Kansas State University Libraries New Prairie Press

Adult Education Research Conference

2005 Conference Proceedings (Athens, GA)

Making Sense of Participatory Action Research Approaches: Mapping the Territory

Richard Kiely University of Georgia, USA

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/aerc

Part of the Adult and Continuing Education Administration Commons

Image: Image: Common State

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 License

Recommended Citation

Kiely, Richard (2005). "Making Sense of Participatory Action Research Approaches: Mapping the Territory," *Adult Education Research Conference*. https://newprairiepress.org/aerc/2005/roundtables/9

This is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences at New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Adult Education Research Conference by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

Making Sense of Participatory Action Research Approaches: Mapping the Territory Richard Kiely University of Georgia, USA

Abstract: This roundtable discusses the historical, political and cultural contexts from which different approaches to Participatory Action Research have emerged. A typology will be provided that differentiates dimensions of various PAR approaches.

A substantial body of knowledge has been constructed to represent different dimensions to research that falls under the larger umbrella of Participatory Action Research (PAR). As an alternative set of approaches to the research process, PAR approaches question and problematize fundamental values, criteria and tenets underpinning conventional forms of social science research (Fals-Borda & Rahman, 1991; Kemmis & McTaggart, 2000; Selener, 1997; Whyte, 1991). Understanding the rationale behind undertaking PAR approaches is often lost on many adult education graduate students and faculty who have not had significant practical exposure to PAR practice and philosophy. Part of the confusion stems from the myriad approaches that fall under the organizing rubric of PAR. The PAR territory includes: Participatory research (Gaventa, 1988; Hall, 1975; Park, Brydon-Miller, Hall & Jackson, 1993), action research (Greenwood & Levin, 1998), action science (Argyris, Putnam, & Smith, 1985), action inquiry and learning (Marsick & Watkins, 1999), cooperative inquiry (Heron, 1996); feminist participatory research (Maguire, 1987), transformative research (Deshler & Selener, 1997), practitioner research (Noffke, 1999), and participatory rural appraisal (Chambers, 1997).

Adult educators, students and practitioners who are not familiar with the PAR terrain often are not aware that there are different strands that make up the PAR tapestry (Selener, 1997). Apart from the difficulty of identifying and distinguishing the key features of PAR approaches, there are very few comprehensive sources of information that provide a map for navigating the conceptual dimensions that set PAR approaches apart from conventional social science research methodologies (See Guba & Lincoln, 2000; Kemmis & McTaggart, 2000). As an alternative approach to conventional social science research, graduate students and adult educators who value more critical and participatory forms of knowledge generation, have very little guidance for understanding and conducting PAR approaches in contexts that warrant its design and application.

This roundtable discussion will center on understanding the diverse historical, political and cultural contexts from which different approaches to PAR have emerged. The roundtable moderator will provide a typology that differentiates dimensions of various PAR approaches that both converge and diverge. Specific elements that are described in the typology and that will be proposed for further discussion include researcher roles, values, concepts, purposes, methods, culture, power, ideologies, use, dialogue, participation, sustainability, partnerships, knowledge construction, action, insider-outsider relationships, and learning. Finally, there will also be a discussion of specific cases, best practices, ongoing tensions and debates in PAR, as well as a dialogue

on different challenges associated with undertaking various PAR approaches inside and outside of institutions of higher education.

References

- Argyris, C., Putnam, R., & Smith, D. (1985). Action science: Concepts, methods, and skills for research and intervention. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Brown, L. D. & Tandon, R. (1983). Ideology and political economy in inquiry: Action research and participatory research. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 19(3), 277-294.
- Chambers, R. (1997). *Whose reality counts?* London, UK: Intermediate Technology Publications.
- Deshler, D. & Selender, D. (1991). Transformative research: In search of a definition. *Convergence*, 24(3), 9-23.

Fals-Borda, O. & Rahman, M. A. (Eds.) (1991). *Action and knowledge: Breaking the monopoly with participatory action research*. New York, NY: The Apex Press.

- Gaventa, J. (1988). Participatory research in North America. *Convergence*, 21(2/3), 19-27.
- Greenwood, D, & Levin, M. (1998). Introduction to action research: Social research for social change. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Guba, E., & Lincoln, Y. (2000). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences. In N. Denzin, & Y. Lincoln (Eds), *Handbook of Qualitative Research* (pp. 163-188). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hall, B. L. (1975). Participatory research: An approach for change. *Convergence*, 8(2), 24-32.
- Heron, J. (1996). Co-operative Inquiry. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kemmis, S., & McTaggart, R. (2000). Participatory action research. In N. K. Denzin, & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research* (pp. 567-605). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Maguire, P. (2001). Uneven ground: Feminisms and action research. In R. Reason, & H. Bradbury (Eds.), *Handbook of action research: Participative inquiry and practice* (pp. 59-69). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Brooks, A., & Watkins, K. (1994). *The emerging power of action inquiry technologies*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- McTaggart, R. (1991). Principles for participatory action research. *Adult Education Quarterly*, *41*(3), 168-187.
- Noffke, S. E. (1999). What's a nice theory like yours doing in a practice like this? And other impertinent questions about practitioner research. *Change: Transformations in Education*, 2(1), 25-35.
- Park, P., Brydon-Miller, M., Hall, B., & Jackson, T. (1993) Voices of change: Participatory research in the United States and Canada. Westport, CN: Bergin & Garvey.
- Selener, D. (1997). *Participatory action research and social change*. Ithaca, NY: The Cornell Participatory Action Research Network.
- Whyte, W.F. (Ed.). (1991). Participatory action research. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.