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Dennis Tate is right to point out that Fühmann's _Amsterdam: Rodopi, Ticnicity: A study of his prose-writing_. Although both books have been translated into English, _Hälfte des Lebens_ (1973) and _Zweiundzwanzig Tage oder die Hälftet des Lebens_ (1982) became better known and appreciated, largely due to his two autobiographical books _Das Judenauto. 14 Tage aus zwei Jahrzehnten_ (1962) and later interviews given by Fühmann, Tate draws out a somewhat paradoxical world of private fantasy, immersed in fairy tales, myths and a rich body of European literature (Joyce, Trakl, Rilke), but who, on the other hand, found an overwhelming sense of pleasure in becoming "a cog in the Nationalist Socialist machine" (13) and later in providing his self-effacing service to the new antifascist regime.

In the stimulating second chapter "Spokesman for the New Germany 1950-58," Tate traces Fühmann’s development from a propagandist, cultural functionary, and Staatsdichter to a more innovative author who challenged the basic socialist realist notion that literature should be ideologically explicit. Tate not only challenges us to reevaluate our understanding of Fühmann in the 1950s as an unambiguous Stalinist but also to reconsider our assumption that the cultural landscape of the GDR in the 1950s was homogeneously affirmative. He presents Fühmann both as a propagandist who had no difficulty conforming to the
narrow orthodoxy signaled by the SED, and as an aesthetic with a remarkable sensitivity for the magic of language. Disclosing the pervasiveness of Georg Trakl in Fühmann’s poems, of Freud in one of Fühmann’s early speeches, and of the increasingly psychological and minimalist narrative style of Fühmann’s prose texts, Tate problematizes the common understanding that at least in the 1950s the SED succeeded in shaping an organic body of unequivocal socialist realist literature. Rather, Tate implicitly suggests that the socialist writers of the early period (here one could add for example Seghers and Becher) suppressed their modernist impulses and that their affirmative texts bear traces of this self-censorship. Furthermore, Tate’s careful account of this decade in Fühmann’s life cautions us against employing the view of GDR officials to judge the subversive quality of respective authors and texts. For example, why was Fahrt nach Stalingrad (1953), a piece that according to Tate was strongly influenced by Fühmann’s reading of Trakl, praised by officials as ‘grosse Dichtung’? Why was Fühmann not reprimanded for his rather heretical theses proposed in a speech before the NDPD in 1957, advocating the principles of ‘subjective Ehrlichkeit’ and ‘künstlerische Wahrheit,’ principles usually associated with the aftermath of Honecker’s Taboo speech and with Christa Wolf, but then dismissed from his position as the NDPD’s cultural spokesman in 1958, after having been awarded the highest official accolade, the ‘Nationalpreis,’ in October 1957? Although at moments Tate’s wish to solidify Fühmann’s political integrity results in a slightly too defensive tone or rather tenuous documentation (Fühmann’s diaries and correspondences are restricted from publication until 2004 and the edition of the selective correspondence by Hans-Jürgen Schmitt reached Tate too late for inclusion), most parts of the chapter, and of the book in general, reconstruct Fühmann’s life narrative in a manner that leaves space for perhaps irresolvable contradictions that arose out of the continuous conflict between Fühmann’s desire to support the GDR regime and to develop his creative integrity.

This very conflict which, according to Tate, provides the key to Fühmann’s texts, is analyzed in more detail in the three subsequent chapters (“Freelance Author, GDR Loyalist: Destructive Contradictions 1958-68,” “At the Forefront of Cultural Change: 1968-76,” “The Voice in the Wilderness 1977-1884”). While Tate initially employed the literary texts in order to elucidate Fühmann’s biography, he progressively sheds light on the complex interrelation between Fühmann’s text, his life, and the cultural political reality of the GDR. In this process, Tate’s focus on the stylistic and intertextual elements in Fühmann’s text prevents him from scrutinizing the texts for coded language or from falling into the pitfall of simplistic allegorical interpretations. Tate structures his thorough and subtle readings of Fühmann’s texts around the paradigm of “Authenticity and Innovation.” By means of this paradigm Tate shows that Fühmann increasingly strove for “the totally honest rendering of his most distinctive personal experiences using the most effective stylistic means at his disposal” (3). Foregrounding this dimension of Fühmann’s writings, Tate wishes to bring Fühmann in proximity to the internationally better-known Christa Wolf, a desire that is also reflected in Tate’s final emphasis on Fühmann’s interest in problems of matriarchy and patriarchy. Yet it seems to me that the actual potential for establishing Fühmann’s international credentials lies elsewhere in Tate’s book.

Out of Tate’s careful analysis emerges the portrayal of a writer who has to be understood more broadly in terms of the modernist formation. By disclosing the rich intertextual references to Nietzsche, Rilke, Joyce, Kafka, and Trakl in Fühmann’s writings, Tate demonstrates how these writings unfold in constant dialogue with the High Modernist tradition. In affording the richness of the Central European cultural heritage, Fühmann faced up to the fact that he belonged—in terms of a genuine sense of identity—neither in the multilingual Heimat of his childhood nor in the ‘kalte Norden’ of the GDR: “On the threshold of the 1980s, he stood alone, motivated only by the extraordinary strength of his commitment to the integrity of his creative writing” (193). Paradoxically, it was Fühmann’s movement towards Wahrhaftigkeit, a movement deeply grounded in the specific cultural and political reality of the GDR, that opened his texts to the treatment of universal themes such as war trauma, death and sexuality, patriarchy, guilt, and the mental anguish of being an outsider. If that is so, reading Fühmann’s text as complicated meditations on modern experience will become in and of itself rewarding.
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