

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 874

1989

Conception rates of beef heifers treated with GnRH analog at the time of estrus or at the time of artificial insemination.

L.R. Corah

W.E. Beal

M.F. Spire

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Corah, L.R.; Beal, W.E.; Spire, M.F.; and Stevenson, Jeffrey S. (1989) "Conception rates of beef heifers treated with GnRH analog at the time of estrus or at the time of artificial insemination.," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2277>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1989 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Conception rates of beef heifers treated with GnRH analog at the time of estrus or at the time of artificial insemination.

Abstract

Injecting a GnRH-analog at the time of estrus or at insemination did not generally improve conception rates in heifers inseminated following a synchronized estrus. However, within some herds, a significant positive response was noted.

Keywords

Cattlemen's Day, 1989; Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution; no. 89-567-S; Report of progress (Kansas State University, Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service); 567; Beef; Conception rates; GnRH; Estrus; Artificial insemination

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Authors

L.R. Corah, W.E. Beal, M.F. Spire, and Jeffrey S. Stevenson

K**S****U**

**CONCEPTION RATES OF BEEF HEIFERS TREATED
WITH GnRH ANALOG AT THE TIME OF ESTRUS OR
AT THE TIME OF ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION^{1,2}**

**L.R. Corah, W.E. Beal,³
J.S. Stevenson, and M.F. Spire⁴**

Summary

Injecting a GnRH-analog at the time of estrus or at insemination did not generally improve conception rates in heifers inseminated following a synchronized estrus. However, within some herds, a significant positive response was noted.

Introduction

Recent research in Kansas has shown that gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) has improved conception rates in dairy females, particularly in those inseminated on the second and third estrus. The objective of our study was to determine the efficacy of GnRH in beef heifers following estrus synchronization.

Experimental Procedures

Three hundred and seventy-nine yearling beef heifers in five commercial Kansas herds were used to determine if administering gonadotropin releasing hormone analog (GnRH-a Fertinelin acetate) improved conception rates following artificial insemination. Heifers in good body condition were fed .5 mg MGA[®] per head daily for 14 days. Prostaglandin (Lutalyse[®]) was injected I.M. 17 days after the last day of MGA feeding. Heifers exhibiting a synchronized estrus received 1) no treatment (control), 2) 100 micrograms GnRH-a I.M. at the time of estrus detection (GnRH-E), 3) 50 micrograms of GnRH-a at the time of insemination (GnRH-B-50), or 4) 100 micrograms GnRH-a at the time of insemination (GnRH-B-100). All heifers were inseminated 12 hours after estrus detection. Semen and inseminators were assigned randomly among treatment groups at each location. Data were analyzed by analysis of variance procedures appropriate for categorical data, with location and treatment as main effects.

¹Appreciation is expressed to the Upjohn Company for the supplying the GnRH Analog and to John Chenault for his consultation.

²Appreciation is expressed to cooperating commercial cattlemen, Gary Johnson, Craig Johnson, Ken Stielow, Larry Wickstrum, and Rodney Oliphant.

³Virginia Polytech Institute.

⁴College of Veterinary Medicine.

Results

Results are shown in Table 7.1. There was no effect due to any GnRH treatments, and the treatment x location interaction was not significant. The administration of 50 micrograms GnRH-a at the time of insemination raised the mean conception rate by 9%, but the effect was not statistically significant.

Table 7.1. Effect of GnRH Treatment at Estrus or at the Time of Artificial Insemination on First Service Conception Rates of Heifers

Treatment	No. Heifers	Conception Rate (%)
<u>3 Locations</u>		
Control	80	71.3
GnRH-B-50	68	80.9
GnRH-B-100	71	76.1
<u>2 Locations</u>		
Control	54	66.7
GnRH-E	49	57.1
GnRH-B-100	57	59.6