

2012

Comparative efficacy of two Ivermectin Pour-on anthelmintics in beef steers in a commercial feedyard

A.J. Tarpoff

T. Guichon

Daniel U. Thomson

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Tarpoff, A.J.; Guichon, T.; Thomson, Daniel U.; Wileman, Benjamin W.; and Reinhardt, Christopher D. (2012) "Comparative efficacy of two Ivermectin Pour-on anthelmintics in beef steers in a commercial feedyard," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1407>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2012 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Comparative efficacy of two Ivermectin Pour-on anthelmintics in beef steers in a commercial feedyard

Abstract

Generic products generally have a cost advantage for beef producers over brand-name products. Recently, many beef producers have debated whether to utilize generic anthelmintics in cow/calf herds and feeder cattle. If generics are to be justified, the products must be proven to have efficacy similar to the brand-name product. Previous studies have indicated that generic macrocyclic lactones are less effective in controlling gastrointestinal parasites of cattle than the original brand-name products. The objective of this study was to compare the efficacy of Vetrimec (Norbrook Laboratories Limited, Newry, Co. Down, Northern Ireland) pour-on and Ivomec (Merial Animal Health, Duluth, GA) pour-on by utilizing the fecal egg reduction test in newly arrived feedlot steers.

Keywords

Cattlemen's Day, 2012; Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution; no. 12-231-S; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service); 1065; Beef Cattle Research, 2012 is known as Cattlemen's Day, 2012; Beef; Ivermectin Pour-on; Feedlot; Anthelmintics

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Authors

A.J. Tarpoff, T. Guichon, Daniel U. Thomson, Benjamin W. Wileman, and Christopher D. Reinhardt

Comparative Efficacy of Two Ivermectin Pour-on Anthelmintics in Beef Steers in a Commercial Feedyard

A.J. Tarpoff, D.U. Thomson, B.W. Wileman, T. Guichon¹, and C. D. Reinhardt

Introduction

Generic products generally have a cost advantage for beef producers over brand-name products. Recently, many beef producers have debated whether to utilize generic anthelmintics in cow/calf herds and feeder cattle. If generics are to be justified, the products must be proven to have efficacy similar to the brand-name product. Previous studies have indicated that generic macrocyclic lactones are less effective in controlling gastrointestinal parasites of cattle than the original brand-name products. The objective of this study was to compare the efficacy of Vetrimec (Norbrook Laboratories Limited, Newry, Co. Down, Northern Ireland) pour-on and Ivomec (Merial Animal Health, Duluth, GA) pour-on by utilizing the fecal egg reduction test in newly arrived feedlot steers.

Experimental Procedures

Five pairs of feedlot pens containing 40 cattle per pen within a single commercial feedlot were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 anthelmintic treatments: Ivomec pour-on or Vetrimec pour-on. Rectal fecal samples were obtained at the time of initial processing prior to treatment on day 0 and again on day 14. Animal weights were obtained on day 0 and again at production sort date (average 118 days on feed), at which time the study was terminated.

Linear and mixed models were fit with treatment, pen, and their interaction terms as predictors of net egg count difference and average daily gain using the statistical software program R (version 2.10.1). Fecal egg count reduction percentages were calculated and used to report treatment efficacy.

Results and Discussion

No anthelmintic treatment \times pen interactions occurred for fecal egg count reduction percentages or performance. Treatment groups exhibited no differences in pre-treatment body weights ($P = 0.10$; Table 1) or initial fecal egg counts ($P = 0.17$; Figure 1). Cattle treated with Vetrimec pour-on exhibited greater average daily gain than cattle treated with Ivomec pour-on (3.89 versus 3.74 lb/day, respectively; $P = 0.02$). Final (d 14) egg counts did not differ ($P = 0.15$). Regardless of treatment, only 26% of animals sampled had a fecal egg count reduction percentage of $>90\%$ at day 14 (Figure 2).

No differences were observed in parasite control between generic and brand-name products in this study, but neither treatment was entirely effective at reducing internal parasite burden.

¹ Feedlot Health Management Services, Okotoks, Alberta, Canada.

Implications

Pour-on anthelmintics may not be the most effective means for control of internal parasites.

Table 1. Initial weight, final weight (day 118), and average daily gain for feedlot cattle treated with either Vetrimec pour-on or Ivomec pour-on

| | Vetrimec | Ivomec | SEM | <i>P</i> -value |
|----------------------------|----------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| Initial weight, lb | 672 | 680 | 9.1 | 0.10 |
| Out weight, lb | 1,121 | 1,108 | 10.6 | 0.46 |
| Average daily gain, lb/day | 3.89 | 3.74 | 0.056 | 0.02 |




Figure 1. Average fecal egg counts for feedlot cattle treated with either Vetrimec pour-on or Ivomec pour-on (no treatment differences either before or after treatment ($P \geq 0.15$)).




Figure 2. Range (high to low) in individual animal fecal egg counts by pen before and after treatment with either Vetrimec pour-on or Ivomec pour-on.