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Abstract
K-State Libraries have many complex digital workflows that involve multiple people and departments. One, in particular, had the ongoing issues of tracking different stages, individual responsibilities, information gathering, notifications, and uploads done manually. Due to the inefficiency that this caused, it led to the development of an open source workflow management system (WMS)
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Abstract

K-State Libraries have many complex digital workflows that involve multiple people and departments. One, in particular, had the ongoing issues of tracking different stages, individual responsibilities, information gathering, notifications, and uploads done manually. Due to the inefficiency that this caused, it led to the development of an open source workflow management system (WMS).

Literature Review

Workflow management systems have been used in industries for decades to manage various workflows, such as manufacturing and supply chains (Reijers, Vanderfeesten, & van der Aalst, 2016). They are computer systems that manage available resources and their dependencies by processing activities executed by processing entities to reach a targeted objective (Sanghamitra, 2003).

Reijers, Vanderfeesten, & van der Aalst’s (2016) case study investigated the efficiency of workflow management systems in corporate organizations. The results showed a reduction in processing times when a workflow management system was introduced. Most workflow management systems are constructed out of existing processes and systems (Shrivastava & Wheater, 1999).

Mainly focused on workflow management systems related to corporate organizations, few publications focused on workflow management systems and academic libraries. One exception is the University of Utah who developed a workflow management system, University Scholarly Knowledge Inventory System (U-SKIS). U-SKIS assisted staff to keep “track of files, communications, and publishers’ archiving policies to determine inclusion in their institutional repository (IR) USPACE” (Morrow & Mower, 2009, p. 286).

Kansas State University (K-State) experienced the same issues as the University of Utah. The workflow that the University of Utah created for ingesting the university’s scholarly output into their IR was the impetus to create an in-house system as it was for K-State to manage the various nuances of this type of workflow.

Background
In the early 2000s K-State Libraries decided to expand the scope of K-REx, K-State’s IR, to include all K-State scholarship in addition to electronic theses and dissertations (ETDRs). With the scope of K-REx expanded, the Libraries’ decided to offer a new service of depositing K-State’s scholarly output into K-REx.

With the establishment of this service, a workflow was created that involved multiple systems and library staff from different departments. This workflow was time-intensive a large part due to the manual nature of it. Below are the workflow steps for depositing scholarly output into K-REx.

- Requests to authors for permission to deposit their works in K-REx;
- Citation information pulled from RefWorks;
- Additional metadata added to the citation information;
- Publishers identified, and their policies concerning self-archiving checked;
- Requests to publishers asking their permission to deposit works done by K-State authors into K-REx when applicable;
- Requests to authors asking for their manuscripts to be deposited into K-REx when applicable;
- Embargos added when applicable;
- Quality assurance of metadata and files checked; and
- Files and metadata were submitted to the IR; and
- K-State authors notified that their publication(s) were available in K-REx.

As this service grew and the complexity of the workflow above increased, it became evident that the workflow was not sustainable. It consumed too much staff time and the volume of works published by K-State authors every month was increasing. The concept of a home-grown workflow management system was born.

**Workflow Management System**

In February 2011, the Library IT team met with the K-REx manager and other involved library staff to discuss the feasibility of automating the workflow above as much as possible. Below were some of the main issues that the existing workflow created.

- The collecting of citations needs to be expedited and made scalable for mass harvesting;
- The tracking of tasks and who they are assigned to needs to be centralized in one system;
- The accessibility of publisher policies needs to improve so that they can be cross-referenced from tasks easily;
- The communication between library staff and K-State authors needs to be centralized for better management and tracking purposes;
- The creation of a comprehensive reporting system is needed to assess and measure the efficiency of the workflow.

A Web application called Workflow Management System (WMS) was developed to manage from start to end the workflow of depositing K-State scholarly output into K-REx.

**System Infrastructure**
K-State Libraries' infrastructure consists fully of an array of Sun servers using a Solaris operating system. The WMS needed to be compatible with this hardware and operating system. An Apache web server was selected, because it ran on a variety of operating systems, Solaris one of them. In November 2015 it was estimated that 50% of all websites were being served by an Apache web server (Netcraft, 2015). The scripting language PHP would be utilized since it was widely used at K-State Libraries for some projects and well supported on an Apache web server. For the backend database management system, MySQL was selected. After careful consideration of multiple PHP frameworks, it was decided that CodeIgniter was going to be the framework for the WMS for the following reasons:

- Its lean approach and performance; and
- Ability to isolate each part of a system and make modifications with minimal effects to system

CodeIgniter includes only the bare minimum set of libraries that is needed to get a system up and running, and also provides code level application security through its security features. Given that this system was only intended for internal use only, it was deployed on a server that was exclusively used by staff.

Development

The development of the WMS followed an iterative and incremental software development model. Small sections of the system were implemented in each cycle and presented to the stakeholders for feedback. After feedback, the system was improved, and more requirements implemented with the final product going live in April 2011. With the system in production, stakeholders requested additional features created through multiple development iterations.

System Overview

Task creation. Tasks can be created in two ways: manually through the system (Figure 1) or automatically through bulk import.

![Figure 1. Form that can be filled out with publication information to create a task.](image)
The bulk import method imports citations from RefWorks creating tasks in the WMS. Library staff searched and collected relevant citations and added additional metadata in RefWorks then downloaded the citation information in an XML file (Figure 2).

![RefWorks](image1)

Figure 2. RefWorks has an option to export citation information into an XML file.

The XML file then was uploaded to the WMS ready for processing. One or more files could be selected, and clicking on the “Create Tasks” button triggered the bulk creation of tasks (Figure 3).

![Workflow Management System](image2)

Figure 3. List of XML files that can be selected to create tasks in bulk.
**Task processing.** The newly created tasks located on the WMS homepage (Figure 4) contained the following information: task ID, author name, publication title, task status, system user, date initiated, and date last updated. By default, new tasks always appear first, but a system user can sort by status, user, date initiated, and date last updated. A user can also search tasks by task ID, author name, and title.

![Workflow Management System](image)

**Figure 4.** List of tasks on the WMS' homepage that is ready to be processed.

To edit a task, a system user needs to click on the left blue button (Figure 4). On the task edit page, several workflow actions can be accomplished or tracked (Figure 5).

![Task form where information is added or edited](image)

**Figure 5.** Task form where information is added or edited.
Tracking workflow actions is done by selecting from a drop-down menu a task status (Figure 6). Each status represents a specific part of the workflow. A task will stay open until all workflow actions are completed and the task is closed. The WMS retains all closed tasks, which system users can sort or search mainly for troubleshooting reasons.

![Figure 6. List of statuses a task is assigned during its life cycle.](image)

**Publisher information.** To expedite the task processing activity, the WMS includes publisher information for ease of policy checking. Publisher information can enter the system via two methods: manually using a form (Figure 7) or automatically during the RefWorks bulk import process.

![Figure 7. Publisher form that is filled out with information about the publisher’s self-archiving policy.](image)
During the bulk import process, a script looks for publisher names and cross-references those names with a master publisher table (Figure 8) in the WMS. Existing publisher records are linked to a task, and new records are created for publishers not found in the master table. The creation of new publisher records are blank, so information about the publisher’s self-archiving policy will need to be added to make the record complete.

![Figure 8. Master table (list) of publishers and their self-archiving policies.](image)

To avoid the creation of duplicate records for the same publisher during the bulk import process, a system user selects the preferred publisher name from a drop-down list and marks its name variations, which triggers a merge sequence in the WMS. The system moves the name variations to the master publisher record and assigns the tasks associated with the name variations to the master record. The next time the RefWorks bulk import script encounters existing name variations, they will be replaced with their preferred publisher names that are accessible from a drop-down list on the task edit page.

**Statistics and reports.** To track and assess tasks, two reports are available in the WMS: completion tasks and filter by status. Completion tasks report produces success/fail numbers of manually and bulk import created tasks within a given date range (Figure 9). Included in the report is the number of items deposited, denied permission, with no manuscript, or not deposited for other reasons. This report allows stakeholders to assess patterns, and make strategic decisions concerning the workflow.

![Figure 9. Report that lists the number of created and closed tasks in a given month along with the number of tasks deposited or not deposited.](image)
The filter by status report (Figure 10) produces a list of dormant tasks associated with specific statuses and not updated since selected date. This information allows stakeholders to decide whether to revive these tasks or close them. Additionally, an internal reminder system sends periodic emails containing tasks in a given status not updated since a predefined number of days to an administrator. A cron job\(^7\) can be configured to trigger this functionality.

Figure 10. A report that lists tasks not updated by a given date.

**Communication management.** The WMS allows system users to initiate communication through email with either authors or publishers within the WMS through the task edit page by selecting the “compose email” button located at the bottom of the page (Figure 11).

Figure 11. The “compose email” allows a system user to select a predefined email template.

The WMS opens a blank email pre-populated with author email addresses, and the system user can select from a drop-down list an email subject and predefined email template triggering the body of the email to be pre-populated with coined text associated with the selected email template that can be modified (Figure 12) before sending the email. The system maintains a log of all sent emails for troubleshooting and management reasons.
Figure 12. Predefined email template with coined text for requesting permission from a publisher to deposit a publication into K-REx.

**Conclusion**

At the time when the WMS went into production in 2011, it alleviated most of the manual processing especially

- processing new publications;
- checking publisher policies;
- tracking system users’ actions;
- reporting of completed and dormant tasks
- communicating with authors and publishers; and
- adding additional information in RefWorks

which allowed for more time spent on outreach publicizing the service to the K-State community.
Even though some parts streamlined the workflow in the WMS, it did not decrease the time spent

- waiting for author and publisher responses
- managing files (i.e., manuscripts, published versions, etc.)
- uploading files and metadata to K-REx;
- adding new publisher policies and updating existing policies;
- creating cover pages for manuscripts;
- addressing table and figure issues in manuscripts; and
- checking name authorities of authors.

Due to the nature of some issues, the WMS could not address them. Others, though, could be addressed and were in the queue for future development.

The WMS is not being utilized to manage the workflow of depositing K-State scholarly output into K-REx, because a more efficient process involving scripts and batch loading automates parts of the workflow. Though the WMS is not active anymore, the flexibility of the system allows for further development without significant impact to the existing code base. Two examples of future developments are the automation of attaching files to tasks through data harvesting, and automating the upload of files and metadata from the WMS to a designated platform with the SWORD protocol.

Since the WMS’ inception in 2011, there have been several development cycles that have added more features, demonstrating the versatility of the system. More importantly, the adaptability of the WMS provides opportunities to repurpose it for other uses (i.e., a workflow for local name authorities), making it more appealing to a broader audience. If there is a need to manage a complex workflow with automation options, this system is a viable solution.
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Footnotes

¹ Server refers to the physical hardware that an operating system is installed on.

² Web server operates on an operating system and contains the website itself. It is responsible for accepting requests from users and serving the correct web pages.

³ Scripting language is a server-side programming language used to code the website and the database management system (DBMS) that stores user data.

⁴ Framework is a collection of programs that one can use to develop applications.

⁵ A library is a collection of commonly used functions, compiled and stored together, so that other programs can access and use them without having to recreate the logic.

⁶ Iterative and incremental software development model includes the creation of a working model based on the initial user requirements. This initial model goes through multiple iterations of improvements based on continuous user feedback.

⁷ Cron jobs are a type of scheduled routine tasks that one can ask the server to perform at predetermined intervals.

⁸ SWORD (Simple Web-service Offering Repository Deposit) is a protocol that allows the deposit of content into digital repositories, from multiple sources through an application programming interface.