

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 1097

1984

Effect of a single Ralgro implant on conception rates and calving difficulty in first calf beef heifers

R.P. Bolze

L.R. Corah

R.J. Pruitt

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bolze, R.P.; Corah, L.R.; and Pruitt, R.J. (1984) "Effect of a single Ralgro implant on conception rates and calving difficulty in first calf beef heifers," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2500>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1984 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Effect of a single Ralgro implant on conception rates and calving difficulty in first calf beef heifers

Abstract

Three hundred and seventy four heifers from two Kansas ranches were used to determine if a single Ralgro implant given either at two months of age or at weaning would influence pelvic development and subsequent calving difficulty or conception rates. The study involved two herds of Simmental (spring and fall calving) and one herd of fall calving Angus cattle. Ralgro did not influence conception rates as yearlings, or percentages of heifers requiring assistance with their first calf. Implanted heifers had larger pelvic areas as yearlings, but the advantage disappeared by two years of age. Pelvic area in assisted vs unassisted two year old heifers did not differ.

Keywords

Cattlemen's Day, 1984; Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution; no. 84-300-S; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service); 448; Beef; Implant; Conception rates; Pelvic area

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

K**S****U**

Effect of a Single Ralgro Implant on Conception Rates and Calving Difficulty in First Calf Beef Heifers¹

R.P. Bolze, L.R. Corah and R.J. Pruitt

Summary

Three hundred and seventy four heifers from two Kansas ranches were used to determine if a single Ralgro implant given either at two months of age or at weaning would influence pelvic development and subsequent calving difficulty or conception rates. The study involved two herds of Simmental (spring and fall calving) and one herd of fall calving Angus cattle. Ralgro did not influence conception rates as yearlings, or percentages of heifers requiring assistance with their first calf. Implanted heifers had larger pelvic areas as yearlings, but the advantage disappeared by two years of age. Pelvic area in assisted vs unassisted two year old heifers did not differ.

Introduction

Pelvic area is a key factor associated with calving difficulty, especially with first calf heifers. A single Ralgro implant has been shown to increase daily gain of beef heifers but some studies have shown a depression in yearling conception rates of implanted heifers kept as replacements. The objective of this study was to determine if a single Ralgro implant could increase pelvic area and facilitate easier calving without reducing conception.

Experimental Procedure

One hundred forty seven spring-born and 92 fall-born Simmental, and 135 fall-born Angus heifers were allotted to three treatments: 1) control, 2) single Ralgro implant at weaning, and 3) one-third of the fall calving Simmental heifers implanted once with Ralgro at 2 months of age. Data collected included birth, weaning and yearling weights; yearling and 2-year frame scores; pelvic areas measured with a Rice pelvimeter at weaning, yearling and precalving and precalving body condition scores. Yearling conception rates and degree of assistance required at first calving were recorded. Calving data was collected on 187 heifers (64 fall calving Simmental, 64 spring calving Simmental and 59 fall calving Angus heifers). Comparisons between herds and breeds is not intended.

¹ Appreciation is expressed to Henry Gardiner, Gardiner Angus Ranch, Ashland, KS and Roy Parsons, Ecco Simmental Ranch, Buffalo, KS.

Results and Discussion

Implanting at 2 months improved preweaning daily gains of fall-calving Simmental heifers compared to controls. But, implanting at weaning did not increase average daily gain from weaning to yearling in any herd. Fall calving Simmental heifers implanted at weaning were taller as yearlings and as 2-year olds than heifers implanted at 2 months of age. Non-implanted fall calving Simmental heifers were in better condition at calving time than heifers implanted at weaning (Table 25.1).

Implanting at weaning increased yearling pelvic size in all herds. But control spring and fall-calving Simmental heifers had larger pelvic areas at 2 years of age than implanted heifers. Therefore, the advantage in yearling pelvic area due to implanting was reduced by two years of age. Pelvic areas were similar in calving assisted and unassisted two year old heifers (Table 25.2).

Ralgro implants did not influence overall yearling conception rate during the 63-day breeding season, or average conception date, percentage of heifers requiring assistance with their first calf, calf birth weight or gestation length (Table 25.3).

In summary, a single Ralgro implant increased pelvic area as yearlings, but this advantage disappeared by calving. Ralgro had no effect on conception rates or calving difficulty.

Table 25.1. Effect of Ralgro on Heifer Weight, Height and Condition

Item	Simmental				Angus		
	Fall Calving		Controls	Spring Calving		Fall Calving	
	Implanted at 2 months	Implanted at weaning		Implanted at weaning	Controls	Implanted at weaning	Controls
Weaning wt., lbs	575	591	566	471	475	431	448
Daily Gain, lbs birth-weaning	2.45 ^b	2.17 ^a	2.25 ^a	.98	1.01	1.60	1.68
Yearling wt., lbs.	822	831	796	752	745	650	654
Daily Gain, lbs weaning-yearling	1.61	1.56	1.50	1.85	1.75	1.42	1.34
2 year wt., lbs	927	951	943	956	978	963	985
Yearling ht., in.	48.4 ^a	49.3 ^b	48.8 ^{ab}	—	—	46.0	46
2 year ht., in.	50.4 ^a	51.5 ^b	51.2 ^{ab}	50.7	51.2	47.8	48.2
Condition score ^c	5.0 ^{ab}	4.9 ^b	5.3 ^a	4.9	5.1	5.2	5.2

^{ab} Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P<.05) within a trait and herd.

^c 1 = thin, 10 = fat

Table 25.2. Effect of Ralgro on Heifer Pelvic Area

Item	Simmental				Angus		
	Fall Calving		Controls	Spring Calving		Fall Calving	
	Implanted at 2 months	Implanted at weaning		Implanted at weaning	Controls	Implanted at weaning	Controls
Weaning pelvic area, cm ²	144.5	138.6	139.1	124.5	124.0	102.8	106.3
Yearling pelvic area, cm ²	196.0 ^a	206.9 ^b	194.5 ^a	194.9 ^a	187.8 ^b	175.1 ^a	168 ^b
Precalving pelvic area, cm ²	261.0 ^b	268.5 ^{ab}	272.4 ^a	233.4 ^a	245.8 ^b	236.3	231.9
Precalving pelvic area, cm ² assisted at calving		262.4		242.9		234.4	
unassisted		269.41		239.1		233.9	

^{ab} Values with different superscripts differ significantly (P<.05) within a trait and herd.

Table 25.3. Effect of Ralgro on Heifer Reproductive Performance

Item	Simmental				Angus		
	Fall Calving		Controls	Spring Calving		Fall Calving	
	Implanted at 2 months	Implanted at weaning		Implanted at weaning	Controls	Implanted at weaning	Controls
Conception rate, %	89.5	90.9	85.7	97.3	94.5	82.7	74.4
Avg. calving date	Sept. 4	Aug. 23	Aug. 24	Feb. 23	Feb. 16	Sept. 5	Sept. 7
Calving assistance, %							
unassisted	79	86.4	81	55.6	59.5	64.5	57.1
hand pull	15.8	4.5	14.3	14.8	16.2	35.5	42.9
calf jack	5.2	9.1	—	25.9	21.6	—	—
cesaerean	—	—	4.7	3.70	2.70	—	—
Calf birth wt., lbs	69.7	67.6	70.9	79.1	78	67	71.8
Gestation length, days	283.6	282.0	285.3	286.0	287.1	279.9	281.3