

# Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

---

Volume 0  
Issue 10 *Swine Day (1968-2014)*

Article 1043

---

2004

## Evaluation of topical antioxidants and packaging materials to decrease the incidence of bone discoloration in pork retail cuts

C R. Raines

Michael E. Dikeman

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>



Part of the [Other Animal Sciences Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Raines, C R. and Dikeman, Michael E. (2004) "Evaluation of topical antioxidants and packaging materials to decrease the incidence of bone discoloration in pork retail cuts," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 10. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.6883>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2004 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



---

## Evaluation of topical antioxidants and packaging materials to decrease the incidence of bone discoloration in pork retail cuts

### Abstract

Color characteristics were evaluated on 48 pork backbones. After 6 d postmortem, six 1-inch-thick sections of lumbar vertebrae were cut from each backbone. Lumbar vertebrae were treated with different concentrations of ascorbic acid, with combination treatments of ascorbic acid and natural antioxidants, or left untreated. Bones were packaged in one of three systems: high-oxygen modified atmosphere packaging (MAP), ultra-low-oxygen MAP, or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) overwrap trays. Bones were visually evaluated by a trained panel on d 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8. Lightness ( $L^*$ ) was also measured on d 0, 2, and 8 of display. After 8 d of display, antioxidant-treated bones packaged in high-oxygen MAP were more desirable than those in PVC overwrap trays. Bones packaged in ultra-low-oxygen MAP became less desirable over 8 d of display. Solutions of 1.875% and 2.50% ascorbic acid yielded the most desirable color after 8 d for bones in high-oxygen MAP and in PVC overwrap trays. Bones treated with 1.875% or 2.50% ascorbic acid tended to have lighter color (higher  $L^*$ ) on d 8 for high-oxygen MAP and PVC overwrap trays, whereas an overall difference was not observed for lightness for bones packaged in ultra-low-oxygen MAP.; Swine Day, 2004, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, 2004

### Keywords

Swine day, 2004; Report of progress (Kansas State University. Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service); 940; Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution ; no. 05-113-S; Bone color; Modified atmosphere packaging; Antioxidant; Pigs; Swine

### Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## EVALUATION OF TOPICAL ANTIOXIDANTS AND PACKAGING MATERIALS TO DECREASE THE INCIDENCE OF BONE DISCOLORATION IN PORK RETAIL CUTS

*C. R. Raines and M.E. Dikeman*

### Summary

Color characteristics were evaluated on 48 pork backbones. After 6 d postmortem, six 1-inch-thick sections of lumbar vertebrae were cut from each backbone. Lumbar vertebrae were treated with different concentrations of ascorbic acid, with combination treatments of ascorbic acid and natural antioxidants, or left untreated. Bones were packaged in one of three systems: high-oxygen modified-atmosphere packaging (MAP), ultra-low-oxygen MAP, or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) overwrap trays. Bones were visually evaluated by a trained panel on d 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8. Lightness ( $L^*$ ) was also measured on d 0, 2, and 8 of display. After 8 d of display, antioxidant-treated bones packaged in high-oxygen MAP were more desirable than those in PVC overwrap trays. Bones packaged in ultra-low-oxygen MAP became less desirable over 8 d of display. Solutions of 1.875% and 2.50% ascorbic acid yielded the most desirable color after 8 d for bones in high-oxygen MAP and in PVC overwrap trays. Bones treated with 1.875% or 2.50% ascorbic acid tended to have lighter color (higher  $L^*$ ) on d 8 for high-oxygen MAP and PVC overwrap trays, whereas an overall difference was not observed for lightness for bones packaged in ultra-low-oxygen MAP.

(Key Words: Bone Color, Modified Atmosphere Packaging, Antioxidant, Pigs.)

### Introduction

Bone color in fresh retail cuts is important to consumers. High-oxygen MAP and PVC overwrap trays are packaging methods condu-

cive to red color development, but bone discoloration or darkening can be a problem in these systems. It has been shown that feeding antioxidants to livestock, and the application of antioxidants in processing, both can inhibit bone darkening. Recent research at Kansas State University has shown that the application of 2.50% ascorbic acid minimizes beef bone discoloration within these packaging systems. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of topical antioxidants on the development of bone discoloration and/or darkening in pork lumbar vertebrae packaged by using three different packaging systems.

### Procedures

Forty-eight pork backbones from 1 day's kill were obtained from a commercial abattoir, from which six 1-inch-thick sections of lumbar vertebrae were cut at 6 d postmortem by using a band saw and were brushed to remove bone dust. One cut section from each backbone was treated with a 0.5-mL aliquot of one of five treatments: 1.25%, 1.875%, or 2.5% ascorbic acid solution (L-ascorbic acid, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO); a combination treatment of 0.15% Origanox™ WS (Rad Natural Technologies Ltd., Tikva, Israel) and 0.30% ascorbic acid solution; or 0.225% Origanox™ WS and 0.45% ascorbic acid. The sixth section was used as the control, with no treatment applied. Bones were packaged such that the six vertebral pieces represented all six treatments and came from the same backbone.

Three packaging systems were used: high-oxygen (80% O<sub>2</sub>, 20% CO<sub>2</sub>) MAP; ultra-low-oxygen (70% N<sub>2</sub>, 30% CO<sub>2</sub>) MAP containing an activated oxygen scavenger; and

polyvinyl chloride (PVC) overwrap. High-oxygen and ultra-low-oxygen packages were packaged in rigid trays and covered with barrier lidding film. The PVC samples were packaged in foam trays with oxygen permeable film.

Packages were displayed under continuous fluorescent lighting (2153 lux, 300K and CRI=85, Bulb Model F32T8/ADV830/Alto, Phillips, Bloomfield, NJ) for 8 d at 2°C in a retail display case. Packages were rotated twice daily to maintain random display-case placement.

Six trained visual panelists scored bone marrow color once a day on six days beginning on d 0 (d 0 to d 5), and also once on d 8 of the display period. A seven-point scale was used for high-oxygen MAP and PVC packages: 1) bright reddish-pink to red, 2) dull reddish-pink, 3) slightly grayish-pink or -red, 4) grayish-pink or -red, 5) moderately gray, 6) all gray or grayish-black, and 7) black discoloration. Ultra-low-oxygen MAP samples were scored according to a different seven-point scale, and ultra-low-oxygen meat tends to have a more “purplish” color than red color: 1) bright purplish-red or -pink, 2) dull purplish-red or -pink, 3) slightly grayish-purple or -pink, 4) grayish-purple or -red, 5) moderately gray, 6) all gray or grayish-black, and 7) black discoloration. Both scales were used in half-point increments, and panelists were instructed to score the porous portion of the bone marrow.

Instrumental CIE L\* measurements were taken twice on each cut vertebral section by using a 0.25-inch aperture (Illuminant A) with a Hunter Labscan 2 (Hunter Associates Laboratory, Inc., Reston, VA), and then averaged. Instrumental measurements were taken from all samples on d 0, from 24 opened packages on d 2, and from 24 opened packages on d 8. Those measured on d 2 were reserved strictly for that purpose, and those on d 8 were also those scored by the visual panel. L\* corre-

sponds to lightness, where a higher L\* value equates to lighter color.

The data were analyzed with SAS PROC MIXED (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Pairwise comparisons of least squares means were used to determine significant differences ( $P<0.05$ ).

## Results and Discussion

There was an interaction for visual color between packaging type, day, and antioxidant treatment for lumbar vertebrae packaged in PVC overwrap and in high-oxygen MAP. Because the same color scale was used, those packaging systems can be compared. Ultra-low-oxygen MAP used a different color scale than PVC and high-oxygen MAP did, thus barring comparison. For Ultra-low-oxygen, there was only a day effect.

Control lumbar vertebrae packaged in PVC and high-oxygen MAP did not exhibit ( $P<0.05$ ) graying until d 3 and d 4 of display, respectively (Table 1). From d 2 to d 8, antioxidant-treated lumbar vertebrae packaged in high-oxygen MAP had better visual scores ( $P<0.05$ ). By d 5 of display, lumbar vertebrae packaged in PVC and treated with either of the Origanox™-ascorbic acid treatments or 1.25% ascorbic acid exhibited ( $P<0.05$ ) graying, whereas like-treated bones packaged in high-oxygen MAP did not. By d 5, the least desirable ( $P<0.05$ ) lumbar vertebrae was the PVC-packaged control. Antioxidant-treated bones packaged in high-oxygen MAP had the most desirable ( $P<0.05$ ) visual color on d 5. On d 8 of display in high-oxygen MAP, samples treated with higher concentrations of ascorbic acid alone had visual color scores superior ( $P<0.05$ ) to those of samples treated with the Origanox™-ascorbic acid; the color scores of samples treated with 1.25% ascorbic acid were intermediate ( $P<0.05$ ) (Table 1). All antioxidant-treated lumbar vertebrae in high-oxygen MAP had superior ( $P<0.05$ )

color scores to those in PVC trays. Control lumbar vertebrae packaged in high-oxygen MAP exhibited the least-desirable ( $P<0.05$ ) visual color on d 8. For PVC-packaged bones, treatments with 1.875% or 2.50% ascorbic acid yielded better ( $P<0.05$ ) visual color values on d 8 than did other treatments.

Visual color scores of lumbar vertebrae packaged in ultra-low-oxygen MAP declined ( $P<0.05$ ) from d 0 to d 3, and stabilized from d 3 to d 5 of display (Table 2). The least-desirable ( $P<0.05$ ) visual color score was on d 8.

$L^*$  values correspond to lightness, and higher  $L^*$  values indicate lighter-color samples. For all lumbar vertebrae packaged in high-oxygen MAP,  $L^*$  values increased ( $P<0.05$ ) from d 0 to d 2 and decreased ( $P<0.05$ ) from d 2 to d 8. MAP (Table 3). For PVC-packaged bones,  $L^*$  values were more similar across treatments. For bones packaged in ultra-low-oxygen MAP and treated with

antioxidant, d 0 and d 2  $L^*$  values did not differ ( $P>0.05$ ), and were lower ( $P<0.05$ ) on d 8. Control lumbar vertebrae packaged in high-oxygen MAP had the lowest ( $P<0.05$ ) d 8  $L^*$  value (the least bright color), and PVC-packaged lumbar vertebrae treated with 1.875% ascorbic acid had the highest ( $P<0.05$ ) d 8  $L^*$  value (Table 3). Among lumbar vertebrae packaged in high-oxygen MAP, the 1.875% ascorbic acid had the highest ( $P<0.05$ )  $L^*$  value, thus the lightest color. Nominal differences in d 8  $L^*$  values were observed among bones packaged in ultra-low-oxygen MAP.

Bones packaged in high-oxygen MAP and treated with higher concentrations of ascorbic acid generally had more desirable visual and instrumental results. Also, it can be seen that bones packaged in high oxygen do need an antioxidant applied. The impact of an antioxidant in ultra-low-oxygen MAP was not observed, however, suggesting that they may not be needed in ultra-low-oxygen systems.

**Table 1. Visual Color Scores<sup>a</sup> of Pork Lumbar Vertebrae Displayed for 8 Days in High-Oxygen MAP or PVC Overwrap Packaging**

Package Type	Antioxidant Treatment	Day						
		0	1	2	3	4	5	8
High-O <sub>2</sub>	0.15% Origanox™ + 0.30% Ascorbic Acid	1.36 <sup>b,u</sup>	1.54 <sup>c,uv</sup>	1.75 <sup>de,vw</sup>	1.94 <sup>d,wx</sup>	2.13 <sup>e,xy</sup>	2.25 <sup>f,y</sup>	2.76 <sup>f,z</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	0.225% Origanox™ + 0.45% Ascorbic Acid	1.37 <sup>b,v</sup>	1.53 <sup>c,vw</sup>	1.72 <sup>e,w</sup>	1.98 <sup>d,x</sup>	2.14 <sup>e,xy</sup>	2.29 <sup>f,y</sup>	2.72 <sup>f,z</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	1.25% Ascorbic Acid	1.35 <sup>b,v</sup>	1.51 <sup>c,vw</sup>	1.70 <sup>e,wx</sup>	1.95 <sup>d,xy</sup>	2.07 <sup>e,y</sup>	2.18 <sup>f,y</sup>	2.59 <sup>fg,z</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	1.875% Ascorbic Acid	1.34 <sup>b,v</sup>	1.48 <sup>c,ww</sup>	1.74 <sup>de,w</sup>	2.01 <sup>d,x</sup>	2.07 <sup>e,xy</sup>	2.19 <sup>f,y</sup>	2.39 <sup>g,z</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	2.50% Ascorbic Acid	1.37 <sup>b,v</sup>	1.50 <sup>c,vw</sup>	1.68 <sup>e,wx</sup>	1.94 <sup>d,xy</sup>	2.03 <sup>e,yz</sup>	2.07 <sup>f,yz</sup>	2.24 <sup>g,z</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	Control	1.23 <sup>b,t</sup>	1.65 <sup>bc,u</sup>	2.05 <sup>cd,v</sup>	2.60 <sup>c,w</sup>	3.17 <sup>c,x</sup>	3.76 <sup>c,y</sup>	4.79 <sup>b,z</sup>
PVC	0.15% Origanox™ + 0.30% Ascorbic Acid	1.45 <sup>b,t</sup>	1.80 <sup>bc,u</sup>	2.29 <sup>bc,v</sup>	2.59 <sup>c,w</sup>	3.08 <sup>c,x</sup>	3.43 <sup>cd,y</sup>	3.77 <sup>d,z</sup>
PVC	0.225% Origanox™ + 0.45% Ascorbic Acid	1.38 <sup>b,t</sup>	1.83 <sup>bc,u</sup>	2.33 <sup>bc,v</sup>	2.68 <sup>c,w</sup>	3.13 <sup>c,x</sup>	3.42 <sup>cd,y</sup>	3.90 <sup>d,z</sup>
PVC	1.25% Ascorbic Acid	1.32 <sup>b,t</sup>	1.67 <sup>bc,u</sup>	2.18 <sup>bc,v</sup>	2.45 <sup>c,w</sup>	2.86 <sup>cd,x</sup>	3.26 <sup>d,y</sup>	3.75 <sup>d,z</sup>
PVC	1.875% Ascorbic Acid	1.30 <sup>b,v</sup>	1.67 <sup>bc,w</sup>	2.20 <sup>bc,x</sup>	2.44 <sup>c,x</sup>	2.75 <sup>d,y</sup>	2.81 <sup>e,y</sup>	3.24 <sup>e,z</sup>
PVC	2.50% Ascorbic Acid	1.42 <sup>b,v</sup>	1.84 <sup>bc,w</sup>	2.29 <sup>bc,x</sup>	2.48 <sup>c,x</sup>	2.77 <sup>d,y</sup>	2.90 <sup>e,y</sup>	3.39 <sup>e,z</sup>
PVC	Control	1.44 <sup>b,u</sup>	1.92 <sup>b,v</sup>	2.48 <sup>b,w</sup>	3.24 <sup>b,x</sup>	3.81 <sup>b,y</sup>	4.30 <sup>b,z</sup>	4.31 <sup>c,z</sup>

<sup>a</sup>1=bright reddish-pink to red, 2=dull reddish-pink, 3=slightly grayish-pink or -red, 4=grayish-pink or -red, 5=moderately gray, 6=all gray or grayish-black, and 7=black discoloration.

<sup>b,c,d,e,f,g</sup>Means having different superscript letters within columns differ (P<0.05).

<sup>t,u,v,w,x,y,z</sup>Means having different superscript letters within rows differ (P<0.05).

High-O<sub>2</sub> = High-oxygen modified atmosphere packaging (MAP).

PVC = Polyvinyl chloride overwrap trays.

**Table 2. Visual Color Scores<sup>a</sup> of Pork Lumbar Vertebrae Displayed for 8 Days in Ultra-Low-Oxygen MAP Packaging, Pooled Across Treatments**

Score	Day						
	0	1	2	3	4	5	8
Score	1.95 <sup>b</sup>	2.32 <sup>c</sup>	2.80 <sup>d</sup>	3.13 <sup>e</sup>	3.41 <sup>e</sup>	3.51 <sup>e</sup>	3.74 <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>1=bright purplish-red -pink, 2=dull purplish or -pink, 3=slightly grayish-purple or -pink, 4=grayish -purple or -red, 5=moderately gray, 6=all gray or grayish-black, and 7=black discoloration.

<sup>b,c,d,e,f</sup>Means having different superscript letters differ (P<0.05).

**Table 3. L\* Values of Pork Lumbar Vertebrae Treated with Different Antioxidants and Displayed for 8 Days**

Package Type	Antioxidant Treatment	Day		
		0	2	8
High-O <sub>2</sub>	0.15% Origanox™ + 0.30% Ascorbic Acid	48.87 <sup>cd,y</sup>	52.71 <sup>abc,z</sup>	44.22 <sup>ghi,x</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	0.225% Origanox™ + 0.45% Ascorbic Acid	49.39 <sup>bcd,y</sup>	51.30 <sup>abcd,z</sup>	42.36 <sup>hi,x</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	1.25% Ascorbic Acid	49.42 <sup>bcd,y</sup>	53.33 <sup>ab,z</sup>	45.30 <sup>efg,x</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	1.875% Ascorbic Acid	48.79 <sup>cd,y</sup>	52.69 <sup>abc,z</sup>	48.62 <sup>bc,y</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	2.50% Ascorbic Acid	48.69 <sup>d,y</sup>	53.81 <sup>a,z</sup>	45.77 <sup>efg,x</sup>
High-O <sub>2</sub>	Control	48.60 <sup>d,y</sup>	51.49 <sup>abcd,z</sup>	41.09 <sup>i,x</sup>
PVC	0.15% Origanox™ + 0.30% Ascorbic Acid	49.53 <sup>abcd,y</sup>	51.31 <sup>abcd,z</sup>	47.15 <sup>cde,x</sup>
PVC	0.225% Origanox™ + 0.45% Ascorbic Acid	48.61 <sup>d,z</sup>	49.50 <sup>defg,z</sup>	46.85 <sup>def,y</sup>
PVC	1.25% Ascorbic Acid	48.54 <sup>d,y</sup>	50.71 <sup>cde,z</sup>	49.42 <sup>ab,yz</sup>
PVC	1.875% Ascorbic Acid	49.04 <sup>cd,y</sup>	50.67 <sup>cdef,z</sup>	50.73 <sup>a,z</sup>
PVC	2.50% Ascorbic Acid	49.45 <sup>abcd,z</sup>	51.07 <sup>bcde,z</sup>	50.02 <sup>ab,z</sup>
PVC	Control	48.73 <sup>cd,y</sup>	50.56 <sup>cdef,z</sup>	48.83 <sup>bc,y</sup>
U-low-O <sub>2</sub>	0.15% Origanox™ + 0.30% Ascorbic Acid	50.10 <sup>abc,y</sup>	48.03 <sup>g,y</sup>	44.17 <sup>ghi,z</sup>
U-low-O <sub>2</sub>	0.225% Origanox™ + 0.45% Ascorbic Acid	51.34 <sup>a,y</sup>	48.30 <sup>fg,y</sup>	43.89 <sup>ghi,z</sup>
U-low-O <sub>2</sub>	1.25% Ascorbic Acid	50.59 <sup>abc,y</sup>	49.85 <sup>defg,y</sup>	44.20 <sup>ghi,z</sup>
U-low-O <sub>2</sub>	1.875% Ascorbic Acid	51.06 <sup>ab,y</sup>	50.71 <sup>cde,y</sup>	45.67 <sup>efg,z</sup>
U-low-O <sub>2</sub>	2.50% Ascorbic Acid	50.67 <sup>ab,y</sup>	49.51 <sup>defg,y</sup>	44.28 <sup>fg,z</sup>
U-low-O <sub>2</sub>	Control	51.20 <sup>ab,y</sup>	49.15 <sup>efg,y</sup>	45.12 <sup>efg,y</sup>

<sup>a,b,c,d,e,f</sup>Means having different superscripts within columns differ (P<0.05).

<sup>x,y,z</sup>Means having different superscripts within rows differ (P<0.05).

High-O<sub>2</sub> = High-oxygen modified atmosphere packaging (MAP).

PVC = Polyvinyl chloride overwrap trays.

U-Low-O<sub>2</sub> = Ultra-low oxygen MAP.

## INDEX OF KEY WORDS

Indexer's note: The numbers refer to the first page of each article that uses the listed key word.

- Altrenogest (14)  
Antibiotics (32, 36, 41)  
Antimicrobials (25)  
Antioxidant (182)  
BIOSAF (25)  
Bone Color (182)  
Chromium (41)  
Chromium Propionate (154)  
Chromium Tripicolinate (154)  
Compensatory Gain (108)  
DDGS (132)  
Digestibility (51)  
Energy (118)  
Estrous Synchronization (14)  
Extruded Soy Protein Concentrate (64)  
Feed Intake (132)  
Feed Segregation (172)  
Finishing Pigs (90, 101, 126)  
Growing Pigs (78)  
Growth (32, 64, 143)  
Heart Girth (17)  
Insulin-like Growth Factor (5)  
Insulin-like Growth Factor  
    Binding Protein (5)  
L-carnitine (5, 41, 158)  
Lysine (68, 78, 90, 94, 101, 108, 118)  
Meat and Bone Meal (126)  
Methionine (101)  
Mixer Efficiency (172, 177)  
Modified Atmosphere Packaging (182)  
Myoblasts (5)  
NEFA (154)  
Neomycin (25)  
Neomycin/Oxytetracycline (29)  
Nursery Pigs (23, 25, 29, 32, 59, 68,  
    94, 118)  
Oregano (29)  
Oxytetracycline (25)  
Pantothenic Acid (139, 143)  
Particle Size (177)  
Paylean (101)  
Prediction Equations (17)  
Probiotic (36)  
Protein Sources (47)  
Ractopamine HCl (143, 158)  
Rice Protein Concentrate (51)  
Salmon Protein Hydrolysate (51)  
Salt (177)  
Sows (17)  
Spray-dried Animal Plasma (51, 59)  
Sulfur Amino Acids (101)  
Synthetic Amino Acids (47)  
Threonine (68, 78)  
TSAA (90, 94)  
Vitamin (139, 143)  
Wean Time (23)  
Weanling Pigs (36, 41, 47, 64)  
Weight (17)  
Whey Protein Concentrate (51, 59)



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Appreciation is expressed to these organizations for assisting with swine research at Kansas State University.

ADM Animal Health and Nutrition, Des Moines, IA	Lonza, Inc., Fair Lawn, NJ
Anjinomoto Heartland LLC, Chicago, IL	N & N Farms, St. George, KS
Biomim America, Inc., San Antonio, TX	National Pork Board, Des Moines, IA
Chr. Hansen Biosystems, Milwaukee, WI	New Horizon Farms, Pipestone, MN
Eichman Farms, St. George, KS	North Central Kansas Processors, Washington, KS
Elanco, Indianapolis, IN	Novus International, St. Louis, MO
Exseed Genetics, LLC, Owensboro, KY	Phibro Animal Health, Fairfield, NJ
Hill's Pet Nutrition Inc., Topeka, KS	PIC USA, Franklin, KY
Independent Salt, Kanopolis, KS	Pipestone System, Pipestone, MN
International Quality Ingredients, The Netherlands	Purco, Pipestone, MN
Kansas Pork Association, Manhattan, KS	Saf Agri, Minneapolis, MN
Kansas Swine Alliance, Abilene, KS	Soda Feed Ingredients, Dublin, Ireland
Keesecker Agri Business, Washington, KS	Steven Cox Associates, Long Island, KS
Kemin Industries, Des Moines, IA	The Solae Company, St. Louis, MO
Key Milling, Clay Center, KS	Trans-Agra, Storm Lake, IA
Kyodo Shiryo, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan	United Feeds, Sheridan, IN
Livestock and Meat Industry Council, Manhattan, KS	Zenith Project, Geneseo, KS
	Zephyr Project, Geneseo, KS

We especially appreciate the assistance and dedication of Crystal Groesbeck, Mark Nelson, Lyle Figgy, Robert Beckley, Eldo Heller, and Theresa Rathbun.

We gratefully acknowledge Lois Domek for editorial assistance, Valerie Stillwell for word processing, and Fred Anderson and Bob Holcombe for cover design for this publication.

### Swine Industry Day Committee

Jim Nelssen, Chairman	
Duane Davis	Joel DeRouchey
Steve Dritz	Mike Tokach
Bob Goodband	Joe Hancock

Contribution No. 05-113-S from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station.