

# TURFGRASS RESEARCH 2014



SRP1107  
JULY 2014



Kansas State University  
Agricultural Experiment Station  
and Cooperative Extension Service

K-State Research and Extension is an equal  
opportunity provider and employer.

## Effects of Irrigation, Cutting Height, and Primo on Mowing Requirements of Tall Fescue<sup>1</sup>

*Joshua Chabon<sup>2</sup>, Dale Bremer<sup>2</sup>, and Jack Fry<sup>2</sup>*

**Summary.** Irrigation based on soil moisture sensors (SMS) saved water compared with frequency-based irrigation while providing acceptable turfgrass quality but did not affect mowing requirements of tall fescue. Increasing tall fescue mowing height, or applying Primo, resulted in mowing reductions.

**Rationale.** Mowing requirements can be affected by irrigation strategy, mowing height, and plant growth regulators, but information is limited on how they may interact.

**Objectives.** Evaluate irrigation strategy, mowing height, and Primo (trinexapac-ethyl) for their influence on irrigation and mowing requirements.

**Study Description.** Field studies were conducted in 2012–13 on a Chase silt loam soil at the Rocky Ford Turfgrass Research Center in Manhattan, KS, in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*). Study periods were April 9 through November 30, 2012, and May 13 through October 22, 2013. Irrigation treatments included: (1) frequency-based irrigation, set to run automatically three times weekly to mimic the irrigation scheduling of a typical homeowner; and (2) SMS-based irrigation that was triggered when soils dried to a predetermined threshold. Mowing was done with a walk-behind rotary mower set at 2 or 3.5 in. based upon the one-third rule; one set of plots at these heights received a monthly

<sup>1</sup> This research was sponsored in part by a grant from the Kansas Turfgrass Foundation.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Horticulture, Forestry, and Recreation Resources.

View all turfgrass research reports online at: [www.ksre.ksu.edu/bookstore](http://www.ksre.ksu.edu/bookstore)

Primo application, whereas the other set did not. The total number of mowings were counted, data were subjected to analysis of variance, and Fisher's protected LSD ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) was used to detect treatment differences.

**Results.** Irrigation did not affect mowing requirements. In 2012, tall fescue mowed at 2 in. and treated with Primo required three fewer mowings than untreated turf mowed at 2 in.; at a 3.5-in. cutting height, only one fewer mowing resulted after Primo application (Table 1; Figure 1). In 2013, mowing at 3.5 vs. 2 in., or using Primo vs. not, resulted in a 9% reduction in total mowings required.

**Table 1. Interaction between mowing height and Primo on total mowings for tall fescue from April 9 through November 30, 2012, in Manhattan, KS**

Mowing height (inches)	Primo <sup>1</sup>	Total mowings <sup>2</sup>
2.0	No	9.0 a <sup>3</sup>
2.0	Yes	6.0 c
3.5	No	7.5 b
3.5	Yes	6.5 c

<sup>1</sup> Primo was applied at 0.3 lb a.i./a on April 16, May 19, June 18, July 12, August 10, September 5, and October 3, 2012.

<sup>2</sup> Mowing was done following the one-third rule: turf at 2 in. was mowed when it reached 3 in., and turf at 3.5 in. was mowed when it reached 5 in.

<sup>3</sup> Means followed by different letters within a column are significantly different ( $P = 0.05$ ).



**Figure 1. Raising mowing height or applying Primo resulted in a reduction in total number of mowing over the season, but irrigation application strategy had no effect on mowing (photo credit: torogov.com).**

