

# Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

---

Volume 0  
Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 1081

---

1984

## Comparison of Compudose, Ralgro and Synovex-S implants for growing steer calves

D. Simm

Gerry L. Kuhl

R. Schalles

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Simm, D.; Kuhl, Gerry L.; and Schalles, R. (1984) "Comparison of Compudose, Ralgro and Synovex-S implants for growing steer calves," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2484>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1984 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



---

## Comparison of Compudose, Ralgro and Synovex-S implants for growing steer calves

### Abstract

Four field trials were conducted to compare Ralgro, Synovex-S and Compudose implants for growing steer calves. All implant programs significantly increased ( $P < .01$ ) average daily gain. Reimplanting with Ralgro or Synovex-S improved gain an additional 5.6% compared to the average of these implants used singly and 4.8% compared to Compudose.

### Keywords

Cattlemen's Day, 1984; Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution; no. 84-300-S; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service); 448; Beef; Implants; Steers; Rate of gain

### Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

**K****S****U**

---

## Comparison of Compudose, Ralgro and Synovex-S Implants for Growing Steer Calves<sup>1</sup>

Dannys Simms<sup>2</sup>, Gerry Kuhl  
and Robert Schalles

---

### Summary

Four field trials were conducted to compare Ralgro, Synovex-S and Compudose implants for growing steer calves. All implant programs significantly increased ( $P < .01$ ) average daily gain. Reimplanting with Ralgro or Synovex-S improved gain an additional 5.6% compared to the average of these implants used singly and 4.8% compared to Compudose.

### Introduction

The introduction of Compudose into the implant market has made the question of which implant to use more complicated. These trials were conducted to determine the best implant program for growing steer calves under common Kansas winter feeding programs.

### Experimental Procedure

Steer calves entering wintering programs on four Kansas ranches were randomly allotted to six treatments: 1) control - no implant, 2) single Ralgro, 3) single Synovex-S, 4) Ralgro + Ralgro reimplant, 5) Synovex-S + Synovex-S reimplant, and 6) single Compudose. Individual, non-shrunk weights were taken at initial implanting and at the end of the trials. Animals which lost identification tags were eliminated from the trial; however, steers which lost their implants were included in the results. One trial was dropped from the summary since the calves were not fed as planned and gains were very low. Table 20.1 illustrates the experimental details of the three trials included in the analysis.

---

<sup>1</sup> Appreciation is expressed to Mike Sramek, McDonald; Lewis Schneider, Logan; Norman Rohleder, Russell; and Mark Thomas, Wallace for supplying cattle, facilities and labor, and to International Minerals and Chemical Corporation, Syntex Agri-Business, Inc., and Elanco Products Co. for providing financial support and implants. Special thanks to Pat Burton, IMC; Kerry Bedell, Elanco; and to County Extension Agricultural Agents Del Jepsen, Russell; Keith VanSlike, Wallace; and Allen Dinkel, Decatur for their assistance.

<sup>2</sup> Extension Livestock Specialist, Northwest Kansas.

### Results

All implant treatments increased ( $P < .01$ ) average daily gain over controls (Table 20.2). Reimplant treatments (Ralgro + Ralgro and Synovex-S + Synovex-S) increased gain by an average of 4.8% more than Compudose although the difference was not significant ( $P > .08$ ). Reimplanting increased gain an average of 5.6% over single implanting with either Ralgro or Synovex. Reimplanting was effective in feeding periods as short as 112 days (Trial 3), where the single Ralgro and Synovex-S treatments increased gain an average of 6.5% over controls, while the reimplant treatments increased gain 22.3% over controls. Retention of Compudose was not a major problem, with only 6 of 133 implants (4.5%) lost in the four trials.

Daily gains of calves in the trial which was not included in the analysis averaged only .38 lbs. There was no response to any implant treatment at this low rate of gain. This supports the common recommendation that cattle gains on growing programs must be at least .75 lbs/hd/day to obtain a response from implanting.

Table 20.1. Experimental Design of Trials 1, 2, and 3

Item	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
No. Steers	144	192	127
Breeding of calves	Simmental-cross	Hereford-Angus	Simmental-cross
Length of trial	185	137	112
Reimplant day	96	74	70
Initial Wt., lb	489	433	541
Daily Gain, lb	1.37	2.09	1.56
Ration	Wheat Pasture Plus Grain and Dry Forage Suppl.	Sorghum Silage Plus Milo at 1.5% Body Wt. and Protein Suppl.	Sorghum Silage Plus Milo at 1% Body Wt. and Protein Suppl.

Table 20.2. Effect of Implant Program on Daily Gains of Growing Steers - 3 Trial Summary

Implant Treatment	No. Calves	Least Square Means for Daily Gain, lb $\pm$ S.E.	% Improvement Over Control
Control	41	1.45 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ .08	---
Ralgro	45	1.67 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ .08	15.2
Synovex-S	49	1.71 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ .08	17.9
Ralgro + Ralgro	105	1.78 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ .07	22.8
Synovex-S + Synovex-S	102	1.79 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ .07	23.4
Compudose	100	1.70 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ .07	17.2

abc Means with different superscripts differ significantly ( $P < .05$ ).