Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 4 Issue 6 Turfgrass Research

Article 11

2018

2012 National Turfgrass Evaluation Program Tall Fescue Test: 2017 Data

Linda R. Parsons Kansas State University, lparsons@ksu.edu

Michael J. Shelton Kansas State University, mshelton@ksu.edu

Megan M. Kennelly Kansas State University, kennelly@ksu.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr



Part of the Horticulture Commons

Recommended Citation

Parsons, Linda R.; Shelton, Michael J.; Kennelly, Megan M.; Griffin, Jason J.; and Hoyle, Jared A. (2018) "2012 National Turfgrass Evaluation Program Tall Fescue Test: 2017 Data," Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports: Vol. 4: Iss. 6. https://doi.org/10.4148/2378-5977.7601

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2018 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



2012 National Turfgrass Evaluation Program Tall Fescue Test: 2017 Data

Cover Page Footnote

Contribution number 18-630-S. This research was sponsored by a grant from the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program.

Authors

Linda R. Parsons, Michael J. Shelton, Megan M. Kennelly, Jason J. Griffin, and Jared A. Hoyle





JULY 2018

2012 National Turfgrass Evaluation Program Tall Fescue Test: 2017 Data

Linda R. Parsons, Michael J. Shelton, Megan M. Kennelly, Jason J. Griffin, and Jared A. Hoyle

Summary

Research efforts to improve cultivar quality include selecting for disease resistance and stress tolerance as well as finer leaf texture, a rich green color, and better sward density. Several cultivars included in the 2012 National Turfgrass Evaluation Program Tall Fescue Test performed well and showed good brown patch resistance in south central Kansas during the 2017 growing season.

Rationale

The National Turfgrass Evaluation Program (NTEP) locates studies nationwide to evaluate cultivars of a variety of turfgrass species under all types of environmental conditions. Wichita, KS, was selected for an ancillary trial of the 2012 National Tall Fescue Test, emphasizing brown patch resistance. Tall fescue is the best-adapted cool-season turfgrass for Kansas's transition zone because it is drought and heat tolerant and has few serious insect and disease problems. Efforts to improve cultivar quality include selecting for disease resistance and stress tolerance, as well as finer leaf texture, a rich green color, and better sward density.

Objective

The objective of this research was to evaluate tall fescue cultivars for brown patch resistance and general quality under south central Kansas growing conditions and submit data collected to the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program.

K-STATE Research and Extension

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

View all turfgrass research reports online at: http://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr



Study Description

On September 11, 2012, we seeded 116 tall fescue cultivars and experimental lines at the John C. Pair Horticultural Center in Wichita, KS. The study was established in a randomized complete block design with three replications that comprised 348 individual plots measuring 5×5 feet. Before seeding, we incorporated nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) as a 13-13-13 fertilizer into the study plots at a rate of 1 lb of N-P-K/1,000 ft². During 2017, we fertilized the plots with urea on March 9 and October 2 at 1.0 lb of N/1,000 ft². We applied a Prodiamine and Pendimethalin tank mix pre-emergent herbicide on March 20 and Dylox insecticide for white grubs on July 20. We mowed weekly during the growing season at a mowing height of 3.0–3.5 inches and dropped clippings. We irrigated when necessary to prevent turf stress or dormancy.

We rated the study visually on a scale of 1 to 9 with 1 = poorest measure, 6 = acceptable, and 9 = optimum measure. We rated percent brown patch infestation visually on a scale of 0 to 100%. During 2017, we collected data on spring green up on March 31, leaf texture on June 6, percent brown patch infestation on August 23, and fall color retention on November 30. We rated overall quality on April 25, May 30, June 28, July 18, August 29, September 28, and October 25. Quality ratings were influenced by degree of cover, disease resistance, and weed infestation as well as turf color, texture, and density.

Results

We started the 2017 growing season by looking at spring green up when the overall study visually appeared to be about 50% green. The varieties that broke dormancy the earliest were MET 1, MET 6 SEL (Bloodhound), and PPG-TF-135 (Table 1). Throughout the growing season, which ran from March–October, we rated the turf monthly for quality. IS-TF 308 SEL and PPG-TF-152 (Titanium 2LS) were the average best performers for the year. At mid-August, we rated the plots for percent brown patch infestation. We found that LTP-FSD (Leonardo) and SRX-TPC (Rowdy) showed the greatest resistance to brown patch. We looked at turf texture and found that CCR2 (Amity), PPG-TF-150 (Black Tail), and W45 (Traverse 2 SRP) had the finest texture. At the end of the year, when the overall study visually appeared to still be about 50% green, we looked at turf color for fall color retention. We found that MET 1 and MET 6 SEL (Bloodhound) were the greenest.

Complete 2012 National Tall Fescue Test results and more information on NTEP can be found online at: http://www.ntep.org/.

Acknowledgment

This research was sponsored by a grant from the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program.



Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service



Table 1. 2017 performance of tall fescue cultivars at Wichita, KS^{1,2}

-			%	Fall	
	Spring	Leaf	Brown	color	
Cultivar/	green up	texture	patch	retention	Quality
experimental number	3/31	6/6	8/23	11/30	average
IS-TF 308 SEL	5.0	6.7	20.0	4.7	5.9
*PPG-TF-152 (Titanium 2LS) ³	5.7	6.0	25.0	4.3	5.7
*PPG-TF-137 (Paramount)	6.0	6.7	18.3	4.7	5.6
PPG-TF-135	6.3	6.0	21.7	4.7	5.6
MET 1	6.7	7.0	23.3	5.7	5.6
PSG-PO1	5.3	7.0	25.0	5.0	5.6
*DB1 (Kingdom)	4.0	5.7	25.0	5.0	5.6
*LTP-FSD (Leonardo)	5.7	5.7	16.7	5.0	5.6
*DZ1 (Temple)	5.0	6.7	18.3	5.0	5.6
*LTP-F5DPDR (Michelangelo)	5.3	5.7	18.3	4.7	5.6
*Pick-W43 (Rebounder)	5.7	6.7	20.0	4.7	5.6
*Burl TF-2 (GTO)	5.3	6.0	21.7	4.7	5.6
*ZW 44 (Raptor III)	6.0	6.7	21.7	4.3	5.6
PPG-TF-139 (Xtender)	6.0	6.0	23.3	4.7	5.6
*T31 (Maestro)	6.0	6.0	25.0	4.7	5.6
*U45 (Reflection)	6.0	6.7	26.7	5.3	5.6
*LTP-TWUU (Rockwell)	5.7	6.7	18.3	5.0	5.5
*W45 (Traverse 2 SRP)	5.7	7.3	21.7	4.0	5.5
JS 916	5.3	6.3	23.3	4.7	5.5
*IS-TF 307 SEL (Houndog8)	5.7	6.3	23.3	4.7	5.5
*PPG-TF-150 (Black Tail)	6.0	7.3	25.0	4.7	5.5
BIZEM	5.7	6.7	25.0	4.3	5.5
Regenerate	5.7	6.7	26.7	5.0	5.5
K12-05	5.0	6.3	20.0	3.0	5.4
PPG-TF-169	5.7	6.0	21.7	5.3	5.4
F711	6.0	6.3	23.3	4.7	5.4
*IS-TF 291 (Fayette)	4.7	6.3	26.7	4.3	5.4
*MET 6 SEL (Bloodhound)	6.3	6.3	26.7	5.7	5.4
*Firebird 2	6.0	5.7	26.7	4.3	5.4
*Grande 3	5.3	6.0	20.0	5.3	5.3
*Burl TF-136 (Hot Rod)	5.7	7.0	21.7	5.3	5.3
*PPG-TF-172 (Valkyrie LS)	6.0	7.0	23.3	4.3	5.3
IS-TF 311	5.3	6.0	25.0	4.3	5.3
					,

K-STATE Research and Extension

Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service

continued





Table 1. 2017 performance of tall fescue cultivars at Wichita, KS^{1,2}

Table 1. 2017 performance of a			%	Fall	
	Spring	Leaf	Brown	color	
Cultivar/	green up	texture	patch	retention	Quality
experimental number	3/31	6/6	8/23	11/30	average
*IS-TF 305 SEL (Rhizing	4.3	6.0	25.0	4.3	5.3
Moon)		- 0	27.0	/ 0	
*PSG-WE1 (Firewall)	6.0	7.0	25.0	4.3	5.3
IS-TF 276 M2	5.0	5.3	25.0	5.0	5.3
PPG-TF-115	4.7	5.3	25.0	5.0	5.3
ATF 1754	6.0	6.3	26.7	5.3	5.3
*SRX-TPC (Rowdy)	5.7	5.7	16.7	5.0	5.3
*Falcon V	4.7	5.7	21.7	5.3	5.3
TF-287	4.7	5.7	21.7	4.0	5.3
*Hemi	4. 7	6.3	23.3	5.0	5.3
*RZ2 (Technique)	5.7	6.7	26.7	5.0	5.3
*PPG-TF-170 (Supersonic)	6.0	6.0	28.3	5.0	5.3
ATF 1612	5.7	6.7	31.7	4.0	5.3
PST-5MVD	5.3	5.7	18.3	4.7	5.2
*Burl TF-69 (Hover)	5.0	6.3	21.7	4.7	5.2
*IS-TF 310 SEL (Crossfire 4)	4.7	7.0	23.3	4.7	5.2
*PPG-TF-156 (Avenger II)	6.0	6.0	28.3	4.3	5.2
ATF 1704	5.7	5.7	28.3	5.3	5.2
PPG-TF-138	5.0	5.7	28.3	4.3	5.2
*PST-5RO5 (Swagger)	4.7	6.0	18.3	4.7	5.2
*PPG-TF-145 (Dynamite LS)	4.7	5.7	18.3	4.0	5.2
*PPG-TF-147 (Screamer LS)	5.7	7.0	23.3	4.7	5.2
Terrano	5.7	5.7	23.3	4.3	5.2
W41	5.7	6.7	23.3	5.3	5.2
PST-5BPO	5.7	5.7	25.0	4.0	5.2
*PPG-TF-157 (Thor)	5.7	6.0	26.7	5.3	5.2
*TD1 (Thunderstruck)	5.3	6.0	33.3	4.3	5.2
*Catalyst	5.7	6.3	33.3	4.3	5.2
*IS-TF 285 (Nightcrawler)	4.7	6.0	35.0	4.3	5.2
*PPG-TF-105 (Firecracker SLS)	6.0	5.7	20.0	4.7	5.1
*IS-TF 284 M2 (Foxhound)	4.0	6.7	25.0	4.7	5.1
*Faith	6.0	5.7	25.0	4.7	5.1
RAD-TF-89	5.3	6.0	26.7	4.7	5.1
*LSD (Rhambler 2 SRP)	4.3	6.3	28.3	4.7	5.1
	1.0	0.5	20.5	±•/	J. 1

K-STATE Research and Extension

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

continued





Table 1. 2017 performance of tall fescue cultivars at Wichita, KS^{1,2}

			%	Fall	
	Spring	Leaf	Brown	color	
Cultivar/	green up	texture	patch	retention	Quality
experimental number	3/31	6/6	8/23	11/30	average
*Bullseye	5.0	6.0	30.0	4.7	5.1
PST-5BRK	5.3	5.7	25.0	4.3	5.1
*U43 (4th Millennium SRP)	5.3	6.7	25.0	4.7	5.1
*CCR2 (Amity)	5.7	7.3	26.7	5.3	5.1
MET-3	5.3	6.0	26.7	4.7	5.1
PST-5DZP	4.0	5.7	30.0	4.3	5.1
*PST-5SALT (Saltillo)	5.7	5.7	31.7	4.0	5.1
PST-5EV2 (Embrace)	5.3	6.7	25.0	4.3	5.0
*IS-TF 282 M2 (Turfway)	4.7	6.0	25.0	5.0	5.0
*PPG-TF-142 (Ares)	4.3	5.7	30.0	4.0	5.0
ATF 1736	5.0	5.3	23.3	4.0	5.0
PSG-GSD	5.0	6.0	25.0	4.3	5.0
PST-5GRB (Meridan)	4.7	7.0	25.0	4.3	5.0
IS-TF 269 SEL	5.0	6.7	26.7	5.0	5.0
*IS-TF 289 (Unitus)	4.3	6.3	30.0	4.7	5.0
*PPG-TF-151 (Wichita)	5.7	5.7	30.0	5.0	5.0
*S-TF 330 (Diablo)	4.7	5.3	30.0	4.3	5.0
JS 818	4.3	6.0	31.7	3.7	5.0
B23	5.7	6.3	31.7	4.0	5.0
*PST-R5NW (Inspiration)	4.3	4.7	21.7	4.3	4.9
BAR Fa 121089	4.7	5.0	26.7	4.3	4.9
K12-MCD	5.0	6.3	26.7	4.3	4.9
JS 819	4.7	5.3	33.3	4.3	4.9
*OR-21 (Temptation)	4.0	5.0	26.7	4. 7	4.9
RAD-TF-92	5.7	6.0	30.0	4.7	4.9
*Exp TF-09 (Frontline)	5.3	5.7	41.7	3.7	4.9
K12-13	4.0	6.0	23.3	3.7	4.8
BAR Fa 121091	4.3	5.3	25.0	4.0	4.8
*Falcon IV	4.3	5.0	31.7	4.3	4.8
RAD-TF-83	5.0	5.7	33.3	4.7	4.8
*RAD-TF-88 (Olympus)	5.0	6.3	36.7	5.0	4.8
BAR Fa 121095	4.3	6.0	28.3	3.7	4.8
Fesnova	5.0	6.0	31.7	5.0	4.8
JS 825	4.3	5.3	35.0	4.0	4.8
J3 02)	7.3).5	33.0	7.0	1.0

K-STATE
Research and Extension

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

continued





Table 1. 2017 performance of tall fescue cultivars at Wichita, KS^{1,2}

-			%	Fall	
	Spring	Leaf	Brown	color	
Cultivar/	green up	texture	patch	retention	Quality
experimental number	3/31	6/6	8/23	11/30	average
PST-5EX2	6.0	4.7	18.3	4.0	4.7
*PST-5SDT (Rain Dance)	4.7	4.3	28.3	4.3	4.7
JS 809	3.7	5.7	30.0	3.3	4.7
*GO-DFR (Memphis)	4.3	5.3	33.3	4.7	4.6
*TY 10 (Caesar)	4.7	5.7	33.3	4.3	4.6
PSG-TT4	4.0	6.0	36.7	4.3	4.6
204 Res. Blk4	5.3	6.7	41.7	4.0	4.6
*Aquaduct	4.0	4.3	28.3	4.3	4.5
IS-TF 272	4.0	6.3	30.0	4.3	4.5
PSG-8BP2	4.3	6.0	43.3	3.3	4.5
*Marauder	4.0	6.3	40.0	3.0	4.3
Comp. Res. SST	4.7	6.0	41.7	3.7	4.3
BAR Fa 120878	4.0	4.0	23.3	4.3	4.2
*Warhawk	3.7	6.0	36.7	4.7	4.2
*Annihilator	4.0	6.0	48.3	3.3	4.0
*Ky-31	5.0	3.0	25.0	3.7	3.0
LSD ⁴	1.7	1.0	18.2	1.4	0.5

¹Visual ratings based on a scale of 1 to 9 (1 = poorest, 6 = acceptable, and 9 = optimum measure).



Kansas State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
and Cooperative Extension Service



²Percent brown patch infestation was rated visually on a scale of 0 to 100%.

³Cultivars marked with "*" were commercially available in 2017.

⁴To determine statistical differences among entries, subtract one entry's mean from another's. If the result is larger than the corresponding least significant difference (LSD) value, the two are statistically different.