

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 1366

1974

Corn silage, wheat head silage and milage for finishing cattle

K.K. Bolsen

K.L. Conway

P. Henry

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bolsen, K.K.; Conway, K.L.; Henry, P.; and Riley, Jack G. (1974) "Corn silage, wheat head silage and milage for finishing cattle," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2769>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1974 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Corn silage, wheat head silage and milage for finishing cattle

Abstract

Six finishing rations were compared: (1) 10% corn silage, (2) 20% corn silage, (3) 10% wheat head silage, (4) 20% wheat head silage, (5) unprocessed (whole) milage and (6) processed (rolled) milage. Each ration was fed to 18 yearling steers for 123 days. Rate of gain was not affected by ration. Feed consumption and feed required per lb. of gain were higher for steers receiving whole milage than for steers receiving any of the other five rations. Although steers fed rolled milage consumed less feed, they were 11.4 percent more efficient than steers fed whole milage.

Keywords

Cattlemen's Day, 1974; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station); 210; Beef; Corn silage; Wheat silage; Milo

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Authors

K.K. Bolsen, K.L. Conway, P. Henry, and Jack G. Riley

K**S****U**

Corn Silage, Wheat Head Silage and Milage for Finishing Cattle^{1,2,3}

K. K. Bolsen, J. G. Riley, K. L. Conway
and Pamela Henry

Summary

Six finishing rations were compared: (1) 10% corn silage, (2) 20% corn silage, (3) 10% wheat head silage, (4) 20% wheat head silage, (5) unprocessed (whole) milage and (6) processed (rolled) milage. Each ration was fed to 18 yearling steers for 123 days. Rate of gain was not affected by ration. Feed consumption and feed required per lb. of gain were higher for steers receiving whole milage than for steers receiving any of the other five rations. Although steers fed rolled milage consumed less feed, they were 11.4 percent more efficient than steers fed whole milage.

Introduction

Beef cattle on most finishing rations require some roughage for maximum performance. Roughage decreases the incidence of founder, liver abscesses and digestive upsets. Corn silage is an effective roughage for feedlot rations; but, little is known about wheat head silage or milage.

Milo harvested as head-chop silage could supply all or a part of both the grain and roughage in feedlot rations. Head-chopping milo (25 to 32% grain moisture) would also permit earlier, more efficient harvesting.

The purposes of this trial were to evaluate source and level of roughage and to compare unprocessed (whole) with processed (rolled) milage in beef finishing rations.

Experimental Procedures

Ninety Angus, Hereford and crossbred yearling steers averaging 724 pounds were allotted by breed and weight to 18 pens of five steers each. Three pens were randomly assigned to each of the following rations: (1) 10% corn silage, (2) 20% corn silage, (3) 10% wheat head silage, (4) 20% wheat head silage, (5) unprocessed (whole) milage and (6) processed (rolled) milage. Eight Angus steers weighing 784 pounds were allotted to individual pens; four steers were randomly assigned to rations 5 and 6. Compositions of the final rations and supplements are shown in table 10.1.

¹In this report, the term "milage" refers to milo head-chop silage.

²Equipment for harvesting milage was provided by Field Queen Corporation (a division of Hesston Corporation), Maize, Kansas.

³Roller mill for processing milage was provided by Dodson Manufacturing Co., Wichita, Kansas.

The corn silage and wheat head silage (Parker) are the same as those described on page 33 of this publication. The milage was harvested from one source in mid-September, 1972. The forage harvester was equipped with a two-inch recutter screen. Grain moisture was 28 to 32 percent and milage moisture was 36 to 42 percent. Water was added to the milage to increase its moisture content approximately eight percentage units. Milage was ensiled in 10 ft. X 50 ft. concrete stave silos; dry matter, protein and fiber content are shown in table 10.1. Milage dry matter contained 71 percent grain and 29 percent forage.

Each steer was implanted with 36 mg. of stilbestrol at the beginning of the 123-day finishing trial (February 15 to June 18, 1973). All rations were mixed and fed twice daily.

Steers fed corn silage or wheat head silage (rations 1-4) received 35 percent silage rations at the start of the trial. Silage was reduced to 20 percent after five days, and to 10 percent in another five days in rations 1 and 3. Steers fed milage (rations 5 and 6) received the same ration throughout the trial. Milage for ration 6 was processed through a roller mill to crack all of the milo; an estimated 20 to 30 percent of the grain was cracked in the unprocessed milage in ration 5.

Initial and final weights of the steers were taken after 15 hours without feed or water. Final live weights were adjusted to a 62.5 percent dress and feedlot performance was calculated on that basis.

Three individually-fed steers receiving rations 5 and 6 were placed in metabolism stalls for ten days mid-way through the trial. After a four-day adjustment period, total feces were collected for six days.

Results

Feedlot performance for the group-fed steers is presented in table 10.2. Performance by pens of cattle fed the same rations varied quite widely. Steers fed 10 and 20 percent corn silage or wheat head silage rations had similar rates and efficiencies of gains. Steers fed milage (rations 5 and 6) tended to gain less than steers fed any of the other four rations, although the difference was not significant. Cattle receiving whole milage (ration 5) consumed more dry matter ($P < .05$) and required more feed per lb. of gain ($P < .05$) than cattle receiving rolled milage (ration 6), corn silage (rations 1 and 2) or wheat head silage (rations 3 and 4). Assuming that corn silage and wheat head silage dry matter contained about 45 and 35 percent grain, respectively, steers fed rolled milage required less grain dry matter per lb. of gain ($P < .05$) than steers fed any of the other five rations. Dressing percentage, quality grade and yield grade were not influenced by rations fed.

Response of the individually-fed steers was similar to that of group-fed steers for rations 5 and 6 (table 10.3). Cattle fed whole milage consumed more feed ($P < .05$) than cattle fed rolled milage. Also, apparent ration dry matter digestibility tended to be lower for whole milage than for rolled milage.

Table 10.1. Ration and Supplement Compositions and Milage Analyses
(%, Dry Matter Basis)

Item	Silage					
	Corn		Wheat head		Milage	
	10%	20%	10%	20%	Whole	Rolled
<u>Ration ingredients</u>						
Corn, cracked	41.25	36.25	41.25	36.25	---	---
Milo, steam flaked	41.25	36.25	41.25	36.25	---	---
Corn silage	10.0	20.0	---	---	---	---
Wheat silage	---	---	10.0	20.0	---	---
Milage	---	---	---	---	92.5	92.5
Supplement	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5
<u>Supplement ingredients</u>						
Soybean meal	27.6	35.4	10.4	0.6		7.8
Milo, rolled	47.2	42.3	62.6	74.4		71.0
KCl	2.7	0.8	3.8	1.9		---
Dicalcium PO ₄	0.5	0.7	0.5	1.4		---
Limestone	9.7	8.5	10.4	9.4		7.0
Salt	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4		3.4
Fat	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0
Trace minerals	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8		0.8
Aureomycin ^a	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		0.5
Vitamin A ^b	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1
Urea	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5		8.4
<u>Milage analyses</u>						
Dry matter						49.80
Crude protein						9.00
Crude fiber						12.7

^aFormulated to supply 70 mg per steer per day.

^bFormulated to supply 30,000 I.U. per steer per day.

Table 10.2. Feedlot Performance of Group-Fed Steers

Item	Silage					
	Corn		Wheat head		Milage	
	10%	20%	10%	20%	Whole	Rolled
No. of steers	15	15	15	15	15	15
Initial wt., lbs.	725	721	728	722	723	725
Final wt., lbs.	1032	1051	1040	1025	1020	1010
Avg. daily gain, lbs.	2.49	2.68	2.54	2.47	2.41	2.32
Avg. daily feed, lb. ^c						
milo, fl.	6.80	6.50	7.11	6.45	---	---
corn, cr.	7.01	6.70	7.33	6.65	---	---
milage	---	---	---	---	19.75	16.87
corn sil.	2.22	3.96	---	---	---	---
wheat sil.	---	---	2.40	4.03	---	---
supplement	1.35	1.46	1.37	1.43	1.61	1.38
Total	17.38 ^a	18.62 ^a	18.21 ^a	18.56 ^a	21.36 ^b	18.25 ^a
Feed/lb. gain, lb.	7.02 ^a	6.96 ^a	7.32 ^a	7.53 ^a	8.89 ^b	7.87 ^a
Dressing %	62.9	62.4	62.3	62.3	62.1	63.1
Quality grade ^d	10.5	10.5	10.4	10.5	10.5	9.9
Yield grade	3.12	3.17	3.03	2.87	2.88	2.60
Condemned livers	0	2	1	1	0	2

^{a,b}Means in the same row with different superscripts differ significantly ($P < .05$).

^c100% dry matter basis.

^dQuality grade assigned, 10 = low choice, 11 = average choice.

Table 10.3. Feedlot Performance and Ration Digestibility of Individually-fed Steers fed Whole or Rolled Milage

	Milage	
	Whole	Rolled
No. of steers	4	4
Initial wt., lb.	750	707
Final wt., lb.	1003	957
Avg. daily gain, lb.	2.06	2.03
<u>Avg. daily feed, lb.</u> ^{a,b}		
milage	16.98 (34.7)	14.69 (30.0)
supplement	1.39	1.23
Total	17.37	15.92
Feed/lb. gain, lb.	9.08	7.85
Dressing %	61.6	62.4
Quality grade ^c	10.5	10.25
Yield grade	3.01	3.04
Condemned livers	0	0
Ration dry matter digestibility, % ^d	70.8	73.5

^a100% dry matter basis.

^bValues in parentheses are milage intake on an as-fed moisture basis.

^cQuality grade assigned, 10 = low choice, 11 = average choice.

^dEach value is the mean for three steers.