

# Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

---

Volume 0

Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day* (1993-2014)

Article 933

---

1988

## Effect of Cobactin on the feedlot performance and carcass traits of beef steers

L. Corah

Ronald V. Pope

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

 Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Corah, L. and Pope, Ronald V. (1988) "Effect of Cobactin on the feedlot performance and carcass traits of beef steers," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2336>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1988 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



---

# Effect of Cobactin on the feedlot performance and carcass traits of beef steers

## **Abstract**

The addition of Cobactin to feedlot rations had no significant effect on average daily gain or feed efficiency, but did improve quality grade of steers fed for 110 days.

## **Keywords**

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution; no. 88-363-S; Cattlemen's Day, 1988; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service); 539; Beef; Cobactin; Feedlot performance; Carcass traits; Steers

## **Creative Commons License**



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

---

**K****S****U**

Effect of Cobactin on the Feedlot  
Performance and Carcass Traits of Beef Steers<sup>1</sup>

Larry Corah and Ron Pope

---

### Summary

The addition of Cobactin to feedlot rations had no significant effect on average daily gain or feed efficiency, but did improve quality grade of steers fed for 110 days.

### Introduction

Lactobacillus bacteria have been used in animal agriculture for several years. Several reports have indicated that microbials containing lactobacillus can improve the absorption of nutrients.

In this trial, Cobactin®, a newly developed microbial single strain of Lactobacillus acidophilus was evaluated. The product is used in the live form following activation with water.

### Experimental Design

The study involved 150 black crossbred steers from a common origin in Louisiana. Following an intensive native grass grazing program until mid summer, the steers were allotted and placed on trial on August 14, 1986. They were randomized by complete block design using weight as a blocking factor and allotted to five treatments with six groups per treatment and five animals per group. The heaviest group averaged approximately 748 pounds; the lightest, approximately 610 pounds.

The five treatments were as follows: 1) negative control; 2) low level of Cobactin; 3) low level of Cobactin plus Rumensin®/Tylan; 4) medium level of Cobactin plus Rumensin/Tylan; 5) Rumensin/Tylan only.

The cattle were initially weighed after being held off feed and water overnight, and were weighed at 28-day intervals until slaughter (110 days), when a final weight was taken.

To determine the impact of treatments on how the cattle adapted to the feedlot ration, feed intake was recorded and analyzed over the first 5 days, the first 28 days, and for the 110-day trial duration.

---

<sup>1</sup>Appreciation is expressed to Doug Ware and Patsy Read, Bio-Tech Laboratories, Inc., for their assistance in conducting the trial and to this company for financial support of the product.

The steers were started on a ration of 60% corn silage, 34.2% grain sorghum, and 5.8% supplement (dry basis). After 19 days, the cattle were gradually worked up to a diet of 15% corn silage, 79.2% grain sorghum, and 5.8% supplement (dry basis). The supplements used in the trial are shown in Table 28.1. Cobactin was mixed in a water solution and sprayed onto the appropriate rations at the time of feeding.

Carcass data collected included hot carcass weights, dressing percentage, actual loin eye area, estimated fat cover, estimated yield grades, and quality grade.

Table 28.1. Supplements Fed (per ton)

Ingredient	Control and Steers fed	
	Cobactin steers	Rumensin/Tylan
Soybean Meal	1408.3	1379
Limestone	385.7	385.7
Potassium Chloride	70.6	70.6
Salt	1.05	1.05
Z-10 Trace Mineral	7	7
Vit. A - 30,000	3.5	3.5
Tylan 10	--	20.7
Rumensin - 60	--	8.6
Fat	20	20

### Results

There was no significant effect of treatment on average daily gain (Table 28.2). However, gain of steers fed the low level of Cobactin alone approached significance at a probability of .23, compared to the negative controls.

The most notable effect on feed intake and feed efficiency was the addition of the Rumensin/Tylan to the diet, which significantly reduced feed intake for the first 5 and 28 days. This result is consistent with previous research. Rumensin/Tylan addition significantly improved feed efficiency in all three treatments. The addition of Cobactin caused a slight improvement in feed efficiency both when fed alone and when combined with Rumensin/Tylan.

No apparent effect of treatment on dressing percent and loin eye area was noted (Table 28.3). However, Cobactin improved actual quality grade and the percent of carcasses grading choice.

Table 28.2. Least Square Means for Treatment Effect on Weight Gain and Feed Efficiency

Treatment	No.	Starting Wt.	Final Wt.	Weight Change	ADG	Daily Feed Intake (DM)			Feed Efficiency
						1st 5 <sup>1</sup> Days	1st 28 <sup>2</sup> Days	110 <sup>3</sup> Days	
Control	29	700.3	1054.2	353.9	3.22 <sup>a</sup>	10.9 <sup>c</sup>	16.9 <sup>c</sup>	20.8	6.5
Cobactin-Low	30	700.8	1070.3	369.5	3.36 <sup>b</sup>	10.5 <sup>c</sup>	16.5 <sup>c</sup>	21.0	6.35
Rumensin/Tylan + Cobactin-Medium	30	696.8	1062.8	366	3.36 <sup>b</sup>	7.9 <sup>d</sup>	14.5 <sup>d</sup>	19.8	5.97
Rumensin/Tylan + Cobactin-Low	30	694.8	1054.3	359.4	3.27	8.6 <sup>d</sup>	14.9 <sup>d</sup>	19.6	5.94
Rumensin/Tylan	30	701.6	1065.3	363.7	3.31	8.0 <sup>d</sup>	14.7 <sup>d</sup>	19.9	6.03

<sup>1</sup>Moisture content = 58.6%

<sup>2</sup>Moisture content = 46%

<sup>3</sup>Moisture content = 35%

<sup>ab</sup>Significantly different at P = .23

<sup>cd</sup>Different superscript significantly different at P<.05

Table 28.3. Least Square Means for Treatment Effect on Carcass Traits

Treatment	No.	Hot Carcass Wt.	Dressing %	Loineye Area	Est. Fat Cover	Est. Yield Grade	Quality Grade <sup>1</sup>	% Choice or Better
Control	29	641	60.55	11.3	.53 <sup>a</sup>	3.09	4.34 <sup>a</sup>	84.6
Cobactin-Low	30	647.4	60.73	11.5	.55 <sup>d</sup>	3.11	4.63 <sup>ab</sup>	89.2
Rumensin/Tylan + Cobactin-Medium	30	645	60.61	11.2	.57 <sup>b</sup>	3.23 <sup>a</sup>	4.84 <sup>b</sup>	93.1
Rumensin/Tylan + Cobactin-Low	20	641.8	60.67	11.4	.52 <sup>ab</sup>	3.03 <sup>b</sup>	4.76 <sup>ab</sup>	75.0
Rumensin/Tylan	30	646.6	60.70	11.7	.51 <sup>acd</sup>	2.93 <sup>b</sup>	4.56 <sup>ab</sup>	93.3

3 = slight marbling (good grade)

4 = small marbling (choice grade)

5 = modest marbling (choice grade)

<sup>abcd</sup>Different superscript significantly different at P<.05.