Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 4	
Issue 9 Swine Day	

Article 23

2018

Effects of Sodium and Chloride Source and Concentration on 15to 25-lb Nursery Pig Growth Performance

D. J. Shawk Kansas State University, Manhattan, dshawk@ksu.edu

M. D. Tokach Kansas State University, Manhattan, mtokach@k-state.edu

J. C. Woodworth Kansas State University, Manhattan, jwoodworth@k-state.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr

Part of the Other Animal Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Shawk, D. J.; Tokach, M. D.; Woodworth, J. C.; Goodband, R. D.; Dritz, S. S.; and DeRouchey, J. M. (2018) "Effects of Sodium and Chloride Source and Concentration on 15- to 25-lb Nursery Pig Growth Performance," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 4: Iss. 9. https://doi.org/ 10.4148/2378-5977.7671

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2018 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Effects of Sodium and Chloride Source and Concentration on 15- to 25-lb Nursery Pig Growth Performance

Authors

D. J. Shawk, M. D. Tokach, J. C. Woodworth, R. D. Goodband, S. S. Dritz, and J. M. DeRouchey



SWINE DAY 2018



Effects of Sodium and Chloride Source and Concentration on 15- to 25-lb Nursery Pig Growth Performance

D.J. Shawk, M.D. Tokach, J.C. Woodworth, R.D. Goodband, S.S. Dritz,¹ and J.M. DeRouchey

Summary

A total of 360 barrows (initially 15.6 lb; Line 200×400 ; DNA, Columbus, NE) were used in a 21-day trial to determine effects of source and concentration of dietary Na and Cl on nursery pig growth performance. Upon entry to the nursery, pigs were randomly allotted by body weight and fed a common starter diet (0.33% Na and 0.76% Cl) for 8 days. On day 8 after weaning, considered day 0 in the trial, pens were blocked by body weight and randomly assigned to 1 of 6 dietary treatments that were fed from day 0 to 14. Experimental treatments included two added salt diets (providing 0.13% Na and 0.35% Cl or 0.35% Na and 0.68% Cl), three diets with Na and Cl provided by NaHCO, and KCl (0.13, 0.35, or 0.57% Na and 0.50% Cl), or a diet with NaHCO, and CaCl₂ (0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl). From day 0 to 14, average daily gain (ADG) and average daily feed intake (ADFI) improved (quadratic, P < 0.05) as dietary Na concentration increased from 0.13 to 0.35%, with no further benefits observed thereafter. Day 14 body weight tended (P < 0.089) to increase as dietary Na concentration increased from 0.13 to 0.35%, with no further benefits observed thereafter. Feed efficiency (F/G)was not influenced by the dietary Na concentration. There was no evidence to indicate differences in growth performance due to Na or Cl source. From day 14 to 21 when pigs were fed a common diet, compensatory gain was observed with pigs previously fed low Na diets having increased (linear, P < 0.05) ADG and improved F/G compared with pigs previously fed higher Na diets regardless of Na source. Previous source and concentration of Cl did not affect subsequent ADG. In conclusion, growth performance improved up to the Na concentration of 0.35% regardless of the dietary source of the Na and Cl ions.

Introduction

Sodium and Cl are common electrolytes found in the body and serve several roles such as acid base balance and electrolyte balance. In two separate studies, in which Na and Cl were independently evaluated, Mahan et al.² observed improvements in ADG up to a

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

¹Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas State University.

²Mahan, D. C., E. A. Newton, and K. R. Cera. 1996. Effect of supplemental sodium chloride, sodium phosphate, or hydrochloric acid in starter pig diets containing dried whey. J. Anim. Sci. 74:1217-1222. doi:10.2527/1996.7461217x

SWINE DAY 2018

dietary Na and Cl concentration of 0.34 and 0.50% in diets containing dried whey and added HCl and Na_2PO_4 . Based on these studies and others, the NRC³ estimated the requirement for 15- to 24-lb pigs to be 0.35% and 0.45% for Na and Cl, respectively. More recently, Shawk et al.⁴ observed improvements in ADG with up to 0.60% added salt. Typically, the Na and Cl requirement of nursery pigs is established through added salt. The challenge with added salt is that Na and Cl are not independently evaluated. However, there is limited research available to determine if the source of the Na and Cl ions influences the requirement. Therefore, the objective of this experiment was to evaluate the effects of source and concentration of Na and Cl on the growth performance of nursery pigs weighing 15 to 25 lb.

Procedures

The Kansas State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved the protocol used in this experiment. The study was conducted at the Kansas State University Segregated Early Weaning Facility in Manhattan, KS. Each pen $(4 \times 4 \text{ ft})$ was equipped with a 4-hole, dry self-feeder and a nipple waterer to provide *ad libitum* access to feed and water. A total of 360 maternal line barrows (initially 15.6 lb; Line 200×400 ; DNA, Columbus, NE) were used in a 21-day growth trial. Pigs were weaned at 21 days of age and placed into the nursery. Pigs were randomly allotted to pens of 5 based on initial body weight. A common diet (0.33% Na and 0.77% Cl) was then fed for 8 days after weaning. On day 8 after weaning, considered day 0 in the trial, pens of pigs were blocked by body weight and randomly assigned to 1 of 6 dietary treatments with 12 replications per treatment. Experimental treatments included two added salt diets (providing 0.13% Na and 0.35% Cl or 0.35% Na and 0.68% Cl), three diets with Na and Cl provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl (0.13, 0.35, or 0.57% Na and 0.50% Cl), or a diet with NaHCO₂ and CaCl₂ (0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl). Experimental diets were fed for 14 days with a common diet (0.28% Na and 0.50% Cl) fed from day 14 to 21. Pens of pigs were weighed and feed disappearance was recorded every 7 days to determine ADG, ADFI, and F/G.

All experimental diets were manufactured at the Kansas State University O.H. Kruse Feed Technology Innovation Center, Manhattan, KS. Prior to manufacturing treatment diets, dried whey samples were collected at the mill, pooled, subsampled, and submitted for Na and Cl analysis (Cumberland Valley Analytical Service, Maugansville, MD). Nutrient values used in diet formulation were derived from NRC (2012)³ with the exception of Na and Cl in soybean meal and dried whey. Analyzed Na and Cl values for dried whey and NRC (1998)⁵ Na and Cl values for soybean meal were used in diet formulation. Dietary treatments were corn-soybean meal-based with dried whey and were fed in meal form (Table 1). Sand was replaced by salt, KCl, CaCl₂, or NaHCO₃ to create the treatment diets. Diet samples were collected from 8 feeders per dietary treatment, subsampled, and submitted to Cumberland Valley Analytical Service, (Maugansville, MD) for analysis of Na and Cl and to Kansas State University Analytical Laboratory (Manhattan, KS) for dry matter and crude protein.

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service

³NRC. 2012. Nutrient requirements of swine. 11th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC. ⁴D.J. Shawk, J.M. DeRouchey, M.D. Tokach, R.D. Goodband, S.S. Dritz , J.C. Woodworth, H. E. Williams, and A. B. Clark. 2016. Effects of increasing salt concentration for 15 to 22 lb nursery pigs. Kansas Swine Industry Day, 17-118-J. KAES Research Reports, Volume 2, Issue 8, 2016. ⁵NRC. 1998. Nutrient requirements of swine. 10th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.

SWINE DAY 2018

Data were analyzed as a randomized complete block design using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS (Version 9.3, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) with pen as the experimental unit and body weight as a blocking factor. Contrasts were used to determine the linear and quadratic response of Na concentration and inclusion of NaHCO₃ and KCl. Contrasts were used to compare the two diets with added salt. The 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl was compared to the 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and CaCl₂, and the 0.13% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl to the 0.13% Na and 0.35% Cl diet provided by added salt. Another contrast was used to compare the 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl to the 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl to the 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl to the 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl to the 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl to the 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and KCl to the 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl diet provided by NaHCO₃ and CaCl₂ and to the 0.35% Na and 0.68% Cl diet provided by added salt. Results were considered significant at $P \le 0.05$ and marginally significant between P > 0.05 and $P \le 0.10$.

Results and Discussion

Chemical analysis indicated that the dietary Na and Cl concentration of the treatment diets was similar to formulated values (Table 1).

From day 0 to 14, ADG and ADFI improved (quadratic, P < 0.05) as dietary Na concentration increased from 0.13 to 0.35%, with no further benefits observed thereafter. Day 14 BW tended (P < 0.089) to increase as dietary Na concentration increased from 0.13 to 0.35%, with no further benefits observed thereafter. Feed efficiency was not influenced by the dietary Na concentration. There was no evidence to indicate differences in growth performance due to Na or Cl source.

From day 14 to 21, when pigs were fed a common diet, compensatory gain was observed with pigs previously fed low Na diets having increased (linear, P < 0.05) ADG and improved F/G compared with pigs previously fed higher Na diets, regardless of Na source. Previous source and concentration of Cl did not affect subsequent ADG.

In conclusion, ADG was optimized with a Na concentration of 0.35% regardless of the dietary source of Na and Cl. The Na concentration of 0.35% would agree with NRC³ Na requirement estimate of 0.35%. It would also agree with the findings of Mahan et al.² who observed improvements in ADG up to a dietary Na concentration of 0.34% in diets containing dried whey with added Na_2PO_4 . Results of this trial would indicate that dietary source of the Na and Cl ions does not influence growth performance when diets are formulated to similar Na and Cl concentrations. However, it is important to note that there was no significant difference among the two added salt diets (0.13 and 0.35% Na), which would not agree with findings of Mahan et al.² in which ADG improved with up to 0.40% added salt nor Shawk et al.⁴ who observed improvements in ADG with up to 0.60% added salt.

Na sou	rce:	NaCl NaHCO ₃						
Cl sou	rce:	Na	aCl		KCl	CaCl ₂	– – Commo	
Na	, %:	0.13	0.35	0.13	0.35	0.57	0.35	Phase 3
Cl	, %:	0.35	0.68	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	diet ²
Corn		54.72	54.72	54.72	54.72	54.72	54.72	60.28
Soybean meal (48% CP) ³		23.36	23.36	23.36	23.36	23.36	23.36	34.65
Dried whey ⁴		10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	
HP 300 ⁵		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
Choice white grease		0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	1.30
Monocalcium P (21% P)		1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.15
Calcium carbonate		0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.50	0.88
L-Lysine HCl		0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.35
DL-Methionine		0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.16
L-Threonine		0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.14
L-Tryptophan		0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.00
L-Valine		0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.04
Trace mineral premix		0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Vitamin premix		0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Phytase ⁶		0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Zinc oxide		0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Sodium bicarbonate				0.18	1.00	1.80	1.00	
Potassium chloride				0.48	0.48	0.48		
Calcium chloride							0.46	
Salt		0.13	0.68					0.65
Sand		2.15	1.60	1.62	0.80		1.12	
TOTAL		100	100	100	100	100	100	100
								continuea

Table 1. Diet composition, (as-fed basis)¹

Na source:	Na	NaCl NaHCO ₃						
Cl source:	Na	ıCl		KCl	CaCl ₂	- Commoi		
Na, %:	0.13	0.35	0.13	0.35	0.57	0.35	Phase 3	
Cl, %:	0.35	0.68	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	diet ²	
Calculated analysis								
Standardized ileal digestible (SID) AA	, %							
Lysine	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.35	1.30	
Isoleucine:lysine	55	55	55	55	55	55	61	
Leucine:lysine	111	111	111	111	111	111	124	
Methionine:lysine	37	37	37	37	37	37	35	
Methionine and cystine:lysine	58	58	58	58	58	58	58	
Threonine:lysine	65	65	65	65	65	65	62	
Tryptophan:lysine	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.5	
Valine:lysine	68	68	68	68	68	68	69	
Total lysine, %	1.47	1.47	1.47	1.47	1.47	1.47	1.45	
Net energy, kcal/lb	1,110	1,110	1,110	1,110	1,110	1,110	1,112	
Crude protein, %	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5	20.5	22.1	
Calcium, %	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.7	
Phosphorus, %	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	
Available phosphorus, %	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.43	
Sodium, %	0.13	0.35	0.13	0.35	0.57	0.35	0.28	
Chloride, %	0.35	0.68	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	
Potassium, %	1.02	1.02	1.26	1.26	1.26	1.02	0.97	
Dietary electrolyte balance, mEq/kg ⁷	218	221	237	334	428	272	229	
Chemical analysis, %								
Dry matter	91.07	87.89	90.26	89.22	88.85	89.34		
Crude protein	21.52	21.71	22.44	20.88	21.01	19.73		
Na	0.18	0.39	0.19	0.40	0.60	0.39		
Cl	0.34	0.61	0.49	0.47	0.47	0.56		

Table 1. Diet composition, (as-fed basis)¹

¹Experimental diets were fed to pigs from d 8 to 22 after weaning. Sand was removed and replaced with either sodium bicarbonate, potassium chloride, salt, or calcium chloride to create the treatment diets.

²Common Phase 3 diet was fed 7 d following treatment feeding.

³Sodium and Cl values from NRC (1998) were used for soybean meal. Values for all other ingredients except for the Na and Cl values for dried whey are from NRC (2012).

⁴Dried whey was analyzed for dietary Na (0.61%) and Cl (1.37%) and analyzed values were used in formulation.

⁵Hamlet Protein, Findlay, OH.

⁶Ronozyme HiPhos 2700 (DSM Nutritional Products, Inc., Parsippany, NJ), providing 184.3 phytase units (FTU)/lb and an estimated release of 0.10% available P.

 7 Calculated as (Na × 434.98) + (K × 255.74) – (Cl × 282.06).

Na	ıCl		Na	HCO ₃										
Na	ıCl		KCl CaCl ₂				Probability, <i>P</i> < ²							
0.13	0.35	0.13	0.35	0.57	0.35		NaHCO ₃ and KCl		Na					
0.35	0.68	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	SEM	Linear	Quadratic	Linear	Quadratic	1	2	3	4
0.68	0.70	0.63	0.66	0.62	0.69	0.026	0.726	0.262	0.273	0.038	0.587	0.430	0.232	0.877
0.89	0.90	0.84	0.88	0.83	0.89	0.024	0.785	0.163	0.259	0.039	0.654	0.706	0.159	0.682
1.32	1.30	1.34	1.35	1.35	1.29	0.030	0.869	0.948	0.547	0.281	0.770	0.208	0.476	0.815
treatm	ent)													
1.22	1.07	1.19	1.12	1.09	1.14	0.032	0.021	0.554	0.002	0.159	0.001	0.549	0.420	0.115
1.67	1.51	1.55	1.56	1.53	1.60	0.042	0.629	0.634	0.090	0.743	0.007	0.537	0.049	0.124
1.37	1.41	1.31	1.40	1.41	1.41	0.028	0.012	0.193	0.042	0.200	0.378	0.963	0.115	0.998
15.6	15.6	15.6	15.6	15.6	15.6	0.169	0.601	0.955	0.797	0.998	0.846	0.684	0.549	0.831
25.1	25.4	24.4	24.9	24.5	25.3	0.398	0.887	0.390	0.568	0.089	0.617	0.403	0.206	0.907
33.8	32.9	32.8	32.7	32.1	33.3	0.485	0.319	0.663	0.036	0.516	0.137	0.328	0.093	0.511
	Na 0.13 0.35 0.68 0.89 1.32 treatm 1.22 1.67 1.37 15.6 25.1	0.35 0.68 0.68 0.70 0.89 0.90 1.32 1.30 treatment) 1.22 1.67 1.51 1.37 1.41 15.6 15.6 25.1 25.4	NaCl 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.89 0.89 0.90 0.84 1.32 1.30 1.34 treatment) 1.22 1.07 1.19 1.67 1.51 1.55 1.37 1.37 1.41 1.31 15.6 15.6 15.6 25.1 25.4 24.4	NaCl KCl 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 treatment) 1.12 1.67 1.51 1.55 1.56 1.37 1.41 1.31 1.40 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 24.4 24.9	NaClKCl 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.57 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.62 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 0.83 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 1.35 treatment) 1.22 1.07 1.19 1.12 1.09 1.67 1.51 1.55 1.56 1.53 1.37 1.41 1.31 1.40 1.41 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 25.1 25.4 24.4 24.9 24.5	NaClKClCaCl, 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.57 0.35 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.62 0.69 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 0.83 0.89 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 1.35 1.29 treatment) 1.14 1.67 1.51 1.55 1.56 1.53 1.37 1.41 1.31 1.40 1.41 1.41 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 25.1 25.4 24.4 24.9 24.5 25.3	NaClKClCaCl 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.57 0.35 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.62 0.69 0.026 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 0.83 0.89 0.024 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 1.35 1.29 0.030 treatment) 1.19 1.12 1.09 1.14 0.032 1.67 1.51 1.55 1.56 1.53 1.60 0.042 1.37 1.41 1.31 1.40 1.41 1.41 0.028 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 0.169 25.1 25.4 24.4 24.9 24.5 25.3 0.398	NaClKClCaCl2 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.57 0.35 NaHCO 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 SEMLinear 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.62 0.69 0.026 0.726 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 0.83 0.89 0.024 0.785 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 1.35 1.29 0.030 0.869 treatment) 1.12 1.09 1.14 0.032 0.021 1.67 1.51 1.55 1.56 1.53 1.60 0.042 0.629 1.37 1.41 1.31 1.40 1.41 1.41 0.028 0.012 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 15.6 0.56 0.56 0.601 25.1 25.4 24.4 24.9 24.5 25.3 0.398 0.887	NaClKClCaCl,0.130.350.130.350.570.35NaHCO, and KCl0.350.680.500.500.500.50SEMLinearQuadratic0.680.700.630.660.620.690.0260.7260.2620.890.900.840.880.830.890.0240.7850.1631.321.301.341.351.351.290.0300.8690.948treatment)1.221.071.191.121.091.140.0320.0210.5541.671.511.551.561.531.600.0420.6290.6341.371.411.311.401.411.410.0280.0120.19315.615.615.615.615.615.60.6010.95525.125.424.424.924.525.30.3980.8870.390	NaClKClCaCl2Pr0.130.350.130.350.570.35 $NaHCO_3 and KCl$ Inear0.350.680.500.500.500.50SEMLinearQuadraticLinear0.680.700.630.660.620.690.0260.7260.2620.2730.890.900.840.880.830.890.0240.7850.1630.2591.321.301.341.351.351.290.0300.8690.9480.547treatment)1.221.071.191.121.091.140.0320.0210.5540.0021.671.511.551.561.531.600.0420.6290.6340.0901.371.411.311.401.411.410.0280.0120.1930.04215.615.615.615.615.60.5680.5680.5680.568	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	NaCl KCl CaCl ₂ Probability, $P < ^2$ 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.57 0.35 NaHCO ₃ and KCl Na 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 SEM Linear Quadratic Linear Quadratic 1 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.62 0.69 0.026 0.726 0.262 0.273 0.038 0.587 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 0.83 0.89 0.024 0.785 0.163 0.259 0.039 0.654 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 1.35 1.29 0.030 0.869 0.948 0.547 0.281 0.770 treatment) 1.22 1.07 1.19 1.12 1.09 1.14 0.032 0.629 0.634 0.090 0.743 0.007 1.67 1.51 1.55 1.56 15.6 15.6 0.601 0.955 0.797	NaCl KCl CaCl ₂ NaHCO ₃ and KCl Na 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.57 0.35 0.35 NaHCO ₃ and KCl Na 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 SEM Linear Quadratic Linear Quadratic 1 2 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.62 0.69 0.026 0.726 0.262 0.273 0.038 0.587 0.430 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 0.83 0.89 0.024 0.785 0.163 0.259 0.039 0.654 0.706 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 1.29 0.030 0.869 0.948 0.547 0.281 0.770 0.208 treatment) 1.22 1.07 1.19 1.12 1.09 1.14 0.032 0.629 0.634 0.090 0.743 0.007 0.537 1.37 1.41 1.31 1.40 <t< td=""><td>NaCl KCl CaCl₂ Probability, $P <^2$ 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.57 0.35 NaHCO₃ and KCl Na Na 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 SEM Linear Quadratic Inear Quadratic 1 2 3 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.62 0.69 0.026 0.726 0.262 0.273 0.038 0.587 0.430 0.232 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 0.83 0.89 0.024 0.785 0.163 0.259 0.039 0.654 0.706 0.159 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 1.35 1.29 0.030 0.869 0.948 0.547 0.281 0.770 0.208 0.476 treatment) 1.22 1.07 1.19 1.12 1.09 1.14 0.032 0.021 0.554 0.002 0.159 0.001 0.549 0.420</td></t<>	NaCl KCl CaCl ₂ Probability, $P <^2$ 0.13 0.35 0.13 0.35 0.57 0.35 NaHCO ₃ and KCl Na Na 0.35 0.68 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 SEM Linear Quadratic Inear Quadratic 1 2 3 0.68 0.70 0.63 0.66 0.62 0.69 0.026 0.726 0.262 0.273 0.038 0.587 0.430 0.232 0.89 0.90 0.84 0.88 0.83 0.89 0.024 0.785 0.163 0.259 0.039 0.654 0.706 0.159 1.32 1.30 1.34 1.35 1.35 1.29 0.030 0.869 0.948 0.547 0.281 0.770 0.208 0.476 treatment) 1.22 1.07 1.19 1.12 1.09 1.14 0.032 0.021 0.554 0.002 0.159 0.001 0.549 0.420

Table 2. Effects of Na and Cl source and concentration on nursery pig growth performance¹

¹A total of 360 barrows (Line 200 × 400; DNA, Columbus, NE) were used in a 14-d study with 5 pigs per pen and 12 pens per treatment. Pigs were weaned at approximately 21 d, fed a common starter diet for 7 d post-weaning, then placed on experimental diets.

²Contrasts were (1) 0.13% Na and 0.35% Cl provided by added salt vs. 0.35% Na and 0.68% Cl provided by added salt (2) 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.13% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.13% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.13% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.13% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.68% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.68% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl provided by NaCHCO₃ and KCl vs. 0.35% Na and 0.50% Cl

³Experimental diets were fed from d 0 to 14 and a common Phase 3 diet was fed from d 14 to 21.

თ