

1990

## Value of Ralgro® implants in feedlot steers previously maintained on at high endophyte-infected fescue hay

L.R. Corah

F.K. Brazle

Frank Blecha

P.G. Reddy

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

 Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Corah, L.R.; Brazle, F.K.; Blecha, Frank; Reddy, P.G.; Wary, R.E. Jr.; and Klindt, J. (1990) "Value of Ralgro® implants in feedlot steers previously maintained on at high endophyte-infected fescue hay," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2238>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1990 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



---

# Value of Ralgro® implants in feedlot steers previously maintained on at high endophyte-infected fescue hay

## **Abstract**

Steers previously fed high-endophyte fescue hay showed a greater response of Ralgro® implants than those fed a low-endophyte hay. The mode of action for this response was not explained by cellular immune system responses or variability in prolactin levels.

## **Keywords**

Cattlemen's Day, 1990; Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution; no. 90-361-S; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service); 592; Beef; Stockers; Finishing; Endophyte; Fescue; Ralgo

## **Creative Commons License**

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## **Authors**

L.R. Corah, F.K. Brazle, Frank Blecha, P.G. Reddy, R.E. Jr. Wary, and J. Klindt

---

**K****S****U**

**VALUE OF RALGRO® IMPLANTS IN FEEDLOT STEERS  
PREVIOUSLY MAINTAINED ON A HIGH  
ENDOPHYTE-INFECTED FESCUE HAY<sup>1</sup>**

**L. R. Corah, F. K. Brazle<sup>2</sup>, E. Blecha<sup>3</sup>,  
P. G. Reddy<sup>3</sup>, R. E. Wary, Jr.<sup>4</sup>, and J. Klindt<sup>5</sup>**

---

### **Summary**

Steers previously fed high-endophyte fescue hay showed a greater response to Ralgro® implants than those fed a low-endophyte hay. The mode of action for this response was not explained by cellular immune system responses or variability in prolactin levels.

(Key Words: Stockers, Finishing, Endophyte, Fescue, Ralgro.)

### **Introduction**

Tall fescue is an important cool-season forage utilized by Kansas beef producers, with over 700,000 acres in the state. Unfortunately, most fescue pastures contain sufficient endophyte fungus to depress animal performance. Typically, stocker cattle grazed on fescue pastures have been discounted by feedlots in the high plains.

One solution to the problem is renovation of infected pastures by reseeding with endophyte-free varieties. Another solution is to find ways to manage cattle that will allow better use of high-endophyte pastures.

Recent Kansas research has indicated that the response to Ralgro (zeranol) implants is influenced by the endophyte content in fescue, with a greater improvement in average daily gain observed in steers grazing high-endophyte pastures.

The objectives of this study were 1) to determine the effect of Ralgro in feedlot steers that were previously maintained on endophyte-infected hay and 2) to elucidate possible modes of action for the zeranol response.

---

<sup>1</sup>Appreciation is expressed to Pitman-Moore, Terre Haute, Indiana, for partial funding of this trial.

<sup>2</sup>Extension Livestock Specialist, Southeast Kansas.

<sup>3</sup>College of Veterinary Medicine.

<sup>4</sup>Cherokee County Extension Agricultural Agent.

<sup>5</sup>Scientist, MARC, Clay Center, NE.

## Experimental Procedures

Ninety-six crossbred steers were allotted initially (forage phase) to two treatments: 1) fed to appetite a low (L) endophyte (approximately 20% infestation) hay or 2) fed to appetite a high (H) endophyte (approximately 80% infestation) hay and fescue seed mixture (Table 8.1).

After 73 d on the L or H hay diets, the steers were re-allotted within hay treatments to a 65-d feedlot trial (Table 8.2). At the start of the feedlot trial, half of the steers on each hay treatment were implanted with 36 mg of zeranol.

To determine if the Ralgro implants influenced the animals' immune systems, blood samples were taken from 24 steers (six head per implant and hay treatment) at the start of the feedlot phase and 28 d later. From these samples, lymphocyte proliferation responses to phytohemagglutinin (PHA), concanavalin A (Con A), and pokeweed mitogen (PWM) were determined. Lymphokines, such as interleukin 2 (IL-2), which are physiologically active proteins or glycoproteins, are secreted by antigen-sensitized T-cells and can be used to evaluate immune function. Yet another indicator of immune system response is the percentage specific lysis of virus-infected cells (% SL). Potential influ-

**Table 8.1. Nutrient Analysis of Hay and Seed Fed during the Forage Phase**

Item	Low endophyte hay	High endophyte hay	High endophyte seed
Dry matter, %	8.5	8.5	9.2
Crude protein, %	8.0	9.1	12.8
Crude fiber, %	32.7	34.0	23.8
Acid detergent fiber, %	36.9	40.9	30.3
Calcium, %	1.06	.88	.62
Phosphorus, %	.24	.19	.33

**Table 8.2. Ingredient Composition of Feedlot Diets**

Ingredient	Starter ration <sup>a</sup> , % of DM	Final ration, % of DM
Grain sorghum	28.6	78.4
Forage sorghum silage	62.3	16.2
Soybean meal	3.9	—
Protein supplement <sup>b</sup>	5.2	5.4

<sup>a</sup>Steers were offered 10 lb prairie hay/head/day the first day and then over 3 d adapted to silage-based diet. Starter ration was gradually adjusted over 32 d to reach the level of concentrate in the final ration.

<sup>b</sup>Composition: 65.4% soybean meal, 19.3% limestone, 5.25% salt, 5% urea, 3.53% potassium chloride, 1.0% soybean oil, .35% Z-10<sup>®</sup> trace mineral and .175% Vitamin A-30<sup>®</sup>.

ences on the endocrine system were determined using prolactin hormone levels obtained from blood samples taken at the start of the forage phase and at 3-d intervals at the beginning of the feedlot phase. Body temperatures, indicators of endophyte stress, were monitored during the trial.

### Results and Discussion

During the forage (hay) feeding phase, steers on the high endophyte hay lost more weight (-.54 vs -.19 lb/d) and had an increased body temperature at the end of the 73-d hay feeding period. Blood prolactin was 734 ng/ml at the start of the trial and was suppressed to an average of 23.9 ng/ml in steers across both hay treatments.

During the 65-d feedlot period, steers previously fed the high endophyte hay showed a greater response to Ralgro (.49 vs .20 lb/d) than steers previously fed the low endophyte hay (Table 8.3). However, this implant response was not explained by differences in the animals' cellular immune functions (Table 8.4) or by differences in serum prolactin levels.

**Table 8.3. Effect of Fescue Hay Endophyte Level on Animal Performance and Subsequent Response to Ralgro Implants during the Feedlot Period**

Item	<u>Level of endophyte in the hay</u>			
	Low	High		
<u>Forage phase—73 d</u>				
Starting wt, lb	674.3	673.4		
Daily weight change, lb	-.19 <sup>b</sup>	-.54 <sup>a</sup>		
Body temp at end of trial, °F	100.3 <sup>b</sup>	101.4 <sup>a</sup>		
Blood prolactin, ng/ml <sup>1</sup>	32.6 <sup>a</sup>	15.2 <sup>b</sup>		
<u>Feedlot phase—65 d</u>				
	<u>Control</u>	<u>Implanted</u>	<u>Control</u>	<u>Implanted</u>
Daily gain, lb	2.17 <sup>a</sup>	2.37 <sup>ab</sup>	2.48 <sup>b</sup>	2.97 <sup>c</sup>
Body temp at 28 d, °F	102.2	102.2	102.4	102.4
Blood prolactin, ng/ml <sup>2</sup>	16.6 <sup>a</sup>	25.0 <sup>ab</sup>	35.9 <sup>b</sup>	25.0 <sup>ab</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Average of two sampling times.

<sup>2</sup>Average of six sampling times.

<sup>abc</sup>Values in a row without a common superscript differ (P<.05).

**Table 8.4. Effect of Hay Endophyte Level and Ralgro Implants on the Animals' Cellular Immune Systems**

Item	<u>Low endophyte hay</u>		<u>High endophyte hay</u>	
	Control	Ralgro	Control	Ralgro
<u>Mitogen<sup>1</sup></u>				
Con A	64	176	57	129
PHA <sup>2</sup>	276	204	194	202
PWM <sup>2</sup>	226 <sup>a</sup>	150 <sup>b</sup>	196 <sup>ab</sup>	212 <sup>ab</sup>
% SL	6.7	7.9	3.6	5.3
IL-2, U/ml	6.5	14.4	7.9	5.3

<sup>1</sup>See text for discussion of mitogens.

<sup>2</sup>Net CPM × 10<sup>3</sup>.