

Influence of some environmental factors on recombination

M. R.T. Wickramaratne
Imperial College

M. R.T. Lamb
Imperial College

Follow this and additional works at: <http://newprairiepress.org/fgr>

Recommended Citation

Wickramaratne, M. R., and M.R. Lamb (1973) "Influence of some environmental factors on recombination," *Fungal Genetics Reports*: Vol. 20, Article 30. <https://doi.org/10.4148/1941-4765.1838>

This Research Note is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Fungal Genetics Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

Influence of some environmental factors on recombination

Abstract

Influence of environmental factors on recombination

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

of some environmental factors on recombination.

The effects of temperature of incubation of the conidiating parent, conidial age, age of the crossing medium and heat-shock of conidia and protoperithecia were studied, using the osco mutant of N. crassa. Media and general methods were those of Lomb (1966 Genet. Res. 7: 325).

Incubation of conidiating cultures at 8, 15, 17.5 or 37°C for six days prior to conidiation produced second division segregation frequency (SDSF) values not significantly different from control values (incubation at 25°C) even at the 20% level of probability. Since little cytoplasm is contributed by the conidia, any temperature-sensitive "effector compounds" (Lomb 1969 Genetics 63: 807) present in conidial cytoplasm would need to be effective in extremely low concentrations at meiosis, to be detected.

An investigation of the effect of ageing of conidial cultures on recombination indicated paternal age effects. Storage of conidial cultures at room temperature (about 20°C) for two months resulted in significantly lower SDFS values which were statistically homogeneous with each other but significantly different from the control value. Ageing may cause the following effects, amongst others: (1) Mutation of regulator genes present in conidial nuclei; this is possible as Auerbach (1959 Heredity 13: 414) estimated that the number of conidia carrying one class of mutation (recessive lethals) increased by 0.3% a week during storage. (2) Accumulation of recombination inhibitors with age: the passage of such inhibitors from conidia to protoperithecia could cause lowered SDFS's but, like temperature-sensitive effectors, these inhibitors would need to be effective in extremely low concentrations at meiosis. A reduction in SDFS with increasing age of protoperithecial culture at conidiation was found by Lomb (1971 Genet. Res. 18: 255) but the protoperithecia do contribute nearly all the cytoplasm in the cross. The result of ageing conidia for two months at 25°C was unexpected in that it produced SDFS values homogeneous with the controls. This may be because the process of ageing differs with temperature.

The age of medium (old medium was used for both protoperithecial and conidial cultures) also seemed to affect recombination. The use of stale or freshly made but repeatedly autoclaved medium for crossing produced SDFS values significantly different from controls made using fresh medium. Ageing or repeated autoclaving probably caused alteration of the chemical composition of the medium by hydrolysis and decomposition. However, these results differ from those of Towe and Stadler (1964 Genetics 49: 577); using the osco mutant of N. crassa, they observed that chemical alteration of the crossing medium resulted in significant increases in SDFS but caused no significant decrease.

Heat-shocking either protoperithecial or conidial cultures at 60°C for 60 seconds produced no significant difference in SDFS, as compared to control values. Heated sterile paraffin oil was used to heat-shock protoperithecial cultures. Conidia were heat-shocked in sterile distilled water at 60°C. Results from heat-shock experiments are in agreement with those of Mitchell (1957 Symp. on Chemical Basis of Heredity, p. 94, McElroy and Glass (ed.), Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore).