

Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 0
Issue 1 *Cattleman's Day (1993-2014)*

Article 1471

1968

Urea vs. soybean meal in wintering and finishing rations for beef steers

D. Richardson

E.F. Smith

B.E. Brent

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Richardson, D.; Smith, E.F.; and Brent, B.E. (1968) "Urea vs. soybean meal in wintering and finishing rations for beef steers," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 1. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.2874>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1968 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Urea vs. soybean meal in wintering and finishing rations for beef steers

Abstract

A previous test using sorghum silage (Kans. Agri. Expt. Sta. Bull. 507:5, 1967) indicated that 3 pounds of grain per day in silage would supply enough available energy for reasonably good utilization of nonprotein nitrogen (urea). However, additional grain apparently increased the utilization of urea. This is the second test to obtain information on the minimum amount of readily available energy as grain necessary for efficient utilization of nonprotein nitrogen as a substitute for natural protein. During the wintering phase, the roughage was corn silage with an average of 38.5% dry matter. Grain made up 27% of the dry matter. Prairie hay replaced the silage in the finishing phase. Supplemental treatments are shown in the tables giving the results.

Keywords

Cattlemen's Day, 1968; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station); 518; Beef; Urea vs. soybean meal; Winter rations; Finishing rations

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Urea vs. Soybean Meal in Wintering and
Finishing Rations for Beef Steers (Project 370)

D. Richardson, E.F. Smith and B.E. Brent

A previous test using sorghum silage (Kans. Agri. Expt. Sta. Bull. 507:5, 1967) indicated that 3 pounds of grain per day in silage would supply enough available energy for reasonably good utilization of nonprotein nitrogen (urea). However, additional grain apparently increased the utilization of urea. This is the second test to obtain information on the minimum amount of readily available energy as grain necessary for efficient utilization of nonprotein nitrogen as a substitute for natural protein. During the wintering phase, the roughage was corn silage with an average of 38.5% dry matter. Grain made up 27% of the dry matter. Prairie hay replaced the silage in the finishing phase. Supplemental treatments are shown in the tables giving the results.

Results and Observations

Table 15 gives the results of the wintering phase. Urea supplement alone (lot 13) produced significantly lower gains than any other lot. Adding alfalfa hay, soybean meal or grain significantly increased gains. Results of the finishing phase are given in table 16. There were no significant differences in rate of gain or carcass data.

Table 15

Average Daily Gain and Feed Efficiency of Steers Fed
Corn Silage with Different Protein Supplements, Dec. 16, 1966 - April 7, 1967, 112 days

Lot. no.	Pounds	Initial wt.	Final wt.	Av. da. gain ³	Av. da. silage cons'd	Av. da.	Prot. equiv. intake	Av. feed efficiency (90% D.M.)
						feed cons'd (90% D.M.)		
						Pounds		
38 13	1.25 urea suppl. ¹	436.5	574.0	1.23 ^a	25.05	12.2	1.53	9.91
14	1.25 urea suppl. 2.00 alf. hay	437.5	631.0	1.73 ^b	25.44	14.3	1.87	8.26
15	1.25 SBM ² 2.00 alf. hay	438.5	653.0	1.92 ^b	25.53	14.4	1.88	7.51
16	1.25 urea suppl. 3.00 milo	436.5	654.0	1.94 ^b	25.07	15.3	1.86	7.89
17	1.25 urea suppl. 3.00 milo 2.00 alf. hay	437.5	637.5	1.78 ^b	18.40	14.2	1.90	7.98

1 83% sorghum grain, 14% urea and 3% dicalcium phosphate

2 97% soybean meal and 3% dicalcium phosphate

3 Any two means not bearing a common superscript letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)

Table 16
Results of Finishing Phase
Apr. 8 - Aug. 25, 1967, 172 days

Lot	13	14	15	16	17
Av. final wt. lb.	984	1026.5	1060.0	1066.5	1071.5
Av. daily gain, lb.	2.38	2.30	2.43	2.40	2.52
Av. daily ration, lb.					
Prairie hay	1.67	1.53	1.51	1.69	1.70
alfalfa hay	-	1.80	1.80	-	1.80
sorghum grain	14.8	14.4	13.8	14.9	13.7
urea suppl. ¹	1.0	1.0	-	1.0	1.0
soybean meal ²	-	-	1.0	-	-
Feed percent gain lb.					
Prairie hay	70	65	62	71	68
alfalfa hay	-	77	74	-	71
sorghum grain	623	606	583	623	545
urea supplement	41	41	-	41	39
soybean meal	-	-	41	-	-
Shrink to market %	3.76	1.95	4.35	4.97	4.06
Av. hot carcass wt. lb.	580	627	578	631	643
Av. dressing % feedlot wt.	58.7	61.1	60.5	59.2	60.0
Av. dressing % market wt.	61.2	62.3	63.3	62.3	62.5
Av. fat thick, 12th rib, in.	0.51	0.54	0.60	0.71	0.61
Av. size ribeye, sq. in.	11.82	12.16	12.61	11.28	13.05
Carcass grades:					
Choice	6	9	9	10	6
Good	4	1	0	0	4
ADG, Wintering & Finishing 284 days	1.93	2.11	2.23	2.22	2.23

¹ 80% sorghum grain, 13% urea, 4% calcium carbonate, 3% dicalcium phosphate

² 94% soybean meal, 3% dicalcium phosphate, 3% calcium carbonate.

NOTE: Each animal received daily 30,000 I.U. vit. A, 75 mg. Aureomycin and 10 mg. diethylstilbestrol