

# Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

---

Volume 0

Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day* (1993-2014)

Article 1246

---

1978

## Effect of using one verses two growth-promoting implants during the suckling period on the weaning weights of nursing calves

L.R. Corah

R.T. Wary

F. Schwartz

M. McKee

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

 Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Corah, L.R.; Wary, R.T.; Schwartz, F.; McKee, M.; and Schalles, R.R. (1978) "Effect of using one verses two growth-promoting implants during the suckling period on the weaning weights of nursing calves," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2649>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1978 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



---

# Effect of using one versus two growth-promoting implants during the suckling period on the weaning weights of nursing calves

## Abstract

Three trials were conducted to study the effect on calf weight gains from using two 36-mg. Ralgro implants during the suckling period. Two Ralgro implants 60 to 90 days apart improved the average weight gain during the suckling period by 39.4, 43, and 46.6 pounds for the three trials. A single Ralgro implant improved suckling gains by 33.5, 22.1, 28.4 and 27.9 lbs. for the four test groups. Ralgro implants used at birth gave the same response as when first used when calves were 4 months old. A 15-mg. DES implant used in trial one improved suckling gains 23.4 lbs. These results suggest that at least one implant during the suckling period is a management practice cow-herd operators cannot afford to forego. Two implants during the suckling period give an even more economical response.

## Keywords

Cattlemen's Day, 1978; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station); 320; Beef; Growth implants; Weaning weights; Nursing calves

## Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## Authors

L.R. Corah, R.T. Wary, F. Schwartz, M. McKee, and R.R. Schalles

**K****S****U**

Effect of Using One Versus Two Growth-promoting  
Implants During the Suckling Period on the  
Weaning Weights of Nursing Calves

Larry R. Corah, R. Ted Wary<sup>1</sup>, Frank Schwartz<sup>2</sup>,  
Miles McKee, and R. R. Schalles<sup>3</sup>

Summary

Three trials were conducted to study the effect on calf weight gains from using two 36-mg. Ralgro implants during the suckling period.

Two Ralgro implants 60 to 90 days apart improved the average weight gain during the suckling period by 39.4, 43, and 46.6 pounds for the three trials. A single Ralgro implant improved suckling gains by 33.5, 22.1, 28.4 and 27.9 lbs. for the four test groups. Ralgro implants used at birth gave the same response as when first used when calves were 4 months old. A 15-mg. DES implant used in trial one improved suckling gains 23.4 lbs.

These results suggest that at least one implant during the suckling period is a management practice cow-herd operators cannot afford to forego. Two implants during the suckling period gives an even more economical response.

Introduction

Previous research here and at other Universities showed that implants like DES or Ralgro during the suckling period improved weaning weights 15 to 25 pounds. A year ago (Prog. Rpt. 291) we reported that one 36-mg. Ralgro implant improved weaning weight 8.4 pounds, but that implanting with a 36-mg. Ralgro implant within 1½ months of birth and again 70 days later improved weaning weights 43 pounds.

Since two implants during the suckling period substantially improved weaning weights, we conducted three additional trials this year to verify the previous results.

Experimental Procedure

Trial 1. One hundred and twenty-five Polled Hereford, Hereford, or Simmental heifer calves were divided into four groups based on breed and

<sup>1</sup>County Agent in Cherokee Co. who conducted Trial 2.

<sup>2</sup>NW Area Livestock Specialist who along with Russell Co. Agent, Dell Jepsen conducted Trial 3.

<sup>3</sup>Appreciation is expressed to the IMC Chemical Corp. for implants and funding support and to Moore Johnson, Columbus, Kansas and the Haise Ranch at Russell, Kansas for serving as cooperating ranches.

age. The four treatments were:

- Treatment 1 -- Control group - not implanted.
- Treatment 2 -- One 36-mg. Ralgro implant when the calves were approximately 2 months old.
- Treatment 3 -- One 15-mg. DES implant when the calves were approximately 2 months old.
- Treatment 4 -- Two 36-mg. Ralgro implants, one when the calves averaged 27 days of age and the second 89 days later.

The Polled Hereford heifer calves suckled cows on native grass; the Hereford and Simmental heifer calves suckled cows confined in drylot. All calf weights recorded were full weights directly off the cow.

Trial 2. Twenty-seven steer calves and 39 heifer calves were grouped by sex and randomly allotted to three groups:

- Treatment 1 -- Control group - not implanted.
- Treatment 2 -- One 36-mg. Ralgro implant at the start of the trial on November 19, 1976, when the calves were approximately 2 to 3 months old.
- Treatment 3 -- Two 36-mg. Ralgro implants, one at the start of the trial on November 19, 1976, and the second 63 days later.

The trial was conducted on the Moore Johnson farm at Columbus, Kansas. The calves were mixed Hereford and Angus crossbred calves and were 2 to 3 months old at the start of the trial on November 19, 1976. The trial ran for 152 days and all calf weights recorded were full weights directly off the cow.

Trial 3. Seventy-seven steer calves were randomly assigned at birth to one of four groups:

- Treatment 1 -- Control group - not implanted.
- Treatment 2 -- One 36-mg. Ralgro implant when the calves were approximately 4 months old.
- Treatment 3 -- One 36-mg. Ralgro implant at the time the calves were born.
- Treatment 4 -- Two 36-mg. Ralgro implants, one at birth and the second implant when the calves were approximately 4 months old (second implant given when group 2 calves were initially implanted).

The trial was conducted at the Haise Ranch near Russell, Kansas. The Hereford-Angus calves were born predominately during the month of March. All calves were weighed 3 times: at birth, July 26 when treatment 2 and 4 calves were implanted, and at weaning time October 21. All calf weights recorded were full weights directly off the cow. All calves were castrated May 10.

### Results

Trial 1. Heifer calves receiving one 36-mg. Ralgro or one 15-mg. DES implant gained 33.5 and 23.4 pounds more, respectively, by the end of the suckling period than calves not implanted. The responses are slightly higher than responses in previous trials. Calves receiving two 36-mg. Ralgro implants 80 days apart gained 39.4 pounds more than the non-implanted calves, which is consistent with last year's 43 extra pounds.

No side effects from any implant were observed. Most of the heifer calves will be saved as replacement heifers to see if implants affect later breeding. However, implanting replacement heifers at any time is not a recommended practice.

Trial 2. Calves receiving one 36-mg. Ralgro implant were 22.1 lbs. heavier at weaning than non-implanted calves while calves receiving two implants 63 days apart were 53 lbs. heavier at weaning than calves not implanted. After 63 days implanted calves were significantly heavier than calves not implanted.

No side effects were observed during the trial.

Trial 3. Steer calves implanted once either at birth or when 4 months old with 36-mg. of Ralgro gained 27.9 lbs. and 28.4 lbs. more from birth to weaning than non-implanted calves. Calves receiving two implants during the suckling period gained 46.6 lbs. more weight from birth to weaning than non-implanted calves which is similar to their response in trials 1 and 2.

No side effects were observed.

Table 5.1. Gains of the calves during the suckling period in Trial 1.

Treatment	No. calves	Average age at implanting	No. days implanting to weaning	Birth weight	Weaning wt.*	Gain birth*-weaning lbs.	Treatment advantage
Not implanted	31	--	--	70.5	416.5	346.0	--
One 36-mg. Ralgro implant during suckling period	32	61	136	68.9	448.4	379.5**	+33.5**
One 15-mg. DES implant during suckling period	31	61	136	72.8	442.2	369.4**	+23.4**
Two 36-mg. Ralgro implants during suckling period	31	27	173	74.8	460.4	385.6**	+39.4**

\*Weaning weights and pounds gained were adjusted based on age of calf.

\*\*P<.05.

Table 5.2. Gains of the calves during the suckling period in Trial 2.

Treatment	Wt. at start of trial	No. calves	Wt. at weaning	Wt. gain	Treatment advantage
Not implanted	161.6	22	396.8 <sup>a</sup>	235.2 <sup>a</sup>	
One 36-mg. Ralgro implant	178.1	24	435.4 <sup>b</sup>	257.3 <sup>b</sup>	+22.1 <sup>a</sup>
Two 36-mg. Ralgro implants	175.5	20	464.8 <sup>c</sup>	289.3 <sup>c</sup>	+53.1 <sup>b</sup>

a, b, c Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly ( $P < .05$ ).

Table 5.3. Weight gains of calves during suckling period in Trial 3.

Treatment	No. calves	Birth wt.	Wt. 7-26*	Wt. 10-21**	Gain-birth to 7-26	Gain-7-26 to 10-21	Gain-birth to 10-21	Treatment advantage
Non-implanted control	21	69.7	320.9	452.6	251.2	131.6 <sup>a</sup>	382.8 <sup>a</sup>	--
At approximately 4 mos. of age	20	73.3	319.0	484.6	245.6	165.6 <sup>b</sup>	411.2 <sup>b</sup>	+28.4 <sup>a</sup>
One 36-mg. Ralgro implant at birth	18	73.9	336.7	484.1	263.3	147.4 <sup>a</sup>	410.7 <sup>b</sup>	+27.9 <sup>a</sup>
Two 36-mg. implant at birth and 4 mos.	18	72.0	337.2	500.9	265.2	163.7 <sup>b</sup>	428.9 <sup>c</sup>	+46.6 <sup>b</sup>

a,b,c Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly ( $P < .05$ ).

\*Calves in treatment 2 and 4 implanted.

\*\*Calves weaned and weights adjusted to eliminate any variation due to calf's age.