

# Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

---

Volume 0

Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day* (1993-2014)

Article 471

---

1998

## Effects of supplemental degradable intake protein on intake and digestibility of low-quality brome hay

C.P. Mathis

R.C. Cochran

J.S. Heldt

B.C. Woods

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

 Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Mathis, C.P.; Cochran, R.C.; Heldt, J.S.; Woods, B.C.; Stokka, Gerald L.; Olson, K. C.; and Titgemeyer, Evan C. (1998) "Effects of supplemental degradable intake protein on intake and digestibility of low-quality brome hay," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.1874>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1998 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



---

# Effects of supplemental degradable intake protein on intake and digestibility of low-quality brome hay

## Abstract

The effects of increasing levels of degradable intake and digestion of low-quality brome hay were evaluated using 16 ruminally fistulated beef steers. Trends were evident for small, positive changes in total intake and digestion with increasing level of DIP supplementation. As a result, total digestible to feeding forage to provide .041, .082, and OM intake (TDOMI) increased with DIP supplementation but tended to plateau below the highest supplementation level.

## Keywords

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution; no. 97-309-S; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service); 804; Cattlemen's Day, 1998; Beef; Steers; Forage; Intake; Digestion; Degradable intake protein

## Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## Authors

C.P. Mathis, R.C. Cochran, J.S. Heldt, B.C. Woods, Gerald L. Stokka, K. C. Olson, and Evan C. Titgemeyer

## **EFFECTS OF SUPPLEMENTAL DEGRADABLE INTAKE PROTEIN ON INTAKE AND DIGESTIBILITY OF LOW-QUALITY BROME HAY**

*C. P. Mathis, R. C. Cochran, J. S. Heldt, B. C. Woods,  
K. C. Olson, G. L. Stokka, and E. C. Titgemeyer*

---

### **Summary**

The effects of increasing levels of degradable intake protein (DIP) on intake and digestion of low-quality brome hay were evaluated using 16 ruminally fistulated beef steers. Trends were evident for small, positive changes in total intake and digestion with increasing level of DIP supplementation. As a result, total digestible OM intake (TDOMI) increased with DIP supplementation but tended to plateau below the highest supplementation level.

(Key Words: Steers, Forage, Intake, Digestion, Degradable Intake Protein.)

### **Introduction**

Beef cattle in midwestern and plains states are commonly fed brome hay. When harvested at advanced stages of maturity, the quality of brome hay is similar to a number of low quality forages (such as winter range). Previous research with low-quality, tallgrass-prairie forage has demonstrated that supplementation with degradable intake protein (DIP) dramatically improves forage intake and utilization. In addition, the amount of DIP needed to maximize total digestible forage intake has been defined. Because information pertaining to the effects of DIP supplementation on low-quality brome hay is limited, our study was conducted to provide that information.

### **Experimental Procedures**

Sixteen ruminally fistulated beef steers (average body weight, 675 lb) were blocked by weight and assigned to one of four increasing levels of DIP. Each steer was offered brome hay at 130% of the average voluntary intake for the preceding 5-day period. Supplemental DIP (sodium caseinate; 91.6% CP, 100% DIP) was ruminally infused at 7:00 AM, immediately prior to feeding forage to provide .041, .082, and .124% BW/day; controls received none. The forage contained 65.4% NDF and 5.9% CP, of which 49% was DIP. DIP was estimated using an in situ technique. Following a 10-day adaptation, feed offered, feed refused, and total fecal output were measured for 7 days to calculate digestibility coefficients and determine the intake response.

### **Results and Discussion**

Total feed intake tended ( $P \leq .15$ ) to increase in proportion to increasing level of DIP supplementation (Table 1). Because total diet organic matter digestion also exhibited a weak tendency to increase, when intake and digestion were combined, there was a linear increase ( $P = .06$ ) in total digestible organic matter intake (TDOMI) as level of DIP supplementation increased. However, the DIP effect on TDOMI tended ( $P = .17$ ) to diminish at the highest DIP intake. Peak TDOMI was observed at the .082% BW supplementation level, which is likely to be close to the amount of DIP needed to maximize TDOMI. Assuming that 49% of total

forage CP was DIP, total DIP consumed by steers on the .082% treatment was approximately 10% of TDOMI.

**Table 1. Effects of Increasing Amounts of Degradable Intake Protein on DM and OM Intakes and Digestibilities in Beef Steers Fed Brome Hay**

| Item                        | DIP (% BW)                         |       |       |       | SEM <sup>b</sup> | Contrasts <sup>a</sup> |     |     |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|------------------------|-----|-----|
|                             | 0                                  | .041  | .082  | .124  |                  | L                      | Q   | C   |
| DM <sup>c</sup> intake      | ----- % BW -----                   |       |       |       |                  |                        |     |     |
| Forage                      | 2.69                               | 2.89  | 2.97  | 2.84  | .14              | .42                    | .27 | .88 |
| Total                       | 2.69                               | 2.93  | 3.06  | 2.98  | .14              | .27                    | .27 | .90 |
| DM intake                   | ----- g/kg BW <sup>.75</sup> ----- |       |       |       |                  |                        |     |     |
| Forage                      | 112.0                              | 120.1 | 123.8 | 119.1 | 5.3              | .32                    | .26 | .87 |
| Total                       | 112.0                              | 121.9 | 127.5 | 124.7 | 5.3              | .10                    | .26 | .87 |
| OM <sup>d</sup> intake      | ----- % BW -----                   |       |       |       |                  |                        |     |     |
| Forage                      | 2.55                               | 2.72  | 2.80  | 2.68  | .13              | .45                    | .28 | .88 |
| Total                       | 2.55                               | 2.77  | 2.88  | 2.81  | .13              | .15                    | .27 | .88 |
| OM intake                   | ----- g/kg BW <sup>.75</sup> ----- |       |       |       |                  |                        |     |     |
| Forage                      | 106.0                              | 113.4 | 116.7 | 112.3 | 5.0              | .34                    | .26 | .87 |
| Total                       | 106.0                              | 115.2 | 120.3 | 117.7 | 5.0              | .10                    | .26 | .87 |
| Total DOMI <sup>e</sup>     |                                    |       |       |       |                  |                        |     |     |
| % BW                        | 1.49                               | 1.66  | 1.75  | 1.69  | .08              | .09                    | .18 | .83 |
| g/kg BW <sup>.75</sup>      | 61.9                               | 69.0  | 73.1  | 70.8  | 3.1              | .06                    | .17 | .81 |
| Total OMD <sup>f</sup> , %  | 58.4                               | 60.0  | 60.8  | 60.1  | .9               | .19                    | .25 | .88 |
| Total NDFD <sup>g</sup> , % | 52.5                               | 51.1  | 54.7  | 53.4  | 1.1              | .55                    | .22 | .85 |
| Total DIPI <sup>h</sup>     |                                    |       |       |       |                  |                        |     |     |
| % BW                        | .081                               | .128  | .172  | .209  | .004             | <.01                   | .26 | .82 |
| g/kg BW <sup>.75</sup>      | 3.38                               | 5.34  | 7.19  | 8.77  | .16              | <.01                   | .27 | .86 |

<sup>a</sup>L = Linear, Q = Quadratic, C = Cubic.

<sup>b</sup>Standard error of the mean (n=4).

<sup>c</sup>DM = dry matter.

<sup>d</sup>OM = organic matter.

<sup>e</sup>DOMI = digestible organic matter intake.

<sup>f</sup>OMD = organic matter digestion.

<sup>g</sup>NDFD = neutral detergent fiber digestion.

<sup>h</sup>DIPI = degradable intake protein intake.