Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports

Volume 7 Issue 2 Southeast Research and Extension Center Agricultural Research

Article 10

2021

Southeast Kansas Wheat Variety Test Results - 2020

G. F. Sassenrath Kansas State University, gsassenrath@ksu.edu

L. Mengarelli Kansas State University, mengo57@ksu.edu

J. Lingenfelser Kansas State University, jling@ksu.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://newprairiepress.org/kaesrr

Part of the Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Sassenrath, G. F.; Mengarelli, L.; Lingenfelser, J.; and Lin, X. (2021) "Southeast Kansas Wheat Variety Test Results - 2020," *Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports*: Vol. 7: Iss. 2. https://doi.org/ 10.4148/2378-5977.8049

This report is brought to you for free and open access by New Prairie Press. It has been accepted for inclusion in Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports by an authorized administrator of New Prairie Press. Copyright 2021 the Author(s). Contents of this publication may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. K-State Research and Extension is an equal opportunity provider and employer.



Southeast Kansas Wheat Variety Test Results - 2020

Cover Page Footnote

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch project 1018005. These data are part of the 2020 Kansas Performance Tests with Winter Wheat Varieties, SRP1158 (https://bookstore.ksre.ksu.edu/pubs/SRP1158.pdf).

Authors

G. F. Sassenrath, L. Mengarelli, J. Lingenfelser, and X. Lin



2021 SEREC AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Southeast Kansas Wheat Variety Test Results - 2020

G.F. Sassenrath, L. Mengarelli, J. Lingenfelser, and X. Lin

Summary

This is a summary of the winter wheat production conditions in southeast Kansas in 2019-2020 and the results of the variety testing. Fifteen hard red and ten soft red winter wheat varieties were compared for yield and test weight. High spring rainfall increased disease pressure; cultivars were rated for Fusarium head blight and stripe rust. Average yield of hard red wheat varieties was above average at 81.1 bu/acre across all varieties. Soft red wheat yield was 102.4 bu/acre across all varieties. For comparison, previous variety yield results are reported from 2016, 2017, and 2018.

Introduction

Crop production is dependent on many factors including cultivar selection, environmental conditions, soil, and management practices. This report summarizes the environmental conditions during the 2019-2020 winter wheat growing season in comparison to previous years and the historical averages. Fifteen hard red and ten soft wheat varieties were tested at Parsons.

Experimental Procedures

The Kansas State University Crop Performance Tests were conducted in replicated research fields throughout the state. This report summarizes winter wheat production for Parsons, KS. Wheat varieties were tested in a Parsons silt loam soil (fine, mixed, active, thermic Mollic Albaqualfs) at the Southeast Research and Extension Center in Parsons. All crop variety trials are managed with conventional tillage. Individual variety results are available at the K-State Crop Performance Test web page (<u>http://www.agronomy.kstate.edu/services/crop-performance-tests/</u>).

Wheat was drilled in 7-in. rows at 1.2 million seed/acre (approximately 90 lb/acre) in conventional tillage with an Almaco plot drill on October 23, 2019 in Parsons and harvested June 18, 2020. Plots were 7-ft wide by 27.5-ft long. Fertilizer was applied before planting at a rate of 50-46-30 lb/acre N-P-K (dry), with an additional 60-46-30 lb/acre N-P-K (dry) applied on February 7, 2020, for both hard red and soft red cultivars. No fungicide or herbicides were applied. Historical weather data from the Parsons and Columbus mesonet stations were used (*http://mesonet.k-state.edu/weather/historical/*) and are reported separately (Sassenrath et al., 2021).

Results and Discussion

Rainfall during the 2019-2020 water year (WY) was near record highs (Sassenrath et al., 2021). Beginning in early January, regular high rainfall events increased the cumulative rainfall to well above average. During April, the cumulative rainfall exceeded that received during the previous WY19. On May 15, 2020, Parsons received 4.7 in. of rain in one 24-hr period. After a very wet spring, however, the rain stopped; Parsons received only 1.18 in. of rain in all of June. This dry weather coincided perfectly with wheat harvest. Wet conditions during wheat flowering contribute to fungal disease, in particular Fusarium head blight or scab (De Wolf et al., 2003). There was heavy infestation of scab in some cultivars and wheat fields (Table 1 and 2). The dry conditions at wheat maturity allowed timely harvesting, resulting in little dockage due to scab in 2020.

Winter wheat was planted on 6.6 million acres in Kansas in 2020. In the variety trials, heading notes were taken on individual varieties. Heading is defined as the date when 50% of the plot had heads emerged. Heading in the hard red varieties began April 25, 2020, and was complete by April 30. Heading in the soft red varieties occurred between April 28 and May 1, 2020. Yields in all varieties were very good in 2020 (Figures 1A and 2, and Table 1). The highest yield in the hard red wheat varieties was measured in WB4401 at 108.8 bu/acre. This is well above the 12-year average yield of 53.1 bu/acre in the variety trials, and the 12-year average yield of 40.7 bu/acre across the state.

Cultivars varied in their susceptibility to disease. High rainfall around flowering and heading increases disease pressure (De Wolf et al., 2003). Fungal disease ratings were measured in all varieties as the percent infection and the extent of infection, with 0 being no damage and 10 being the highest infection rate. Fusarium head blight (FHB) and stripe rust were both present in the variety trials and showed differences across the varieties (Figure 4B and C). Stripe rust showed greater infection rates than FHB. Varieties with higher yields tended to have better resistance to the fungal diseases.

Yields in soft red varieties were higher than the hard red varieties, as has been observed previously (Figures 1). No information on state-wide yields for soft red wheat is available, as soft red wheat production occurs primarily in the southeast region of the state, so hard red wheat variety yields are given for the KS state average. Soft red yield of 102.4 bu/acre across all varieties in 2020 was much higher than the 11-year average of 64 bu/ acre for soft red wheat in the variety trials. The yields were similar to those harvested in soft red wheat in 2012 in the variety trials. The highest yield of 113.9 bu/acre was measured in AgriMaxx 503, but several other varieties had yields greater than 100 bu/ acre (Table 2). One advantage of soft red wheat is greater resistance to disease. This was observed in the FHB and stripe rust disease ratings (Figure 5B and C). As with the hard red varieties, those varieties that had greater resistance to diseases tended to have higher yields.

Conclusions

Wheat produced exceptionally well in 2020. The planting conditions in the fall and relatively mild winter led to good plant stands. Notably, many plots were thinner than expected. However, ideal dry conditions during harvest made optimal and timely

202I SEREC AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

harvest possible. The high probability of rainfall around May 31 in Parsons often confounds wheat harvest, making fields inaccessible and increasing disease damage.

Comparing variety performance across different growing seasons gives an understanding of how a variety responds under different growing conditions. For ease of comparison, variety testing results from the previous 5 years are provided for hard red (Table 1) and soft red (Table 2) varieties at Parsons. Note, no data were available from 2019 due to poor plant stand.

No herbicides or fungicides are normally used in the variety trials to provide an equal comparison based only on genetics. However, timely application of fungicide has been shown to be especially important in high rainfall areas such as southeast Kansas in order to control fungal diseases (De Wolf et al., 2003). Application of appropriate fungicides around flowering is especially important to control FHB (Onofre and De Wolf, 2020).

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch project 1018005. These data are part of the 2020 Kansas Performance Tests with Winter Wheat Varieties, SRP1158 (https://bookstore.ksre. ksu.edu/pubs/SRP1158.pdf).

References

- De Wolf, E. D., Madden, L. V., and Lipps, P. E. (2003). Risk assessment models for wheat Fusarium head blight epidemics based on within-season weather data. Phytopathology, 93(4), 428-435.
- Onofre, K.A., De Wolf, E.D. 2020. Foliar fungicide efficacy ratings for wheat disease management 2020. KSU Ag Exp Station and Coop Ext Serv. EP130. <u>https://bookstore.ksre.ksu.edu/pubs/EP130.pdf</u>
- Sassenrath G.F., M. Knapp, X. Lin. 2021. Southeast Kansas Weather Summary 2020. Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station Research Reports: Vol. 7.

Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned. Persons using such products assume responsibility for their use in accordance with current label directions of the manufacturer.

						Year				
Hard red wheat varieties, Parsons, KS		2016	20	017	2018			2020		
Company	Variety	Yield, bu/a	Yield, bu/a	Test weight, lb/bu	Yield, bu/a	Test weight, lb/bu	Yield, bu∕a	Test weight, lb/bu	Fusarium rating	Stripe rust rating
AgriMAXX	AM Cartwright						82.9	60.8	1	1
AgriMAXX	AM Eastwood		47.2	55.5	56.8	58.5	67.2	57.9	3	8
AgriMAXX	EXP HRW				57.9	57.1				
Syngenta AgriPro	SY Benefit		56.9	57.7	45.2	57.4	77.5	59.5	1	7
Syngenta AgriPro	SY Grit	61.9			50.0	56.5	65.1	57.5	3	3
Syngenta AgriPro	SY Wolf				52.1	59.0				
Syngenta AgriPro	SY Llano	61.8	36.5	57.5						
Syngenta AgriPro	Bob Dole				49.0	57.4				
Syngenta AgriPro	Jackpot	66.2								
AGSECO	AG Gallant	57.0	69.5	57.7	45.1	58.9				
AGSECO	AG Icon				47.4	57.2	80.5	60.0	2	4
AGSECO	AG Robust	56.9	52.6	57.5	47.5	58.6				
AGSECO	EXP 52-5						76.1	56.6	0	3
AGSECO	Hot Rod	76.8	69.6	56.9	58.1	57.6				
AGSECO	TAM 205						83.5	60.2	5	1
Croplan	EXP 26-16				60.6	58.8				
Croplan	EXP 69-16				53.9	57.9				
Dyna-Gro	Long Branch	56.9	55.6	56.0	41.4	57.8				
KWA Wildcat Genetics	Everest	70.5	60.5	58.1	48.6	59.3	78.9	60.8	1	8
KWA Wildcat Genetics	Zenda	66.0	60.7	58.4	43.5	59.7	86.1	60.8	1	2
Wildcat Genetics	KanMark	66.1								
KWA Wildcat Genetics	KS061193K-2		63.8	57.5						
KWA Wildcat Genetics	KS080448C*102		52.4	58.4						
KWA Wildcat Genetics	KS060143K-2 "Larry"	65.4	53.7	56.8						
Limagrain	LCS Chrome	71.9	55.4	58.7	62.9	57.5				

Table 1. Multiyear comparison of hard red winter wheat yields from variety trials at Parsons, KS

4

continued

2021 SEREC AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

		Year									
Hard red wheat varieties, Parsons, KS		2016	2017		2018		2020				
		Yield,	Yield,	Test weight,	Yield,	Test weight,	Yield,	Test weight,	Fusarium	Stripe rust	
Company	Variety	bu/a	bu/a	lb/bu	bu/a	lb/bu	bu/a	lb/bu	rating	rating	
OGI	Doublestop CL+	66.1									
OGI	Gallagher	72.8		49.6	55.3						
OGI	Iba	74.8									
OGI	OK09915C-1	57.1									
OGI	OK13209		54.3	56.7							
OGI	Ruby Lee	64.1	58.5	57.8	56.9	58.9					
OGI	Smith's Gold						84.5	60.1	2	1	
Polansky	Rock Star						79.2	58.3	3	2	
Scott Seed	TAM 304	70	58.5	57							
Scott Seed	TAM 305	75.8	62.8	57.1							
WestBred	WB4269		55	57	48.5	58.9	86.8	60.3	2	3	
WestBred	WB4303						67.2	55.4	4	6	
WestBred	WB4401						108.8	61.5	1	1	
WestBred	WB4458	62.2									
WestBred	WB4515		60.5	58.4	59. 7	58.4					
WestBred	WB4699						94.5	58.7	2	2	
WestBred	WB-Cedar	66	57.6	58	42.9	59.1					
WestBred	WB-Grainfield	73.8									
Overall average		66	57.1	57.4	51.7	58.1	81.1	59.2			

2021 SEREC AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Table 1. Multiyear comparison of hard red winter wheat yields from variety trials at Parsons, KS

Yields above average are highlighted in bold. Test weights were not available for hard red wheat in 2016.

							Year				
Soft red wheat varieties, Parsons, KS		2016		2017		2018		2020			
Company	Variety	Yield, bu/a	Test weight, lb/bu	Yield, bu/a	Test weight lb/bu	Yield, bu/a	Test weight, lb/bu	Yield, bu/a	Test weight, lb/bu	Fusarium rating	Stripe rust rating
AgriMAXX	415	82.7	60.0	91.9	57.3	56.7	58.1	102.7	59.7	0	0
AgriMAXX	444	77.0	56.0	77.8	57.7	58.6	55.9				
AgriMAXX	454	56.6	54.0								
AgriMAXX	463			81.6	58.4	62.5	55.4				
AgriMAXX	473			83.2	57.9	65.1	57.5	106.1	59.0	0	1
AgriMAXX	475					56.4	57.3				
AgriMAXX	503							113.9	60.1	0	1
AgriMAXX	505							112.2	60.7	2	5
AgriMAXX	Exp 1663	96.2	57.0								
Croplan	9101	60.0	60.0								
Croplan	9201	52.8	63.0								
Croplan	9301	76.0	58.0								
Croplan	HRW 9415	72.9	65.0								
Croplan	HRW 9434	67.6	58.0								
Croplan	SRW 8550					64.1	56.9				
Croplan	SRW 9415					64. 7	57.3				
Croplan	SRW 9606					55.9	55.7				
Pioneer	25R25	69.7	59.0								
DuPont Pioneer	25R40	82.5	59.0	79.5	56.8	66.1	56.7	105.8	58.1	3	1
DuPont Pioneer	25R46	56.3	54.0	70.4	57.1						
DuPont Pioneer	25R50					57.1	57.0	97.5	59.3	0	1
DuPont Pioneer	25R61			71.4	57.8	61.6	57.9	87.5	58.3	0	7
DuPont Pioneer	25R74			80.8	57.6	65.4	56.3	110.4	61.6	0	1
Dupont Pioneer	25R77	79.6	59.0	84.4	57.9	54.2	56.9	103.0	61.6	2	3
											continued

Table 2. Multiyear comparison of soft red winter wheat yields from variety trials at Parsons, KS

	· · ·				<u> </u>]	lear				
Soft red wheat varieties, Parsons, KS		2016		2017		2018		2020			
Company	Variety	Yield, bu/a	Test weight, lb/bu	Yield, bu/a	Test weight lb/bu	Yield, bu/a	Test weight, lb/bu	Yield, bu/a	Test weight, lb/bu	Fusarium rating	Stripe rust rating
Frontier	Magnus 1069					61.5	55.8				
MFA	2166	63.3	57.0								
MFA	2250	80.9	60.0	60.9	56.5						
MFA	XP 2431	73.1	59.0								
MFA	2449	79.9	57.0	65.1	57.2	63.8	56.3				
MFA	XP 2474	83.2	61.0								
MFA	XP 2479	76.3	59.0								
MFA	XP 2538			75.8	57.6						
MFA	XP 2539			84.6	57.9						
MFA	XP 2542			81.3	57.6	63.0	58.6				
MFA	2622					58.3	57.8				
MFA	2633					59.7	56.7				
OGI	OCW03S580S-8WF							84.4	56.8	2	4.75
OGI	OK11311F	65.8	59.0								
OGI	OK11754WF	55.2	59.0								
Average		71.0	59.0	78.2	57.5	59.9	57.0	102.4	59.5		

2021 SEREC AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Table 2. Multivear comparison of soft red winter wheat yields from variety trials at Parsons. KS

Yields above average are highlighted in bold.

202I SEREC AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH



Year

Figure 1. Winter wheat yield for (A) hard red wheat and (B) soft red wheat from variety trials in southeast and eastern Kansas from 2008 through 2020. In 2019, variety testing at both Ottawa and Parsons were abandoned due to flooding and poor stands. The line in the middle of the box plots is the median yield of all varieties. The upper and lower quartiles are given by the upper and lower edges of the boxes. The maximum and minimum values are given by the upper and lower "whiskers" extending from the box. Outliers are given as solid circles. For comparison, average reported state yields from Kansas are highlighted as a red X.

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service