

1978

Perennial Ryegrasses for Turf

R. N. Carrow

John C. Pair

Larry D. Leuthold

R. A. Keen

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr>

Recommended Citation

Carrow, R. N.; Pair, John C.; Leuthold, Larry D.; Keen, R. A.; and Vanderlip, R. L. (1978) "Perennial Ryegrasses for Turf," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 0: Iss. 12. <https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.7326>

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 1978 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Perennial Ryegrasses for Turf

Keywords


Keeping up with research; 41 (Oct. 1978); Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station contribution; no. 78-423-s; Perennial ryegrass; Ryegrass; Turf

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](#).

Authors

R. N. Carrow, John C. Pair, Larry D. Leuthold, R. A. Keen, and R. L. Vanderlip



Keeping
Up With
Research

52

September 1981

PERENNIAL RYEGRASSES FOR TURF

R.N. Carrow, J.C. Pair, L.D. Leuthold,
and R.A. Keen¹

Use of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) has increased in Kansas in the past few years. Until the early 1970's the available perennial ryegrass cultivars were not well adapted for fine turf. Turfgrass breeders have now developed cultivars with improved shoot density, mowing ease, finer leaf texture, slower shoot growth rate, and tolerances to heat, cold, and drought stresses.

Currently improved cultivars of perennial ryegrasses are recommended for these fine turf uses: quick cover when the turf is lost from an injury; periodic overseeding of athletic fields and golf course tees during the playing season so long as the ryegrass is not the dominant species; and in seed mixtures with Kentucky bluegrass to promote rapid establishment. If the perennial ryegrass is for temporary cover only, then nonturf types may be used.

Perennial ryegrasses are not recommended for use alone, because, when injured, they have poor recuperative potential. Also, they commonly deteriorate from brown patch, *Pythium*, *Helminthosporium* leaf spot, snow molds, high temperature, and drought injuries.

¹ Research Horticulturist, Research Horticulturist, Extension Horticulturist, and Research Horticulturist, respectively.

Table 4. Quality ratings for perennial ryegrass varieties, Manhattan, 1977 to 1980.

Cultivar ^b	Quality rating ^a				
	1980 Ave.	1979 Ave.	1978 Ave.	1977 Ave.	4-year Ave.
Citation	7.6	8.1	8.1	8.3	8.0
Derby	7.6	8.2	7.8	8.4	8.0
Diplomat	7.2	8.2	7.9	8.2	7.9
Yorktown	7.4	7.8	8.0	7.9	7.8
Manhattan	7.2	7.9	7.3	8.0	7.6
Pennfine	6.8	7.7	7.8	8.0	7.6
Regal	7.1	7.9	7.6	7.1	7.4
Springfield	6.8	6.7	6.8	7.2	6.9
KO-15	6.6	7.1	7.0	7.1	6.9
Wendy	6.8	7.1	6.7	6.6	6.8
Epic	6.7	7.0	6.6	6.5	6.7
KO-12	6.4	6.7	6.3	7.4	6.7
KO-13	7.0	6.8	6.5	6.6	6.7
Pelo	6.8	6.8	6.4	7.0	6.7
NK-200	6.4	6.7	6.5	6.4	6.5
Angela	6.5	6.5	6.0	6.6	6.4
Tolbert	6.0	6.9	6.6	6.3	6.4
Cropper	6.2	6.5	5.9	6.7	6.3

^aVisual quality rating: 9 = ideal turf, 6.5 = acceptable, 1 = no live.
Based on density, color, uniformity.

^bCultivars mowed at 2.0 inches.

Table 5. Disease and cutting quality ratings for perennial ryegrass varieties, Manhattan, 1977 to 1980.

Cultivar	Brown patch ^a	Hel. leaf spot ^a	Cutting quality ^b	
	June 77	Aug. 80	Oct. 77	May 79
Citation	0	2.5	8.3	6.8
Derby	0	1.8	8.0	6.8
Diplomat	0.3	2.0	8.3	7.8
Yorktown	0.3	2.2	7.5	7.8
Manhattan	0.3	2.5	7.5	7.8
Pennfine	0.3	3.2	8.0	3.9
Regal	1.3	1.7	8.7	7.9
Springfield	1.0	2.3	6.2	4.9
KO-15	0.3	2.3	7.3	7.8
Wendy	1.7	2.5	7.0	6.0
Epic	1.0	2.7	7.0	7.4
KO-12	0.3	3.0	7.2	6.5
KO-13	1.3	2.3	6.2	4.9
Pelo	0.7	2.5	6.3	3.9
NK-200	1.3	2.5	5.7	3.3
Angela	1.0	2.0	6.2	4.2
Tolbert	1.7	2.8	6.8	6.0
Cropper	1.0	2.3	6.3	2.0
LSD (.05) =				
	0.9	1.3	1.1	1.1

^aDisease ratings: 0 = none, 2 = moderate, 5 = kill of 80 to 100% of the plants by the disease.

^bCutting quality: 9 = less than 10% leaves frayed after mowing, 5 = 50% leaves frayed, 1 = 90% + leaves frayed.

Agricultural Experiment Station
Kansas State University
Manhattan 66506



Keeping Up With Research 52 September 1981
Publications and public meetings by the Kansas
Agricultural Experiment Station

Cultivars were evaluated at two Kansas sites: the Horticulture Research Center in Wichita (USDA hardiness zone 6 with a fine sandy loam soil pH 6.5-6.8), and the Rocky Ford Research Area at Manhattan (zone 5 with a silty clay loam of pH 7.1-7.4).

Wichita trial 1973 to 1978. Six cultivars were evaluated under medium to high maintenance. Fertilization was 4.0 lbs N/1000 sq ft per year. Clippings were returned after mowing at 2.5 inches, and irrigation was to prevent excessive drought stress. Pennturf had the best quality, but none of the six cultivars consistently exhibited an acceptable visual quality of 6.5 expected of a high maintenance turf (Table 1). Quality ratings of all ryegrasses were substantially reduced by the hot, dry 1978 growing conditions.

Table 1. Visual quality and disease ratings for perennial ryegrass varieties, Wichita, 1973 to 1978.

Cultivar	Visual quality ^a			Disease ratings ^b
	1977	1978	6-year Average	
Pennturf	7.5	5.0	6.2	— —
Pennfine	6.5	3.5	5.9	— —
Manhattan	6.2	4.3	5.7	R
Lynn	6.0	7.0	5.6	— —
Sceempter	4.5	5.0	5.1	DS,L
Common	4.3	3.5	4.8	DS,R

^aVisual quality rating: 9 = ideal turf, 6.5 = acceptable, 1 = no live turf. Based on density, color, uniformity.

^bModerate to severe disease infection was observed for the diseases listed. DS = dollar spot, L = leaf spot, R = stem rust.

Manhattan trial 1971 to 1976. In 1971 nine cultivars were established under a mowing height of 2.0 inches with clippings returned. Nitrogen was applied at 3.0 lbs N/1000 sq ft per year and excessive stress was avoided by irrigation.

Pennfine and Pennturf had very good quality turf; Pelo, Manhattan, and NK-100, acceptable quality (Table 2). Early spring greenup was best for Pennfine.

Manhattan trial 1977 to 1980. Eighteen perennial ryegrass cultivars were seeded in the fall of 1977.

Table 2. Visual quality ratings and spring greenup for perennial ryegrass varieties, Manhattan, 1971 to 1976.

Cultivar ^b	Visual quality ^a							Spring greenup
	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	Ave.	3/72
Pennfine	8.0	8.5	8.0	8.5	8.1	6.4	7.9	8.0
Pennturf	8.0	7.9	8.5	8.0	7.3	6.4	7.7	7.5
Pelo	7.3	7.6	6.5	7.5	5.8	5.8	6.8	7.5
Manhattan	—	7.3	5.0	5.5	7.0	6.6	6.6	—
NK-100	7.1	6.4	6.0	6.0	6.4	7.3	6.5	6.5
Lynn	7.0	7.3	5.0	6.0	5.4	5.7	6.1	7.5
Sceempter	6.8	7.1	5.5	5.5	5.4	6.2	6.1	7.0
Barenza	—	6.0	5.5	6.0	5.9	5.6	5.8	—
Common	7.3	7.5	5.5	5.0	5.3	4.1	5.8	7.0

^aVisual quality rating: 9 = ideal turf, 6.5 = acceptable, 1 = no live turf. Based on density, color, uniformity.

^bCultivars mowed at 2.0 inches.

year with irrigation as needed to avoid excessive stress. Cutting heights were 1.0 inch (for golf course tees, athletic fields) and 2.0 inches (for home lawns) with clippings returned.

At the 1.0-inch mowing height, performance was outstanding for Citation and Diplomat. Derby, Yorktown, Manhattan, and Pennfine did very well (Table 3). When maintained at 2.0 inches, Citation, Derby, Diplomat, and Yorktown were outstanding (Table 4). Manhattan and Pennfine exhibited very good quality.

Only brown patch (*Rhizoctonia solani*) and leaf spot (*Helminthosporium* spp.) caused serious disease problems. Tolbert and Wendy had the greatest brown patch infection (Table 5). All cultivars except Derby and Regal exhibited at least moderate leaf spot infection. Most susceptible were Pennfine and KO-12.

Mowing quality is of particular concern for perennial ryegrasses. The tough, fibrous veins often result in a ragged cut that reduces the stand's visual quality. These cultivars had good mowing qualities: Regal, Diplomat, Manhattan, Yorktown, KO-15, Epic (Table 5).

SUMMARY

Perennial ryegrass cultivars varied widely in performance. Cultivars with outstanding visual quality were Citation and Diplomat. Derby, Yorktown, Manhattan, and Pennfine performed very

Table 3. Quality ratings for perennial ryegrass varieties, Manhattan, 1977 to 1980.

Cultivar ^b	Quality rating ^a				
	1980 Ave.	1979 Ave.	1978 Ave.	1977 Ave.	4-year Ave.
Citation	7.6	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.0
Derby	7.2	7.9	7.7	8.1	7.7
Diplomat	7.4	8.2	7.9	8.0	7.9
Yorktown	7.6	7.8	7.8	7.6	7.7
Manhattan	7.7	7.7	7.1	7.8	7.6
Pennfine	7.3	7.8	7.7	7.7	7.6
Regal	6.9	7.7	7.3	6.5	7.1
Springfield	6.8	6.7	6.5	6.7	6.6
KO-15	6.7	6.9	6.7	6.7	6.7
Wendy	7.0	6.8	6.4	6.3	6.6
Epic	6.8	6.8	6.3	5.8	6.4
KO-12	6.4	6.6	6.1	7.2	6.6
KO-13	6.9	6.5	6.3	6.1	6.4
Pelo	7.1	6.6	6.3	6.6	6.6
NK-200	6.2	6.5	6.0	6.0	6.2
Angela	6.7	6.2	6.1	6.3	6.3
Tolbert	6.5	6.7	6.4	5.8	6.3
Cropper	6.4	5.9	5.6	6.2	6.0

^aVisual quality rating: 9 = ideal turf, 6.5 = acceptable, 1 = no live turf. Based on density, color, uniformity.