

Kansas State University Libraries

New Prairie Press

Adult Education Research Conference

University Image: A strategic moderator between Justice Dimensions and Service Recovery Satisfaction in Open and Distance Learning (ODL) context?

Mohd Rushidi Mohd Amin Dr

Vinesh Maran Sivakumaran Dr

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/aerc>



Part of the [Adult and Continuing Education Commons](#), and the [Adult and Continuing Education Administration Commons](#)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 License](#)

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences at New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Adult Education Research Conference by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. For more information, please contact cads@k-state.edu.

University Image: A strategic moderator between Justice Dimensions and Service Recovery Satisfaction in Open and Distance Learning (ODL) context?

Mohd Rushidi Mohd Amin
Vinesh Maran Sivakumaran

Asian Adult Education Annual Conference

The Asian Adult Education Annual Conference began in 2003. Its former name was the Asian Diaspora Adult Education Pre-conference in conjunction with Adult Education Research Conference (AERC). The steady development over the past 20 years has made it the leading pre-conference in the North American Adult Education Research Annual Conference, actively promoting and co-constructing the academic development of North American adult education.

The purpose of the Asian Adult Education Conference (AAE) is to provide a platform for academic exchange among researchers and scholars in adult and continuing education, as well as higher education, from the East, West, and Rest, especially those who are interested in conducting research related to Asian and Asian Diaspora adult education theory and practice. It seeks to promote mutual learning, enhance shared understanding, and stimulate academic viewpoints and dialogue from various perspectives from global educators. Selected presentation papers are published in peer-reviewed conference proceedings.

Chair, Steering Committee

Dr. Qi Sun

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chair, Conference Promotion and Development Committee Dr. Bo Chang Ball State University, USA | Chair, Publications Committee Dr. Haijun Kang Kansas State University, USA | Chair, Review Committee Dr. Xi Lin East Carolina University, USA | Chair, Graduate Student Council Committee, Dr. Xiaoqiao Zhang, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China |
| Chair, Program Committee Dr. Yidan Zhu Texas State University, USA | Co-Chair, Social Media, Marketing & Communication & Event Planning Dr. Xiaoying Jiang, Penn State University, USA | Co-Chair, Social Media, Marketing & Communication & Event Planning Dr. Qianran Wang Hokkaido University, Japan | |
| Co-Chair, International Outreach Committee Dr. Suwithida Charungkaittikul Chulalongkorn University, Thailand | Co-Chair, International Outreach Committee Dr. Qian (Sarah) Wang Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University (XJTLU), China | Co-Chair, International Outreach Committee Dr. Merih Uğurel Kamışlı TED University, Ankara Turkey | |

University Image: A strategic moderator between Justice Dimensions and Service Recovery Satisfaction in Open and Distance Learning (ODL) context?

Mohd Rushidi Mohd Amin¹, Vinesh Maran Sivakumaran²

¹INTI International College (Penang)
1-Z, Lebuh Bukit Jambul, 11900 Penang, Malaysia
Email: rushidixxx@gmail.com
*Corresponding author

²INTI International College (Penang)
1-Z, Lebuh Bukit Jambul, 11900 Penang, Malaysia
Email: ymaran.skumaran@newinti.edu.my

Abstract

This study investigated the relationships between perceived justice with justice dimensions (distributive, procedural, interpersonal, and informational), university image, service recovery satisfaction through a survey of 303 ODL students in Malaysia who experienced a service failure and subsequently a service recovery effort.

Keywords: Justice Dimensions, Service Recovery Satisfaction, University Image, Open and Distance Learning (ODL), and PLS – SEM.

In the global dynamic environment, the services sector has become one of the primary drivers for economic development. The services sector plays its role as one of the main economic pillars of many economies worldwide, such as the US, UK, Japan, Brazil, India, Singapore, and most governments are emphasizing the services sector in their countries' economic planning.

In Malaysia, the service sector is ranked as the second leading contributor to the GDP. It is anticipated to be the primary key contributor to the nation's growth in the coming years (MIDA, 2017). In 2005, it recorded a remarkable performance where 62 percent of the 5.3 percent economic growth rate was represented by services trade (EPU, 2010). Tourism, telecommunications, education, and financial services are some of the main services sectors that generate economic revenues. Due to its immense potential for the nation's economic growth, this sector is carefully crafted to improve further its competitiveness and resilience in the Eleventh Malaysia Plan (2016 – 2020).

The services industry could develop competently as a sector, but it could expand dynamically along with other industries' growth. For example, services sectors such as education, transportation and logistics, telecommunications, financial services, and professional services are essential to become facilitators for other industries' operations and productions.

Educational Services

This subsector has grown steadily following the liberalization and deregulation of the education and training market since the late 1990s. There has been considerable import substitution of tertiary education but a rapidly expanding export market for higher education following more significant private investment in the tertiary education industry. The nation's educational reform was introduced in the late 1990s, which had far-reaching implications for the development of higher education in Malaysia.

The reformation of education has encouraged the private sector to play a more dynamic and expanded role in higher education to meet excess demand and to produce sufficient skilled workers to overcome labor and skill shortages. Self-sufficiency in education is critical to the nation's drive to achieve developed nation status by 2020. The expansion of the higher education market began to attract overseas students. It gave a small domestic market to reposition itself as a regional center of excellence in tertiary education to benefit from economies of scale.

Perspective and Relevant Literature

Higher education institutions often need to pay more attention to recovering their student's satisfaction right after service failure happens, and more is known about service recovery satisfaction and its outcomes in the open and distance learning (ODL) context. Past research indicated that the inability to recover during service recovery efforts might cause the customers to leave and potentially negatively affect the service provider's financial bottom line (Shapiro & Nieman-Gonder, 2006). While service failure is inevitable, the failure situation will worsen if the students are not provided with effective service recovery (Hart et al, 1990).

Regarding the cost and profitability benefits of keeping existing customers, little research has been conducted on service failure recovery (Andreassen, 2001; Tax & Brown, 1998) as the retention strategy. Johnston and Michel (2008) and Lewis and McCann (2004) stated that research on service failure and recovery is still evolving, and conducting more research on service failure is very important to facilitate the process of providing satisfactory recovery and to alleviate back the dissatisfied students to satisfied level and at the same time to see whether recovery satisfaction would positively influence the student's response or outcomes.

Past studies on service failure and recovery have studied service recovery from a perceived justice perspective (Juhari et al., 2016; Petzer et al., 2017; Kumar et al, 2014; Singh & Crisafulli, 2016) and also the response from the perspective service organization to the effects of perceived justice (Nikbin et al., 2015). Past studies have indicated that different dimensions of justice (Distributive, Procedural, Interpersonal, and Informational Justice) have different effects on customer recovery, and they suggested analyzing the effects of different dimensions of justice on satisfaction in a service failure and recovery context (Chebat & Slusarczyk, 2005; Kim et al., 2012; Maxham III & Netemeyer, 2002a). Therefore, this study proposes the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis 1 (H₁): Distributive justice is significantly related to service recovery satisfaction.

Hypothesis 2 (H₂): Procedural justice is significantly related to service recovery satisfaction.

Hypothesis 3 (H₃): Interpersonal justice is significantly related to service recovery satisfaction.

Hypothesis 4 (H₄): Informational justice is significantly related to service recovery satisfaction.

Nikbin et al. (2010) suggested that moderating variables be considered when analyzing the relationship between perceived justice and service recovery satisfaction. They advocate using organization image or, more precisely, university image as one of the factors to apply in this study.

There has been a lack of empirical research clarifying the connection between perceived justice, service recovery satisfaction, and university reputation, especially in the context of lifelong learning or Open and Distance Learning (ODL) context which is closely associated with SDG 16 (effective building institutions at all levels) (Milton, 2021). For that reason, this research is bridging that gap.

This study will further enrich the existing literature on service recovery. It will add new knowledge to the service provider-customer relationship by considering the effect of university image on ODL students and their future behavioral outcomes. Therefore, it is posited that:

Hypothesis 5 (H₅): The relationship between distributive justice and service recovery satisfaction will be stronger when the interaction effect of university image is significant.

Hypothesis 6 (H₆): The relationship between procedural justice and service recovery satisfaction will be stronger when the interaction effect of university image is significant.

Hypothesis 7 (H₇): The relationship between interpersonal justice and service recovery satisfaction will be stronger when the interaction effect of university image is significant.

Hypothesis 8 (H₈): The relationship between informational justice and service recovery satisfaction will be stronger when the interaction effect of university image is significant.

Research Design

This study employs a cross-sectional approach, and Partial Least Square (PLS) is the foundation of this study's data analysis. The population for the current study is the online learners who have experienced service failure and comprises active students taking Diploma level up to Doctorate level.

The university classified the students' information that experienced service failure as private and confidential. Due to the sensitivity of data containing confidential information that relates directly to the institution, the university is unwilling to disclose it.

For this study, the convenience sampling technique is adopted due to the unavailability of the list of ODL students with service failure experience the researcher. Therefore, random sampling is almost impossible in this context. Reliability analysis was also conducted to ensure the reliability of the survey instrument to test for consistency using Cronbach's alpha. The results were above 0.7 and suitable for further research and analysis.

Result

Overall, out of the 750 questionnaires distributed, 450 questionnaires were returned. Out of 450 questionnaires, 90 were not usable because more than 25 percent of the items were unanswered, resulting in a final sample of 360 questionnaires (48 percent of response rate). The response rate is adequate and justified as service failure and service recovery are exceptional, and the response rate is typical in service recovery studies (Chang et al., 2012;

del Río-Lanza et al., 2009; Nikbin et al., 2015). As this study is only interested in respondents that experience service failure and service recovery, 22 samples out of 360 have been identified that have not met this criterion. The remaining 338 questionnaires were used for further analysis.

Assessment of Measurement Model

In this study, the Cronbach alpha value ranges between 0.79 - 0.90, while composite reliability values are reported between 0.88 - 0.93, indicating satisfactory convergent validity. Based on Hair et al. (2017) recommendations, AVE should be at least 0.5 to establish convergent validity.

In this study, the AVE values are between 0.66 - 0.82, indicating convergent validity has been accepted. Concerning of the loadings, thirty-seven items exceeded the recommended acceptable cut-off point of 0.7 (Nunnally, 1978). All items were found to load significantly on their respective constructs, with loadings values ranging from 0.6 to 0.93.

In addition, all average variance extracted (AVE) values and composite reliability (CR) exceeded 0.5 and 0.8, respectively, suggesting good evidence of convergent validity (Anderson & Gerbing, 1988; Fornell & Larcker, 1981). A value of AVE exceeding 0.5 demonstrates that more than 50% of the construct's variance is due to its indicators (Liu et al, 2011).

For the discriminant validity, the results show that all square roots of AVE values are greater than the corresponding correlation estimates. Second, examine whether the items were loaded strongly on their constructs and loaded low on other constructs in the model. The factor loading of each indicator is greater than all of its cross-loadings. Both criteria fulfilled the requirements, demonstrating that discriminant validity is achieved.

Cross-loading is the next criterion to assess discriminant validity. To show satisfactory discriminant validity, the loading of each measurement item on its corresponding construct should be higher than its loading on other constructs (Chin, 1998; Gefen et al., 2000; Straub et al., 2004).

Assessment of Structural Model

In assessing the significance and relevance of the structural model, the statistical significance testing in PLS is accomplished using the bootstrap technique initially described in Efron and Tibshirani (1993). Based on the results, two out of eight hypotheses show significant results as shown in Table 1.

The PLS product-indicator technique was used to study the moderating impact of university image on the relationship between justice dimensions and service recovery satisfaction. Multiplying the predictor variable (each justice dimension) with the moderator variable (university image) created an interaction construct that could predict service recovery satisfaction. If it were discovered that the impact of the interaction variable on the criterion variable was considerably different from zero, then proof of moderation would be established.

Based on the findings, the theorized path for H₅, H₆, H₇ and H₈ did not occur in the expected direction. Therefore, it is found out that University Image has no significant interaction effects on the connection between justice dimensions (distributive, procedural, interpersonal and informational justice) with service recovery.

Table 1 Hypotheses Testing

| Hypotheses | OS | SM ^a | SD ^b | T Statistics | P Values | f ² | q2 |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|----------------|-------|
| DISTRIBUTIONAL JUSTICE -> SERVICE RECOVERY SATISFACTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.910 | 0.01 | 0.003 |
| INFORMATIONAL JUSTICE -> SERVICE RECOVERY SATISFACTION | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 1.88 | 0.060 | 0.01 | 0.004 |
| INTERPERSONAL JUSTICE -> SERVICE RECOVERY SATISFACTION | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 2.24 | 0.030 | 0.01 | 0.004 |
| PROCEDURAL JUSTICE -> SERVICE RECOVERY SATISFACTION | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 5.04 | 0.000 | 0.17 | 0.004 |
| UNI IMAGE*DIS JUS -> SERVICE RECOVERY SATISFACTION | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.55 | 0.580 | | |
| UNI IMAGE*INFO JUS -> SERVICE RECOVERY SATISFACTION | -0.05 | -0.06 | 0.06 | 0.86 | 0.390 | | |
| UNI IMAGE*INTER JUS -> SERVICE RECOVERY SATISFACTION | -0.06 | -0.06 | 0.05 | 1.32 | 0.190 | | |
| UNI IMAGE*PROCE JUS -> SERVICE RECOVERY SATISFACTION | -0.07 | -0.07 | 0.05 | 1.23 | 0.220 | | |

Notes:

^aSM = Sample Means, ^bSD = Standard Deviation

^cT statistics = [t-value]^Λ > 1.96

Discussion

The empirical results of the relationships between perceived justice with justice dimensions and service recovery satisfaction demonstrated that the hypothesized relationships between procedural justice and interpersonal justice and service recovery satisfaction were supported. However, the hypothesized relationship between distributive and informational justice and service recovery satisfaction was not supported.

Based on the existing literature, it was proposed that procedural justice will significantly affect service recovery satisfaction. This is in line with previous findings of Homburg and Fürst (2005), Karatepe (2006) and Waqas et al. (2014). The empirical result shows that distributive justice does not significantly affect recovery satisfaction. This result was unexpected as offering distributive justice would indicate that the service consumption process is completed, and offering some service compensation would require the students to repeat the consumption process, another service transaction. This is inconsistent with the findings in other industries such as hotel, restaurant, airline and other industries of Kim et al. (2009), and Nikbin et al. (2015).

In this study, informational justice does not significantly affect service recovery satisfaction. Therefore, the hypothesized relationship between informational justice and service recovery satisfaction was not supported. The effects of informational justice may always be different for the customer. Although informational justice reduces service disconfirmation and improves assessed service for ODL institutions, it does not likely lead to any improvement in recovery satisfaction, as found in this study.

This study also proposed that university image moderates the relationship between perceived justice with justice dimensions and service recovery satisfaction. However, in this study, the hypothesized moderating relationship was not supported. The insignificant moderating role of the university image implies that the university image in service failure situations, particularly in the ODL context.

This is in line with Nefat et al. (2012) findings which stated that there is no statistically significant moderator's influence on the image of distributive justice constructs. Once a failure occurs, it is expected that equity will be compromised. Therefore, if failure is manageable by the service provider and the students are treated fairly by fair treatment, they may think that the service provider has realized and accepted the guilt, thus trying to restore equity to the relationship.

Implications for Adult Education

The research goals had been accomplished: to explore the relationship between perceived justice dimensions, the image of the institution, and the level of satisfaction with service recovery. Including university image as a moderator had no significant impact on the connection between perceived justice dimensions and service recovery satisfaction; this conclusion contradicts prior research findings such as Civera and Meoli (2017).

This study needs more improvement by conducting more research to overcome the shortcomings discovered in the findings. Furthermore, the findings of this study provide early insight and knowledge of the influence of service recovery satisfaction and university image on the ODL business in Malaysia, in addition to contributing to the field related to SDG 16 (effective building institutions at all levels).

References

- Andreassen, T. W. (2001). From Disgust to Delight: Do Customers Hold a Grudge? *Journal of Service Research*, 4(1), 39–49. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109467050141004>

- Chang, H. H., Lai, M. K., & Hsu, C. H. (2012). Recovery of online service: Perceived justice and transaction frequency. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(6), 2199–2208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.06.027>
- Chebat, J.-C., & Slusarczyk, W. (2005). How emotions mediate the effects of perceived justice on loyalty in service recovery situations: an empirical study. *Journal of Business Research*, 58(5), 664–73.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. In Marcoulides (Ed.). In *Modern Methods for Business Research*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Civera, A., & Meoli, M. (2017). Does university prestige foster the initial growth of academic spin-offs? *Economia e Politica Industriale*, 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40812-017-0072-y>
- del Río-Lanza, A. B., Vázquez-Casielles, R., & Díaz-Martín, A. M. (2009). Satisfaction with service recovery: Perceived justice and emotional responses. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(8), 775–781. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.09.015>
- Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. J. (1993). *An introduction to the bootstrap*. Chapman and Hall.
- Economic Planning Unit (EPU), Prime Minister Department (2010). *Tenth Malaysia Plan (10th MP)*. <http://www.epu.gov.my/en/rmk/tenth-malaysia-plan-10th-mp>
- Gefen, D., Straub, W. D., & Boudreau, M.-C. (2000). Structural Equation Modeling and Regression: Guidelines for Research for Research Practice. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 4(7), 2–79.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Hart, C., Heskett, J. L., & Sasser, W. E. J. (1990). The Profitable Art of Service Recovery. *Harvard Business Review*, July/Augus, 148–156.
- Homburg, C., & Fürst, A. (2005). How Organizational Complaint Handling Drives Customer Loyalty: An Analysis of the Mechanistic and the Organic Approach. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(3), 95–114.
- Johnston, R., & Michel, S. (2008). Three outcomes of service recovery: Customer recovery, process recovery and employee recovery. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 28(1), 79–99.
- Juhari, A. S., Awais Bhatti, M., & Kumar Piaralal, S. (2016). Service Quality and Customer Loyalty in Malaysian Islamic Insurance Sector Exploring the mediating effects of Customer Satisfaction. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 6(3), 17–36. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v6-i3/2030>
- Karatepe, O. M. (2006). Customer complaints and organizational responses: the effects of complainants' perceptions of justice on satisfaction and loyalty. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 25(1), 69–90.
- Kim, T. (Terry), Yoo, J. J.-E., & Lee, G. (2012). Post recovery customer relationships and customer partnerships in a restaurant setting. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 24(3), 381–401.
- Kim, T., Kim, W. G., & Kim, H.-B. (2009). The effects of perceived justice on recovery satisfaction, trust, word-of-mouth, and revisit intention in upscale hotels. *Tourism Management*, 30(1), 51–62.
- Lewis, B. R., & McCann, P. (2004). Service failure and recovery: evidence from the hotel industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 16(1), 6–17.
- Maxham III, J. G., & Netemeyer, R. G. (2002). A longitudinal study of complaining customers' evaluations of multiple service failures and recovery efforts. *Journal of Marketing*, 66(October(October), 57–71.
- Malaysia Investment Development Authority (MIDA) (2017). *Service Sector in Malaysia*.

- <http://www.mida.gov.my/home/services-sector/posts/>
- Milton, S. (2021). Higher education and sustainable development goal 16 in fragile and conflict-affected contexts. *Higher Education*, 81, 89–108.
<https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00617-z>
- Nefat, A., Benazic, D., & Aleric, D. (2012). Antecedents and Outcomes of Service Recovery Satisfaction in Telecommunications in Croatia along with the Role of Image and Perceived Switching Costs. *Economic Research*, 25(4), 1051–1066.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2012.11517547>
- Nikbin, D., Ismail, I., Marimuthu, M., & Jalalkamali, M. (2010). Perceived Justice in Service Recovery and Recovery Satisfaction: The Moderating Role of Corporate Image. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 2(2), p47.
<http://journal.ccsenet.org/index.php/ijms/article/download/8109/6147>
- Nikbin, D., Marimuthu, M., Hyun, S. S., & Ismail, I. (2015). Relationships of Perceived Justice to Service Recovery, Service Failure Attributions, Recovery Satisfaction, and Loyalty in the Context of Airline Travelers. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 20(3), 239–262. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2014.889028>
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory*. McGraw Hill.
- Petzer, D. J., Meyer-Heydenrych, C. F. De, & Svensson, G. (2017). Perceived justice, service satisfaction and behaviour intentions following service recovery efforts in a South African retail banking context. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 35(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090910954864>
- Piaralal, N. K., Piaralal, S. K., & Bhatti, M. A. (2014). Antecedent and outcomes of satisfaction with service recovery: A study among mobile phone users in central region of Malaysia. *Asian Social Science*, 10(12), 210–221.
<https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v10n12p210>
- Shapiro, T., & Nieman-Gonder, J. (2006). Effect of Communication Mode Justice-Based Service Recovery. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*, 16(2), 124–144.
- Singh, J., & Crisafulli, B. (2016). Managing online service recovery: procedures, justice and customer satisfaction. *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, 26(6), 1–37.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090910954864>
- Straub, D., Marie-Boudreau, C., & Gefen, D. (2004). Validation guidelines for IS positivist research. *The Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 13(1), 380–427.
- Tax, S. S., & Brown, S. W. (1998). Recovering and learning from service failure. *Sloan Management Review*, 78–88.
- Waqas, M., Ali, H., & Khan, M. A. (2014). An investigation of effects of justice recovery dimensions on students' satisfaction with service recovery in higher education environment. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing*, 11(3), 263–284.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12208-014-0120-5>