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Using a CO2 Surgical Laser for Piglet Castration to Reduce Pain and Inflammation, and to Improve Wound Healing

Abstract
The objectives of this preliminary study were to determine the ability of a CO2 surgical laser to 1) reduce pain, 2) reduce inflammation, and 3) improve wound healing of piglets undergoing surgical castration. Two-day old male Yorkshire × Landrace piglets were used and randomly assigned to one of three treatments ($n = 10$ piglets/treatment group): surgical castration with the CO2 laser, surgical castration with a scalpel, or sham (uncastrated control). Piglets were video recorded in their pens for 1 h pre-procedure and from 0-2, 6-8, and at 24 h post-procedure for behavior scoring. Surgical site images were collected at baseline, 0, 8, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, and 168 h post-castration for wound healing assessment. Infrared thermography (IRT) images of the surgical site were also taken at baseline, 0, 0.5, 8, and 24 h post-procedure to assess inflammation. Finally, blood was collected from each piglet at baseline and 0.5 h post-castration to assess cortisol levels, prostaglandin E metabolite (PGEM), and pig-major acute phase protein (pig-MAP) concentration. Laser-castrated piglets displayed more pain behaviors across the observation period than scalpel-castrated piglets ($P = 0.049$). Laser-castrated piglets also displayed significantly more agonistic behavior than both scalpel-castrated and sham piglets ($P = 0.005$ and $P = 0.036$, respectively); yet, laser-castrated piglets had significantly lower temperatures at the site of incision compared to scalpel-castrated piglets ($P = 0.0211$). There was no significant difference in wound healing or any of the blood parameters assessed between laser-castrated and scalpel-castrated piglets. There was evidence of thermal tissue damage on the scrotum of piglets that were castrated using the CO2 laser. This may have resulted in the unremarkable healing time and the increased pain behavior observed in this study. The surgical laser technique should be refined before conclusions can be made regarding the utility of a CO2 laser for piglet castration.
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Using a CO₂ Surgical Laser for Piglet Castration to Reduce Pain and Inflammation, and to Improve Wound Healing

Abbie V. Viscardi,² Charley A. Cull,³ Michael D. Kleinhenz,⁴ Shawnee Montgomery,² Andrew Curtis,² Kelly Lechtenberg,³ and Johann F. Coetzee²

Summary
The objectives of this preliminary study were to determine the ability of a CO₂ surgical laser to 1) reduce pain, 2) reduce inflammation, and 3) improve wound healing of piglets undergoing surgical castration. Two-day old male Yorkshire × Landrace piglets were used and randomly assigned to one of three treatments (n = 10 piglets/treatment group): surgical castration with the CO₂ laser, surgical castration with a scalpel, or sham (uncastrated control). Piglets were video recorded in their pens for 1 h pre-procedure and from 0-2, 6-8, and at 24 h post-procedure for behavior scoring. Surgical site images were collected at baseline, 0, 8, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, and 168 h post-castration for wound healing assessment. Infrared thermography (IRT) images of the surgical site were also taken at baseline, 0, 0.5, 8, and 24 h post-procedure to assess inflammation. Finally, blood was collected from each piglet at baseline and 0.5 h post-castration to assess cortisol levels, prostaglandin E metabolite (PGEM), and pig-major acute phase protein (pig-MAP) concentration. Laser-castrated piglets displayed more pain behaviors across the observation period than scalpel-castrated piglets (P = 0.049). Laser-castrated piglets also displayed significantly more agonistic behavior than both scalpel-castrated and sham piglets (P = 0.005 and P = 0.036, respectively); yet, laser-castrated piglets had significantly lower temperatures at the site of incision compared to scalpel-castrated piglets (P = 0.0211). There was no significant difference in wound healing or any of the blood parameters assessed between laser-castrated and scalpel-castrated piglets. There was evidence of thermal tissue damage on the scrotum of piglets that were castrated using the CO₂ laser. This may have resulted in the unremarkable healing time.
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and the increased pain behavior observed in this study. The surgical laser technique should be refined before conclusions can be made regarding the utility of a CO$_2$ laser for piglet castration.

**Introduction**

Male piglets in North America are routinely castrated on-farm to prevent boar taint and minimize aggression. This painful procedure is done on conscious piglets, using a scalpel to make an incision on the scrotum and removing the testicles by cutting or tearing the spermatic cord. Complications, such as hemorrhage, infection, excessive swelling, and intestinal herniation (resulting in pre-weaning mortality), may be partially attributable to the described surgical castration technique. Refining the castration procedure by replacing the scalpel with a technique that decreases tissue damage and bleeding may reduce these post-surgical complications and lead to improved piglet welfare in commercial production systems.

CO$_2$ surgical lasers are increasingly being used for procedures in veterinary and human medicine. They function by emitting a colorless, infrared light at a specific wavelength (10,600 nm) that is absorbed by intracellular water and causes tissue cells to ablate or vaporize. This allows for precise incisions to be made on the skin and takes no more time than if a standard scalpel was used. Pain and swelling have been shown to be significantly reduced in human patients who have undergone the same surgical procedure using a CO$_2$ laser compared to a scalpel. The CO$_2$ laser has also refined the canine castration procedure by nearly eliminating blood flow during the surgery and reducing the risk of scrotal hematoma, bruising, and infection compared to canine castration with a scalpel.

The objectives of this pilot study were to determine the ability of a CO$_2$ surgical laser to 1) reduce pain, 2) reduce inflammation, and 3) improve wound healing of piglets undergoing surgical castration. We hypothesized that surgical castration of piglets using the CO$_2$ laser would result in decreased inflammation at the surgical site, reduced wound healing time, and less post-surgical pain compared to piglets castrated with a scalpel.

**Procedures**

All animal use and procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Midwest Veterinary Services (MVS) prior to study commencement (Protocol # MCL-19065).

A total of 30 piglets (mean body weight = 3.9 ± 1.6 lb; 2 days old) were used in this study. Piglets were randomly assigned to one of three treatments: surgical castration using a CO$_2$ laser (VetScalpel; Aesculight, LLC, Bothell, WA), surgical castration using a scalpel, or sham (uncastrated control). To conduct the castration procedure, piglets were removed from their pen, placed on a table in the supine position and restrained by two individuals. The surgical site was then disinfected using gauze soaked in isopropyl alcohol 70% (Vet One; MWI, Boise, ID). Piglets were castrated by making one horizontal incision on the scrotum with the CO$_2$ laser (set to 15 W, continuous) or scalpel, based on their treatment group. Testicles were removed by ablating (CO$_2$ laser) or
cutting (scalpel) the spermatic cord. The CO₂ laser was calibrated after each litter of pigs to ensure proper functionality (all calibrations yielded 79.7 ± 1.4%). Piglets in the sham treatment group were restrained in the same manner, the handle of the scalpel was used to simulate the incision and the scrotum was manipulated to resemble a surgical castration. Piglets were then returned to their pen. All processing procedures occurred between 09:30 and 10:30 and were conducted by the same individual.

Piglets were video recorded for 1 h pre-procedure using a high definition video camera (Sony Handycam HDR-CX405, Sony USA Inc., New York, NY) mounted on a tripod and placed outside of each farrowing pen. Immediately post-castration, piglets were video recorded continuously from 0-2 h and from 6-8 h. Finally, 24 h post-procedure, piglets were recorded for 1 h. The behavior of each piglet was scored continuously by one experienced observer for the first 15 min of every hour of data collected using BORIS software (Behavioral Observation Research Interactive Software v. 7.7.3, Torino, Italy) and a detailed ethogram (Table 1). The observer was masked to treatment and time point; however, they could observe which piglets had been castrated and which had not. A total of 3,600 min (60 h) of behavior recordings were scored and analyzed for this study.

Still images of each piglet’s scrotum were collected using a point-and-shoot camera (Olympus Stylus Tough TG-4; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at baseline (pre-procedure) and at 0, 8, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, and 168 h post-castration. Images were scored using a 6-point scale by one individual blinded to piglet treatment and time point. Wounds with a score of one were fully healed (no scab) and wounds with a score of six had signs of fresh blood.

Infrared thermography images of the surgical castration site were collected from each piglet pre-procedure and at 0, 0.5, 8, and 24 h post-procedure using an infrared camera (FLUKE TiX580; FLUKE Corporation, Everett, WA). In castrated piglets, the incision and surrounding tissues of the scrotum were captured in a single image; in sham piglets, an image of the scrotum was collected. The temperature of the incision (in castrated piglets) and the average temperature of the surrounding tissues of the scrotum were recorded and analyzed. These data were used to assess the degree of inflammation associated with the surgical castration procedure.

A blood sample (4.0 mL) from each piglet was collected from the jugular vein using a 20-gauge needle (TycoHealth Care, Mansfield, MA) at baseline and 30 min post-castration. Blood was immediately transferred into serum separator tubes (BD Vacutainer, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and placed on ice. Once all of the samples at each time point were collected, blood was centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min. The serum was pipetted from the tube, placed into cryovials and stored at -80°C until analysis. Serum samples were submitted to the Iowa State University-Pharmacology Analytical Support Team (ISU-PhAST) at the Iowa State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory for cortisol determination. Samples were also analyzed by a laboratory technician at Kansas State University to determine prostaglandin E metabolite and pig-major acute phase protein
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concentration. All laboratory personnel were blinded to piglet treatment and time point.

**Statistical Analysis**

Behavior data were analyzed using a generalized linear mixed (GLIMMIX) model with a beta distribution, including treatment, time, litter, and time × treatment interaction in SAS (Statistical Analysis System 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., NC). Litter was included as a random effect and time was a repeated measure with piglet as the experimental unit. *Post hoc* tests were conducted using the Tukey-Kramer adjustment. Statistical significance was set at *P* < 0.05.

Cortisol was log-transformed for normality prior to analysis. Wound scores, temperature of the surgical site (from IRT images), cortisol, PGEM, and pig-MAP were analyzed using a mixed model in SAS, including litter, time, treatment, and time × treatment interaction. Litter was included as a random effect and time was a repeated measure with piglet as the experimental unit. A *post hoc* Tukey’s test was conducted for significant outcomes.

**Results**

Four individual behaviors (agonistic: *P* = 0.004, desynchronized: *P* = 0.045, tail wagging: *P* = 0.026, and trembling: *P* = 0.049) and one grouped behavior (pain: *P* = 0.026) were affected by treatment across the observation period (Table 2). Laser-castrated piglets trembled significantly more than scalpel-castrated piglets (*P* = 0.041) and engaged in more desynchronized behaviors (*P* = 0.039). Laser-castrated piglets also wagged their tails significantly more than sham piglets (*P* = 0.027). Agonistic behavior was displayed significantly more by laser-castrated piglets than both scalpel-castrated (*P* = 0.005) and sham (*P* = 0.037) piglets. Laser-castrated piglets demonstrated significantly more pain behaviors than piglets that were scalpel-castrated (*P* = 0.049).

There were significant time and treatment effects on wound scores (*P* < 0.0001 for both). Both castrated-treatment groups had significantly higher wound scores than sham piglets (*P* < 0.0001); however, there was no significant difference found in wound score between laser-castrated and scalpel-castrated piglets (Figure 1, *P* = 0.988). Wound scores decreased over time and did not reach baseline levels until 168 h (7 days) post-castration. A researcher involved in this study, who did not score castration wounds, noted evidence of scrotal tissue burns and bruising of the surrounding tissues in a number of the still images. Once unblinded to treatment, the images were assessed and both tissue burns and bruising were only observed in piglets that had been castrated using the CO₂ laser (Figure 2).

There was a significant time (*P* < 0.0001) and treatment effect (*P* = 0.010) on temperature at the incision site. At 0 h post-castration, laser-castrated and scalpel-castrated piglets had significantly higher incision site temperatures compared to sham piglets (*P* < 0.0001). At 0.5 h and 7 h post-castration, laser-castrated piglets had significantly lower temperatures at the site of incision compared to sham piglets (*P* = 0.0005 and *P* = 0.010, respectively). Across the assessment period, laser-castrated piglets had significantly lower incision site temperatures compared to scalpel-castrated piglets (*P* = 0.008).
There were no differences found in cortisol, PGEM, or pig-MAP concentration between any treatment group ($P = 0.19$, $P = 0.62$, and $P = 0.73$, respectively).

**Discussion**

Piglets that were castrated using the CO$_2$ laser exhibited significantly more pain behaviors (trembling, spasms, rubbing the rump, tail wagging, and stiffness) than scalpel-castrated piglets, which is contrary to the study hypothesis. Three factors likely contributed to this result: the disinfectant used, piglet restraint, and laser-castration technique. Isopropyl alcohol 70% is a common disinfectant used in human and veterinary medicine. Alcohols are highly flammable in nature and there is evidence that heat produced by the CO$_2$ laser caused a chemical reaction with the alcohol on the piglet’s scrotal surface, resulting in thermal tissue damage. Difficulty in completely immobilizing conscious piglets resulted in suboptimal laser-castration technique, which also likely contributed to the increase in pain behavior observed.

There was no difference in wound score (i.e., healing time) between scalpel-castrated and laser-castrated piglets throughout the study. This is likely related to the burning of the scrotal tissue in laser-castrated piglets. The lack of difference in healing time may also be a result of poor laser technique or a power setting that was too low, requiring multiple passes with the laser to make an incision. These sequential passes with the laser at the incisional site increase the amount of thermal injury. The power setting selected for this study (15 W, continuous) was determined from the manufacturer’s recommendations and by practicing surgical castration on piglet cadavers prior to study start. The power may need to be increased in future work, as multiple passes with the laser were required to make the scrotal incision.

Infrared thermography is a validated tool to measure cutaneous temperature and assess inflammation. Laser-castrated piglets had a lower temperature at the incision site across the assessment period (up to 24 h post-castration) compared to scalpel-castrated piglets, suggesting that there was less inflammation at the surgical site when the CO$_2$ laser was used. While this result is consistent with our study hypothesis, it contradicts the literature regarding thermal tissue injury and inflammation.$^6$ As well, a decrease in inflammation after piglet surgical castration is generally associated with a reduction in acute pain and pain behaviors,$^7$ yet this was not observed. The temperature of the surrounding scrotal tissues (excluding the incision site) did not differ between laser-castrated and scalpel-castrated piglets, suggesting inflammation at this location may be more predictive of castration-associated pain.

Using a CO$_2$ surgical laser instead of a scalpel has the potential to reduce pain, inflammation, and improve animal welfare. In this study, thermal tissue damage caused by the CO$_2$ laser confounded the pain and wound healing results, making it difficult to draw conclusions regarding the utility of this tool for surgical castration of piglets. A non-alcohol-based disinfectant should be used and the laser-castration technique optimized in
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future work, to assess pain, inflammation, and wound healing more accurately in piglets after processing.

Table 1. Ethogram used to score piglet behavior, grouped into feeding, locomotion, non-specific behaviors, castration-related pain behaviors, posture and social cohesion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suckling</td>
<td>Teat in mouth and suckling movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nosing udder</td>
<td>Nose in contact with udder, up and down head movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing</td>
<td>Springing, bouncy movements with or without littermates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonistic</td>
<td>Biting or fighting other littermates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Moving forward at a normal pace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>Trot or gallop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake inactive</td>
<td>No special activity, but awake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping</td>
<td>Lying down, eyes closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nosing</td>
<td>Snout in contact with a substrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewing</td>
<td>Nibbling at littermates or substrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trembling</td>
<td>Shivering, as with cold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spasms</td>
<td>Quick and involuntary contractions of the muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scratching</td>
<td>Rubbing the rump against the floor, pen walls, or littermates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail wagging</td>
<td>Tail’s movement from side to side (or up and down)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness</td>
<td>Lying with extended and tensed legs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lying</td>
<td>Body weight supported by side or belly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting</td>
<td>Body weight supported by hindquarters and front legs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Body weight supported by four legs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kneeling</td>
<td>Body weight supported by front carpal joints and hind legs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated</td>
<td>Alone, or with one littermate, distance of 40 cm separates the animal(s) from the closest group of littermates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desynchronized</td>
<td>Activity different from that of most littermates (at least 75%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Proportion of time piglets were engaged in specific behaviors (n = 10 piglets per treatment group) post-castration. Values represent the proportional means (± SE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>CO₂ Laser</th>
<th>Scalpel</th>
<th>Sham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tail wagging</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>0.02±0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trembling</td>
<td>0.0493</td>
<td>0.07±0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.02±0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.04±0.05&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desynchronized</td>
<td>0.0446</td>
<td>0.18±0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05±0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.16±0.06&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonistic</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.01±0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.0257</td>
<td>0.06±0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.02±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.03±0.01&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Only significant behavior variables are presented.
<sup>2</sup>Pain behaviors include scratching, stiffness, trembling, and tail wagging.
<sup>ab</sup>Values within a row with different superscripts are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Figure 1. Average wound score (±SE) of piglets in each treatment group over time. Asterisks represent a significant difference (P < 0.05) between the castrated piglets (laser and scalpel; n = 20) and sham piglets (n = 10).
Figure 2. A comparison of the surgical wound at 24 h post-castration for a piglet undergoing the procedure using a) the CO\textsubscript{2} laser or b) a scalpel. Evidence of tissue burning at the incision site and bruising of the surrounding tissues is clear in the laser-castrated piglet.