	Pat Koons	Mikel Stout
Randy Fisher	Jan Lyons	Kathleen Strunk
Lyle Gray	Gina Miller	Duane Walker
Sam Hands	Andrew Murphy	
Bernie Hansen	Tom Perrier	